

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY



127 305

UNIVERSAL
LIBRARY

He is not a member of the Communist party, SIMON LIBERMAN played a major role in the establishment of the New Economic Policy which succeeded the October revolution in Russia, and he became well acquainted with many of the revolutionary leaders and Soviet officials. Brought up on his father's farm in Russia before the turn of the century, he ran away early to the nearest city to get an education. Later he left Russia to attend the University of Vienna, returning for the Revolution of 1905, in which he participated as a member of the Menshevik party. After the revolution collapsed, he studied at Kiev, working in the lumber business, and finally coming to manage the timberlands on the great estates of several noblemen.

When the revolution came in 1917, he resigned from the big corporations with which he was connected and joined with Kerensky. He was one of the first businessmen who decided to work with Lenin. He became director of the nationalized Russian lumber industry, and then director of the North Timber Trust, the first government trust in Soviet Russia, which later was used as a model for the formation of other government trusts.

He was invaluable as a business expert and had Lenin's confidence. But Liberman was under suspicion by many of the Communists. As gradually his friends were eclipsed and Lenin became ill, Liberman was charged by the Cheka, the Soviet secret police, with favoring capitalists. He was officially cleared of these charges, but never felt himself free from suspicion. Finally, at the end of a mission to Denmark, he decided to sever his official connections with the Soviet government. After a short stay in Switzerland, he went to Paris, where he lived until 1938. Since that time he has lived in the United States.



B. G. Ingerson

GREAT SPEECHES
OF
COL. R. G. INGERSOLL.
COMPLETE.

INCLUDING HIS MATCHLESS EULOGY ON LINCOLN ; GREAT
SPEECH TO THE VETERAN SOLDIERS ; ADDRESS
TO THE FARMERS ON FARMING ; ORATION ON
DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE ; FUN-
ERAL DISCOURSE AT HIS BROTHER'S
GRAVE, ETC., ETC.,
ETC.

ILLUSTRATED.


Edited by
J. B. McCURE, A. M.

CHICAGO
RHODES AND McCURE PUBLISHING CO.
1903

Entered according to act of Congress, in the year 1898 by the
" RHODES & McCLURE PUBLISHING COMPANY,
in the Office of the Librarian of Congress, Washington, D. C.

All Rights Reserved.

PLYMOUTH
PRINTING & BINDING CO.
CHICAGO.




The brilliant eloquence, sparkling wit, and terse declarations that characterize Col. Ingersoll's speeches, are as well-known to the American public as are the truths couched in his beautiful language practically useful to mankind. His address to "The Farmers, on Farming," his great speech to the "Veteran Soldiers," his oration on the "Declaration of Independence," his nomination of James G. Blaine for the Presidency, his funeral discourse at his brother's grave, his matchless "Eulogy on Abraham Lincoln," all of which, and many others are herein presented, are among the best speeches ever delivered by man, and together form a most entertaining and valuable library book.

Many of his great political speeches are also presented, the scope of which include the practical questions of the day, such as the Tariff, Protection, Free Trade, Hard Money, Capital and Labor, etc., etc.

Religious discussions of every kind have been carefully ignored.

J. B. McCLURE.



OLD GLORY.

CONTENTS.

EULOGY ON ABRAHAM LINCOLN.....	19
Lincoln a Diplomat.....	29
SPEECH ON THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE...	51
A Revelation and Revolution.....	53
Education of Nature.....	55
The Rise of the Republic.....	56
A Nation.....	61
Liberty or Death.....	63
Eloquence of the Colonel.....	65
What We Want To-Day.....	67
Grand Future of America.....	69
TO THE FARMERS ON FARMING.....	71
Col. Ingersoll's Ideal Farmer.....	74
Best Portion of the Earth.....	76
The Farmer and the Mechanic.....	77
The Farmer and the Professional Man.....	78
Col. Ingersoll's Idea of an Educated Farmer....	79
Should Live in Villages....	80
Getting up Early in the Morning.....	82
The Fashions and Handsome Women.....	83
Home vs. The Boarding-house.....	84

Industry and Brotherhood.....	85
What the Railroads Have Done.....	86
Business and the Money Question.....	88
Illinois	90
What a Dollar Can Do.....	90
How a man Should Treat his Wife and Children.	91
Ingersoll on Cookery.....	92
The Happy Home.....	93
The Colonel's View of "Solid Comfort".....	96
NOMINATING BLAINE	100
TO THE SOLDIERS.....	105
Why the Colonel is a Republican.....	108
Ingersoll's Remarkable Vision.....	115
Solid Shot.....	118
Three Important Questions Answered.....	120
The Money Question.....	121
More Eloquence.....	124
Ingersoll's Horse Race.....	125
Ingersoll's Beautiful Dream.....	129
INGERSOLL'S FUNERAL ORATION.....	131
GREAT COOPER INSTITUTE SPEECH.....	134
The Two Parties Compared.....	134
The American Republic;.....	136
The Fugitive Law of 1850.....	137
The Bay of the Bloodhound.....	140
Abraham Lincoln.....	141
Bonds and Greenbacks.....	142
War to Be a Failure.....	147
The Republican Platform.....	148
Paying that Debt.....	149
A Telegram from Blaine.....	151

Tilden's Essay on Finance.....	153
Hard Money.....	156
Protection of Citizens.....	157
Shot Down for Opinion's Sake.....	159
Tilden and Tammany.....	160
Hayes and Wheeler.....	162
Democratic Meanness.....	163
Freedom and Progress.....	164
SPEECH TO VOLUNTEER SOLDIERS.....	167
INGERSOLL ON THE SITUATION.....	172
A Political Tramp.....	175
Democratic Stupidity.....	176
Its Usefulness Obsolete.....	176
The States' Rights Doctrine.....	179
The Colored Race.....	183
Sufferings of the Slaves.....	184
The Nation's Friends and Enemies.....	185
The Greenback Question.....	186
Greenback Inflation.....	187
Running in Debt.....	188
Hard Times.....	189
The Greenback to Be Redeemed.....	191
Tilden.....	193
Tilden a Secessionist.....	194
Rutherford B. Hayes.....	196
Not One Scratch Upon His Honor.....	197
The Republican Party.....	198
"Government Should Be for All".....	199
"Be a Blind Owl".....	200
Its Record.....	203
The Question of Superiority.....	204
My Horse Race.....	206

We Must Stand by the Party.	209
Old Corpse of Democracy.....	210
Spurn that Party Forever.....	211
Hayes and Wheeler.....	212
The Marvelous City of Pluck.....	213
WHO IS TILDEN.....	214
PLEA FOR HONEST MONEY.....	217
The Crash.....	219
Fiat Money.....	220
Government Taxes.....	221
The Country is Prosperous.....	222
Good Money.....	222
LABOR, CAPITAL, ETC.....	225
What Is a Capitalist?.....	225
How Wealth is Accumulated.....	226
Labor Not Oppressed in the United States.....	227
The Period of Inflation.....	228
Merchants and Drummers.....	232
The Crash.....	233
Property Commenced to Decline.....	234
Fiat Money.....	236
The Second Definition.....	237
The Government a Pauper.....	238
Money Has a Great Liking for Money.....	240
Inflation and Contraction.....	241
Let the Money Fade Out.....	243
Bondholders.....	242
The Way Out.....	244
The Charity of Extravagance.....	246
Labor-saving Machinery.....	247
The Poor Have a Chance.....	249

CONTENTS.

II

Tramps	250
Conclusion.....	250
ORATION AT A CHILD'S GRAVE.....	253
PLAIN FACTS	257
Republican Party and the Slave.....	257
Beware of Bachelors.....	258
What More?.....	259
Extract from Democratic Pedigree.....	260
Record of Mr. Hayes.....	262
Responsibility for Hard Times.....	261
Plain Truths for the Democrats.....	263
OUR COUNTRY.....	265
Revenue	271
Money.....	273
Repudiation.....	274
What Money Isn't.....	275
A Grease Story.....	276
Republican Honesty.....	278
The Best People.....	278
The Southern Church.....	279
Centralization.....	280
The Tewksbury Illustration.....	283
Splendid Democrats.....	284
The Candidates.....	285
Democratic Charges.....	287
LIGERSOLL ON AMERICAN NATIONALITY.....	288
Equal Opportunities for All.....	290
Best Country for the Poor.....	290
Republican Families.....	291
The Peril of State Rights.....	292

To Preserve Slavery.....	293
Repudiation.....	293
THE TWO PARTIES.....	295
Manly Voting.....	296
The Democratic Record.....	298
A War Commenced.....	300
Advocates of Secession.....	302
The Republican Record.....	304
Democratic Blundering.....	305
A Change.....	305
The Solid South.....	306
Free Speech.....	307
A Free Ballot-Box.....	308
Fraud in Elections.....	309
Southern Tissue-Ballots and Shotguns.....	311
Democracy the Greatest Luxury.....	313
Specie Payments.....	313
Honest Money.....	315
Hard Times and Repudiation.....	317
Honest Money.....	318
Greenbacks.....	319
The Greenbackers.....	320
Half Bushels and Yardsticks.....	321
Money Does Not Make Prosperity.....	322
Paper Not Money.....	323
Money Good Everywhere.....	324
Financial Honor.....	325
Intelligence, Not the Doctrine of Hatred.....	326
State Sovereignty.....	327
National Protection.....	328
General Hancock.....	331

CONTENTS.

13

Gen. Garfield.	336
Garfield not a Bigot.....	337
Voting With Rebels.....	339
How to Vote.....	339
THE NORTH AND SOUTH.....	341
No Free Speech in the South.....	344
The Party of an Honest Ballot.....	349
Who Shall Collect the Revenue?.....	352
Honest Money and an Honest Nation.....	354
The Fallacy and Folly of Fiat Dollars.....	357
The Struggle After the Panic.....	362
State Sovereignty and Human Slavery.....	364
Protecting American Labor.....	368
Source of the Free Trade Doctrine.....	370
Candidates of the Two Parties.....	373
The Republican Standard Bearers.....	378
A Forgery.....	381
Not Preaching a Gospel of Hate.....	382
PROTECTION AND PROSPERITY.....	384
The Party that Needs the "Change".....	387
What Republicanism Means.....	389
The Doctrine of State Rights.....	390
In Favor of Protection.....	391
Desperate Resorts of the Democrats.....	394
Gen. Garfield's Career.....	395
What Would Follow Hancock's Election?.....	398
Why the Republican Party Should Be Supported.	400
FIAT MONEY.....	404
AN ELOQUENT PERORATION.....	407

SOUND MONEY AND PROTECTION.....	411
Issues of the Campaign.....	412
What Is a Dollar?.....	413
Money as a Commodity.....	415
Good Money Always Good.....	417
Government Creates Nothing.....	418
How Can Money Be Too Good?.....	420
Labor's Right to Good Money.....	422
Historical.....	425
Alleged Enemies of Silver.....	426
Personal Attitude.....	427
Honor Versus Fraud.....	428
Effect of Free Silver.....	430
Labor for Home Hands.....	431
Attacks Free Trade.....	433
Want Business, Not Charity.....	434
Government by Law.....	435
Work of the Bondholders.....	437
Why Envy the Rich?.....	439
Trusts and Syndicates.....	440
Against Class Feeling.....	441
McKinley as a Statesman.....	443
Choosing Between Parties.....	444
New Democratic Party.....	445
Republican Party for Labor.....	446
How to Vote.....	447






Abraham Lincoln.....	21
Lincoln's Residence, Springfield, Ill.....	26
Lincoln's Birthplace.....	18
White Pigeon Church.....	34
Old Capitol Building, Springfield, Ill.....	39
Lincoln's Law Partner, Mr Herndon.....	42
Lincoln's Stepmother.....	46
Lincoln's Monument, Springfield, Ill.....	48
The Farmer Looking for Game.....	95
The Happy Farmer's Home.....	98
Hon. James G. Blaine.....	100
Blaine's Birthplace, Brownsville, Pa.....	104
Marching Soldiers.....	105
The Sublime Mountains.....	109
Electric Dynamo.....	113
Unforgotten.....	131
Arch of Triumph.....	135
Blaine After the Rebellion.....	152
Industrial Exposition.....	157
Gen. John A. Logan.....	169
The Old Mill.....	178
Birthplace of Gen. Grant.....	180
The Telephone.....	200

The Phonograph.....	201
Liberty.....	202
Lincoln's Cabin Home.....	230
John Hancock's Boston Home.....	231
Hiawatha Falls, Minnesota.....	251
The Transfiguration.....	254
Gen. James A. Garfield.....	286
Ship of State.....	299
Plymouth Church, Chicago.....	401
William McKinley.....	410
Abraham Lincoln, the Rail Splitter.....	416
Continental Money.....	419
Uncle.....	421
W. J. Bryan.....	423
Grover Cleveland.....	433
Prosperity.....	438
Idler.....	441
A. Lincoln.....	444
James A. Garfield.....	448
United States Capitol.....	449





B. G. Ingerson

ROBERT G. INGERSOLL'S

GREAT SPEECHES

COMPLETE.

INGERSOLL'S EULOGY ON LINCOLN.

(Delivered in the Auditorium, Chicago, Feb. 12, 1892.)

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN: — Eighty-three years ago to-day two babes were born—one in the woods of Kentucky amid the hardships and poverty of pioneers; one in England, surrounded by wealth and culture.

One associated his name with the enfranchisement of labor, with the emancipation of millions, with the salvation of the Republic. He is known to us as Abraham Lincoln.



A. Lincoln

The other broke the chains of superstition and filled the world with intellectual light and he is known to us as Charles Darwin.

Because of these men the nineteenth century is illustrious.

Every generation has its heroes, its iconoclasts, its pioneers, its ideals. The people always have been and still are divided, at least into two classes—the many, who with their backs to the sunshine, worship the past and the few' who keep their faces to the dawn—the many, who are satisfied with the world as it is, the few, who labor and suffer for the future, for those to be, and who seek to rescue the oppressed, to destroy the cruel distinctions of caste, and to civilize mankind.

Yet it sometimes happens that the liberator of one age becomes the oppressor of the next. His reputation becomes so great—he becomes so revered and worshipped—that the followers in his name attack the hero who endeavors to take another step in advance.

In our country there were for many years two great political parties, and each of these parties had conservatives and extremists. The extremists of the Democratic party were in the rear, and wished to go back; the extremists of the Republican party were in the front, and wished to go forward. The extreme Democrat was willing to destroy the Union for the sake of slavery, and the extreme Republican was willing to destroy the Union for the sake of liberty.

Neither party could succeed without the vote of the extremists.

This was the political situation in 1858-60.

The extreme Democrats would not vote for Douglas

but the extreme Republicans did vote for Lincoln. Lincoln occupied the middle ground, and was the compromise candidate of his own party. He had lived for many years in the intellectual territory of compromise—in a part of our country settled by Northern and Southern men—where Northern and Southern ideas met. and the ideals of the two sections were brought together and compared.


The sympathies of Lincoln, his ties of kindred, were with the South. His conviction, his sense of justice, and his ideals, were with the North. He knew the horrors of slavery; and he felt the unspeakable ecstasies and glories of freedom.

He had the kindness, the gentleness. of true greatness; and he could not have been a master; he had the manhood and independence of true greatness, and he could not have been a slave.

He was just, and he was incapable of putting a burden upon others that he himself would not willingly bear.

He was merciful and profound, and it was not necessary for him to read the history of the world to know that liberty and slavery could not live in the same nation or in the same brain.

The Republic had reached a crisis, the conflict between Liberty and Slavery could no longer be delayed. From the heights of philosophy—standing above the contending hosts, above the prejudices, above the sentimentalities of this day—Lincoln was good enough and brave enough and wise enough to utter these prophetic words.



EARLY HOME OF THE LINCOLNS IN ILLINOIS.

located in Macon County, in the Sangamon Valley, about ten miles from Decatur. It was here, during the first year, that Abraham Lincoln and John Hanks split several thousand rails. Lincoln was about twenty years of age at this time.

"A house divided against itself can not stand, I believe this country can not permanently endure half slave and half free. I do not expect the Union to be dissolved; I do not expect the house to fall; but I do expect it will cease to be divided. It will become all the one thing or the other. Either the opponents of slavery will arrest the further spread of it and place it where the public mind shall rest in the belief that it is in the course of ultimate extinction or its advocates will push it farther until it becomes alike lawful in all the States, old as well as new, North as well as South."

This declaration was the standard around which gathered the grandest political party that the world has ever seen, and this declaration made Lincoln the leader of that vast host.

In this, the first great crisis, Lincoln uttered the victorious truth that made him the foremost man in the Republic.

Then came another crisis—the crisis of secession and civil war.

Again Lincoln spoke the deepest feeling and the highest thought of the Nation. In his first message he said:

"The central idea of secession is the essence of anarchy."

He also showed conclusively that the North and South, in spite of secession, must remain face to face—that physically they could not separate—that they must have more or less commerce, and that this commerce must be carried on, either between the two sections as friends or aliens.

This situation and its consequences he pointed out to absolute perfection in these words:

"Can aliens make treaties easier than friends can make laws! Can treaties be more faithfully enforced between aliens than laws among friends!"

After having stated fully and fairly the philosophy of the conflict, after having said enough to satisfy any calm and thoughtful mind, he addressed himself to the hearts of America. Probably there are fewer and finer passages of literature than the close of Lincoln's first message:

"I am loth to close. We are not enemies, but friends. We must not be enemies. Though passion may have strained, it must not break, our bonds of affection. The mystic chords of memory stretching from every battlefield and patriotic grave to every loving heart and hearth-stone all over this broad land, will swell the chorus of the Union when again touched, as surely they will be, by the better angels of our nature."

These noble, these touching, these pathetic words, were delivered in the presence of rebellion, in the midst of spies and conspirators—surrounded by friends, most of whom were unknown and some of whom were wavering in their fidelity—at a time when secession was arrogant and organized, when patriotism was silent, and when, to quote the expressive words of Lincoln himself, "Sinners were calling the righteous to repentance."

When Lincoln became President he was held in contempt by the South—underrated by the North and East—not appreciated even by his Cabinet—and yet he was not only one of the wisest but one of the shrewdest of mankind. Knowing that he had the right to enforce the laws of the Union in all parts of the United States and Territories—knowing, as he did, that the secessionists

were in the wrong, he also knew they had sympathizers, not only in the North, but in other lands. Consequently he felt that it was of the utmost importance that the South should fire the first shot, should do some act that would solidify the North and gain for us the justification of the civilized world. He so managed affairs that while he was attempting simply to give food to our soldiers, the south commenced actual hostilities and fired on Sumter.

This course was pursued by Lincoln in spite of the advice of many friends, and yet a wiser thing was never done.

At that time Lincoln appreciated the scope and consequences of the impending conflict. Above all other thoughts in his mind was this: This conflict will settle the question, at least for centuries to come, whether man is capable of governing himself, and consequently is of greatest importance to the free than to the enslaved. He knew what depended on the issue, and he said: "We shall nobly save, or meanly lose, the last, best hope of earth."

Then came a crisis in the North. It became clearer and clearer to Lincoln's mind, day by day, that the rebellion was slavery, and that it was necessary to keep the border States on the side of the Union.

For this purpose he proposed a scheme of emancipation and colonization—a scheme by which the owners of slaves should be paid the full value of what they called their "property." He called attention to the fact that he had adhered to the act of Congress to confiscate property used for insurrectionary purposes—that the Union must be preserved, and that therefore all indis-

pensable means must be employed to that end.

He knew that if the border States agreed to gradual emancipation, and received compensation for their slaves, they would be forever lost to the Confederacy, whether secession succeeded or not. It was objected at the time by some that the scheme was far too expensive; but Lincoln, wiser than his advisers—far wiser than his enemies—demonstrated that from an economical point of view his course was the best.


He proposed that \$400 be paid for slaves, including men, women and children. This was a large price, and yet it showed how much cheaper it was to purchase than carry on the war.

At that time, at the price mentioned, there were about \$750,000 worth of slaves in Delaware. The cost of carrying on the war was at least two millions of dollars a day, and for one-third of one day's expenses all the slaves in Delaware could be purchased. He also showed that all the slaves in Delaware, Maryland, Kentucky and Missouri could be bought, at the same price, for less than the expense of carrying on the war for eighty-seven days.

This was the wisest thing that could have been proposed, and yet such was the madness of the South, such the indignation of the North, that the advice was unheeded.

Again, in July, 1862, he urged on the representatives of the border States a scheme of gradual compensated emancipation; but the representatives were too deaf to hear, too blind to see.

Lincoln always hated slavery, and yet he felt the obligations and duties of his position. In his first mes-



ABRAHAM LINCOLN'S RESIDENCE AT SPRINGFIELD, ILL.

sage he assured the South the laws, including the most odious of all—the law for the return of fugitive slaves—would be enforced. The South would not hear. Afterward he proposed to purchase the slaves of the border States, but the proposition was hardly discussed—hardly heard. Events came thick and fast; theories gave way to facts, and everything was left to force.

The fact is that he tried to discharge the obligations of his great office, knowing from the first that slavery must perish. The course pursued by Lincoln was so gentle, so kind and persistent, so wise and logical that millions of Northern Democrats sprang to the defense not only of the Union, but of his administration. Lincoln refused to be led or hurried by Fremont or Hunter, by Greeley or Sumner. From first to last he was leader, and he kept step with events.

On the 22nd of July, 1862, Lincoln called together his Cabinet for the purpose of showing the draft of a proclamation of emancipation, stating to them that he did not wish their advice, as he had made up his mind.

This proclamation was held until some great victory might be achieved, so that it would not appear to be the effect of weakness, but the child of strength.

This was on the 22nd of July, 1862. On the 22nd of August the same Lincoln wrote his celebrated letter to Horace Greeley, in which he stated that it was to save the Union; that he would save it with slavery if he could; that if it was necessary to destroy slavery in order to save the Union he would; in other words, he would do what was necessary to save the Union.

This letter disheartened to a great degree thousands and millions of the friends of freedom. They thought

that Mr. Lincoln had not attained the moral height upon which they supposed he stood. And yet when this letter was written the emancipation proclamation was in his hands and had been for thirty days, waiting only an opportunity to give it to the world.

Some two weeks after the letter to Greeley Lincoln was waited on by a committee of clergymen, and was by them informed that it was God's will that he should issue a proclamation of emancipation. He replied to them, in substance, that the day of miracles had passed. He also kindly and mildly suggested that if it were God's will that this proclamation be issued, certainly God would have made known that will to him—to the person whose duty it was to issue it.

On the 22nd day of September, 1862, the most glorious date in the history of the Republic, the Proclamation of Emancipation was issued.

The Extreme Democrat of the North was fearful that slavery might be destroyed, that the Constitution might be broken; and that Lincoln, after all, could not be trusted; and at the same time the radical Republican feared that he loved the Union more than he did liberty.

Lincoln had reached the generalization of all argument upon the question of slavery and freedom—a generalization that never will be excelled:

“In giving freedom to the slave, we assure freedom to the free.”

Liberty can be retained, can be enjoyed, only by giving it to others. The spendthrift saves, the miser is the prodigal. He who puts chains upon the body of another shackles his own soul.



BIRTH-PLACE OF ABRAHAM LINCOLN.

In what is now LaRue Co., Kentucky, one and a half miles from Hodgenville, and seven miles from Elizabethtown. The three pear trees were planted by Lincoln's father, and mark the spot near where the house stood. Abraham Lincoln was born February 12, 1809. He resided here only a few years.

The moment the proclamation was issued, the cause of the Republic became sacred. From that moment the North fought for the human race. From that moment the North stood under the blue and stars, the flag of nature—sublime and free.

We were surrounded by enemies. Many of the so-called great in Europe and England were against us. They hated the Republic, despised our institutions, and sought in many ways to aid the South.

Mr. Gladstone announced that Jefferson Davis had made a nation, and that he did not believe the restoration of the American Union by force attainable. It was also declared that the North was fighting for empire and the South for independence.

The Marquis of Salisbury said: "The people of the South are the natural allies of England. The North keeps an opposition shop in the same department of trade as ourselves." Some of their statesmen declared that the subjugation of the South by the North would be a calamity to the world. Louis Napoleon was another enemy, and he endeavored to establish a monarchy in Mexico, to the end that the great North might be destroyed. But the patience, the uncommon sense, the statesmanship of Lincoln—in spite of foreign hate and Northern division—triumphed over all.

LINCOLN WAS, BY NATURE, A DIPLOMAT.

He knew the art of sailing against the wind. He understood, not only the rights of individuals, but of nations. In all his correspondence with other governments he neither wrote nor sanctioned a line which afterward was

used to tie his hands In the use of perfect English he easily rose above all his advisers and all his fellows.

No one claims that Lincoln did all. He could have done nothing without the great and splendid generals in the field; and the generals could have done nothing without their armies. The praise is due to all—to the private as much as to the officer; to the lowest who did his duty, as much as to the highest. But Lincoln stood at the center and directed all.

Slavery was the cause of the war, and slavery was the perpetual stumbling-block. As the war went on, question after question arose—questions that could not be answered by theories. Should we hand back the slave to his master, when the master was using his slave to destroy the Union? If the South was right, slaves were property, and by the laws of war anything that might be used to the advantage of the enemy might be confiscated by us. Events did not wait for discussion, General Butler denominated the negro as “a contraband.” Congress provided that the property of the rebels might be confiscated.

Lincoln moved along this line. Each step was delayed by Northern division, but every step was taken in the same direction.

First, Lincoln offered to execute every law, including the most infamous of all; second, to buy the slaves of the border States; third, to confiscate the property of rebels; fourth, to treat slaves as contraband of war; fifth, to use slaves for the putting down the rebellion; sixth, to arm these slaves and clothe them in the uniform of the Republic; seventh, to make them citizens and allow

them to stand on an equality with their white brethren under the flag of the Republic

During all these years Lincoln moved with the people—with the masses, and every step he took was justified by the considerate of mankind.

Lincoln not only watched the war, but kept his hand on the political pulse. In 1863 a tide set in against the administration. A Republican meeting was to be held in Springfield, Illinois, and Lincoln wrote a letter to be read at this convention. It was in his happiest vein. It was a perfect defense of his administration, including the proclamation of emancipation. Among other things he said:

“But the proclamation, as law, either is valid or it is not valid. If it is not valid it needs no retraction; but if it is valid it can not be retracted any more than the dead can be brought to life.”

To the Northern Democrats who said they would not fight for negroes, Lincoln replied;

“Some of them seem willing to fight for you—but no matter.”

“But negroes, like other people, act upon motives. Why should they do anything for us if we will do nothing for them? If they stake their lives for us they must be prompted by the strongest motive—even the promise of freedom. And the promise, being made, must be kept.”

There is one line in this letter that will give it immortality;

“The Father of Waters again goes unvexed to the sea.”

Another;

“Among free men there can be no successful appeal from the ballot to the bullet.”

He draws a comparison between the white men against us and the black men for us:


“And then there will be some black men who can remember with silent tongue and clenched teeth and steady eye and well-poised bayonet they have helped mankind on to this consummation; while I fear there will be some white ones unable to forget that with malignant heart and deceitful speech they strove to hinder it.”

Under the influence of this letter, the love of country, of the Union, and above all the love of liberty, took possession of the heroic North.

Success produces envy. and envy often ends in conspiracy. Lincoln always saw the end. He was unmoved by the storms and currents of the time. He advanced too rapidly for the conservative politicians, too slowly for the radical enthusiasts. He occupied the line of safety, and held by his personalty—by the force of his great character, by his charming candor, the masses on his side. The soldiers thought of him as a father.

All who had lost their sons in battle felt that they had his sympathy—felt that his face was as sad as theirs. They knew that Lincoln was actuated by one motive, and that his energies were bent to the attainment of one end—the salvation of the Republic.

In 1864 many politicians united against him. It is not for me to criticise their motives or their actions. It is enough to say that the magnanimity of Lincoln to-



WHITE PIGEON CHURCH.
The unpretentious edifice where Abraham Lincoln attended Divine Service in early life

ward those who had deserted and endeavored to destroy him is without parallel in the political history of the world. This magnanimity made his success not only possible, but certain.

Vallandigham was a friend of the South, an enemy of the North. He did what he could to sow the seeds of failure. He had far more courage than intelligence—more cunning than patriotism. For the most part he was actuated by political malice. He was tried and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment in Fort Warren. Lincoln disapproved of the findings, changed the punishment, and with a kind of grim humor sent Mr. Vallandigham “to his friends in the South.” Those who regarded the act as unconstitutional almost forgave it for the sake of its humor.

Horace Greeley always had the idea that he was greatly superior to Lincoln, and for a long time he insisted that the people of the North and the people of the South desired peace. He took it upon himself to lecture Lincoln, and felt that he in some way was responsible for the conduct of the war. Lincoln, with that wonderful sense of humor united with shrewdness and profound wisdom, told Mr. Greeley that if the South really wanted peace he (Lincoln) desired the same thing, and was doing all he could to bring it about. Greeley insisted that a commissioner should be appointed, with authority to negotiate with the representatives of the Confederacy. This was Lincoln's opportunity. He authorized Greeley to act as such commissioner. The great editor felt that he was caught. For a time he hesitated, but finally went, and found that the Southern commissioners were willing to take into consideration any offers of peace that

Lincoln might make. The failure of Greeley was humiliating and the position in which he was left absurd.

Again the humor of Lincoln had triumphed.

One of the most wonderful and unfortunate things ever done by Lincoln was the promotion of General Hooker. After the battle of Fredericksburg General Burnside found great fault with Hooker, and wished to have him removed from the Army of the Potomac. Lincoln disapproved of Burnside's order, and gave Hooker the command of the Army of the Potomac. He then wrote Hooker the memorable letter:

"I have placed you at the head of the Army of the Potomac. Of course I have done this upon what appears to me to be sufficient reasons, and yet I think it best for you to know that there are some things in regard to which I am not quite satisfied with you. I believe you to be a brave and skillful soldier—which, of course, I like. I also believe you do not mix politics with your profession—in which you are right. You have confidence in yourself—which is valuable, if not an indispensable quality. You are ambitious—which, within reasonable bounds, does good rather than harm. But I think that during General Burnside's command of the army you have taken counsel of your ambition to thwart him as much as you could—in which you did a great wrong to the country and to a most meritorious and honorable brother officer. I have heard, in such a way as to believe it, of your recently saying that both the army and the government needed a dictator. Of course it was not for this, but in spite of it, that I have given you the command. Only those generals who gain successes can

set up dictators. What I now ask of you is military successes, and I will risk the dictatorship. The government will support you to the utmost of its ability, which is neither more nor less than it has done and will do for all commanders. I much fear that the spirit which you have aided to infuse into the army, of criticising their commander and withholding confidence in him, will now turn upon you. I shall assist you, as far as I can, to put it down. Neither you, nor Napoleon, if he were alive again, can get any good out of an army while such a spirit prevails in it. And now beware of rashness. Beware of rashness, but with energy and sleepless vigilance go forward and give us victories."

This letter has, in my judgment, no parallel. The mistaken magnanimity is almost equal to the prophecy:

"I much fear that the spirit which you have aided to infuse into the army of criticising their commander and withholding confidence in him will now turn upon you."

A great actor can be known only when he has assumed the principal character in a great drama. Possibly the greatest actors have never appeared, and it may be the greatest soldiers have lived the lives of perfect peace. Lincoln assumed the leading part of the greatest drama ever acted upon the stage of a continent.

His criticisms of military movements, his correspondence with his generals and others on the conduct of the war, show that he was at all times master of the situation—that he was a natural strategist, that he appreciated the difficulties and advantages of every kind, and that in "the still and mental" field of war he stood the peer of any man beneath the flag. Had McClellan followed his advice he would have taken Richmond. Had Hooker

acted in accordance with his suggestions Chancellorsville would have been a victory for us.

Lincoln's political prophecies were always fulfilled. We know now that he not only stood at the top, but that he occupied the center, from the first to the last, and that he did this by reason of his intelligence, his humor, his philosophy, his courage, and his patriotism.

He lived to hear the shout of victory. He lived until the Confederacy died—until Lee had surrendered, until Davis had fled, until the doors of Libby Prison were opened, until the Republic was free.

He lived until Lincoln and liberty were united forever. He lived until there remained nothing for him to do as great as he had done.

What he did was worth living for, worth dying for.

He lived until he stood in the midst of universal joy, beneath the outstretched wings of peace—the foremost man in all the world.


And then the horror came. Night fell on noon. The savior of the Republic, the breaker of chains, the liberator of millions, he who had “assured freedom to the free,” was dead.

Upon his brow Fame had placed the immortal wreath.

For the first time in the history of the world a Nation bowed and wept.

The memory of Lincoln is the strongest, tenderest tie that binds all hearts together now, and holds all States beneath a Nation's flag.

Strange mingling of mirth and tears, of the tragic and grotesque, of cap and crown, of Socrates and Democritus, of Æsop and Marcus Aurelius, of all that is gentle and just, humorous and honest, merciful, wise, laughable,



THE OLD CAPITOL BUILDING AT SPRINGFIELD, ILL.

lovable and divine, and all consecrated to the use of man; while through all, and over all, was an overwhelming sense of obligation, of chivalric loyalty to truth and upon all the shadow of the tragic end.

Nearly all the great historic characters are impossible monsters, disproportioned by flattery, or by calumny deformed. We know nothing of their peculiarities, or nothing but their peculiarities. About the roots of these oaks there clings none of the earth of humanity.

Washington is now only a steel engraving. About the real man who lived and loved, and hated and schemed, we know but little. The glassthrough which we look at him is of such high magnifying power that the features are exceedingly indistinct.

Hundreds of people are now engaged in smoothing out the lines of Lincoln's face—forcing all features to the common mould—so that he may be known, not as he really was, but, according to their poor standard, as he should have been.

Lincoln was not a type. He stands alone—no ancestors, no fellows, and no successors.

He had the advantage of living in a new country, of social equality, of personal freedom, of seeing in the horizon of his future the perpetual star of hope. He preserved his individuality and his self-respect. He knew and mingled with men of every kind; and, after all, men are the best books. He became acquainted with the ambitions and hopes of the heart, the means used to accomplish ends, the springs of action and the seeds of thought. He was familiar with nature, with actual things, with common facts. He loved and appreciated the poem of the year, the drama of the season,

In a new country a man must possess at least three virtues—honesty, courage, and generosity. In cultivated society cultivation is often more important than soil. A well-executed counterfeit passes more readily than a blurred genuine. It is necessary only to observe the unwritten laws of society—to be honest enough to keep out of prison and generous enough to subscribe in public—where the subscription can be defended as an investment.

In a new country character is essential; in the old reputation is sufficient. In the new they find what a man really is; in the old he generally passes for what he resembles. People separated only by distance are much nearer together than those divided by the walls of caste.

It is no advantage to live in a great city, where poverty degrades and failure brings despair. The fields are lovelier than paved streets, and great forests than walls of brick. Oaks and elms are more poetic than steeples and chimneys.

In the country is the idea of home. There you see the rising and setting sun; you become acquainted with the stars and clouds, The constellations are your friends. You hear the rain on the roof, and listen to the rhythmic sighing of the winds. You are thrilled by the resurrection called spring, touched and saddened by autumn—the grace and poetry of death. Every field is a picture, a landscape; every landscape a poem; every flower a tender thought, and every forest a fairy-land. In the country you preserve your identity—your personality. There you are an aggregation of atoms; but in the city you are only an atom of an aggregation.

Lincoln never finished his education. To the night of his death he was a pupil, a learner, an inquirer, a seeker



W. H. HERNDON, LINCOLN'S LAW PARTNER.

[It was Mr. Lincoln's intention to return to Springfield from Washington and continue the practice of law with Mr. Herndon. In their last interview in the office, referring to their sign-board, Lincoln said: "Let it hang there undisturbed. Give our clients to understand that the election of a President makes no change in the firm of Lincoln and Herndon. If I live I'm coming back sometime, and then we'll go right on practicing law as if nothing ever happened."—Editor.]

after knowledge. You have no idea how many men are spoiled by what is called education. For the most part colleges are places where pebbles are polished and diamonds are dimmed. If Shakespeare had been educated at Oxford he might have been a quibbling attorney or a hypocritical parson.

He was a great lawyer. There is nothing shrewder in this world than intelligent honesty. Perfect candor is not only a sword but a shield.

He understood the nature of man. As a lawyer he endeavored to get at the truth, at the very heart of a case. He was not willing even to deceive himself. No matter what his interests said, what his passion demanded, he was great enough to find the truth and strong enough to pronounce judgment against his own desires.

He never was satisfied until he fully understood not only the facts, not only the law applicable to such facts, but the reason of the law.

If any one doubts his legal ability, let him read, first, the opinion of Chief Justice Taney in the Merryman case, and then the views of Lincoln on that opinion.

Mr. Lincoln was a statesman. The great stumbling block—the great obstruction—in Lincoln's way, and in the way of thousands, was the old doctrine of states rights.

This doctrine was first established to protect slavery. It was clung to to protect the inter-state slave trade. It became sacred in connection with the fugitive slave law, and was finally used as the corner-stone of secession.

This doctrine was never appealed to in defense of the right—always in support of the wrong. For many years politicians upon both sides of these questions endeavored

to express the exact relations existing between the Federal Government and the States, and I know of no one who succeeded except Lincoln. In his message of 1861, delivered on July 4, the definition is given, and it is perfect:

Whatever concerns the whole should be confided to the whole—to the General Government. Whatever concerns only the State should be left exclusively to the State.

When that definition is realized in practice this country becomes a Nation.

Lincoln was a many-sided man, acquainted with smiles and tears, complex in brain, single in heart, direct as light; and his words, candid as mirrors, gave the perfect image of his thought. He was never afraid to ask—never too dignified to admit that he did not know. No man had keener wit or kinder humor.

It may be that humor is the pilot of reason. People without humor drift unconsciously into absurdity. Humor sees the other side—stands in the wind like a spectator, a good-natured critic, and gives its opinion before judgment is reached. Humor goes with good nature, and good nature is the climate of reason. In anger reason abdicates and malice extinguishes the torch. Such was the humor of Lincoln that he could tell even unpleasant truths as charmingly as most men can tell the things we wish to hear.

He was not solemn. Solemnity is a mask worn by ignorance and hypocrisy—it is the preface, prologue, and index to the cunning or the stupid.

He was natural in his life and thought—master of the storyteller's art, in illustration apt, in application perfect,

liberal in speech, shocking pharisees and prudes, using any word that wit could disinfect.

He was a logician. His logic shed light. In its presence the obscure became luminous, and the most complex and intricate political and metaphysical knots seemed to untie themselves. Logic is the necessary product of intelligence and sincerity. It cannot be learned. It is the child of a clear head and a good heart.

Lincoln was candid, and with candor often deceived the deceitful. He had intellect without arrogance, genius without pride, and religion without cant—that is to say, without bigotry and without deceit.

He was an orator—clear, sincere, natural. He did not pretend. He did not say what he thought others thought, but what he thought.

If you wish to be sublime you must be natural—you must keep close to the grass. You must sit by the fire-side of the heart; above the clouds it is too cold. You must be simple in your speech; too much polish suggests insincerity.

The great orator idealizes the real, transfigures the common, makes even the inanimate throb and thrill, fills the gallery of the imagination with statues and pictures perfect in form and color, brings to light the gold hoarded by memory the miser, shows the glittering coin to the spendthrift hope, enriches the brain, ennobles the heart, and quickens the conscience. Between his lips words bud and blossom.

If you wish to know the difference between an orator and an elocutionist—between what is felt and what is said—between what the heart and brain can do together

and what the brain can do alone—read Lincoln's wondrous words at Gettysburg, and then the speech of Edward Everett.

The oration of Lincoln will never be forgotten. It will



MRS. SARAH BUSH LINCOLN, LINCOLN'S STEPMOTHER.

live until languages are dead and lips are dust. The speech of Everett will never be read.

The elocutionists believe in the virtue of voice, the sublimity of syntax, the majesty of long sentences, and the genius of gesture.

The orator loves the real, the simple, the natural. He

places the thought above all. He knows that the greatest ideas should be expressed in the shortest words—that the greatest statues need the least drapery.

Lincoln was an immense personality—firm but not obstinate. Obstinacy is egotism—firmness, heroism. He influenced others without effort, unconsciously; and they submitted to him as men submit to nature, unconsciously. He was severe with himself, and for that reason lenient with others.

He appeared to apologize for being kinder than his fellows.

He did merciful things as stealthily as others committed crimes.


Almost ashamed of tenderness, he said and did the noblest words and deeds with that charming confusion, that awkwardness, that is the perfect grace of modesty.

As a noble man, wishing to pay a small debt to a poor neighbor, reluctantly offers a hundred-dollar bill and asks for change, fearing that he may be suspected either of making a display of wealth or of pretense of payment, so Lincoln hesitated to show his wealth of goodness, even to the best he knew.

A great man stooping, not wishing to make his fellows feel that they were small or mean.

By his candor, by his kindness, by his perfect freedom from restraint, by saying what he thought, and saying it absolutely in his own way, he made it not only possible, but popular, to be natural. He was the enemy of mock solemnity, of the stupidly respectable, of the cold and formal

He wore no official robes either on his body or his



LINCOLN'S MONUMENT. AT SPRINGFIELD, ILL.

soul. He never pretended to be more or less, or other or different from what he really was.

He had the unconscious naturalness of Nature's self. He built upon the rock. The foundation was secure and broad. The structure was a pyramid, narrowing as it rose. Through days and nights of sorrow, through years of grief and pain, with unswerving purpose, "with malice towards none, with charity for all," with infinite patience, with unclouded vision, he hoped and toiled. Stone after stone was laid until at last the Proclamation found its place. On that the Goddess stands.

He knew others, because perfectly acquainted with himself. He cared nothing for place, but everything for principle; nothing for money, but everything for independence. Where no principle was involved, easily swayed—willing to go slowly, if in the right direction—sometimes willing to stop; but he would not go back, and he would not go wrong.

He was willing to wait. He knew that the event was not waiting and that fate was not the fool of chance. He knew that slavery had defenders, but no defense, and that they who attack the right must wound themselves.

He was neither tyrant nor slave. He neither knelt nor scorned.

With him men were neither great nor small—they were right or wrong.

Through manners, clothes, titles, rags and race he saw the real—that which is. Beyond accident, policy, compromise and war he saw the end.

He was as patient as Destiny, whose undecipherable

hieroglyphics were so deeply graven on his sad and tragic face.

Nothing discloses real character like the use of power. It is easy for the weak to be gentle. Most men can bear adversity. But if you wish to know what a man really is give him power. This is the supreme test. It is the glory of Lincoln that, having almost absolute power, he never abused it except on the side of mercy.

Wealth could not purchase, power could not awe, this divine, this loving man.

He knew no fear except the fear of doing wrong. Hating slavery, pitying the master—seeking to conquer, not persons, but prejudice—he was the embodiment of the self-denial, the courage, the hope, and the nobility of a Nation.

He spoke, not to inflame, not to upbraid, but to convince.

He raised his hands, not to strike, but in benediction.

He longed to pardon.

He loved to see the pearls of joy on the cheeks of a wife whose husband he had rescued from death.

Lincoln was the grandest figure of the fiercest civil war. He is the gentlest memory of our world.





INGERSOLL'S GREAT SPEECH ON THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE.

The Grandest of Documents.

[From the Indianapolis Journal]

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:—The Declaration of Independence is the grandest, the bravest, and the profoundest political document that was ever signed by the representatives of the people. It is the embodiment of physical and moral courage and of political wisdom.

I say physical courage, because it was a declaration of war against the most powerful nation then on the globe; a declaration of war by thirteen weak, unorganized colonies; a declaration of war by a few people, without military stores, without wealth, without strength, against the most powerful kingdom on the earth; a declaration of war made when the British navy, at that day the mistress of every sea, was hovering along the coast of America, looking after defenseless towns and villages to ravage and destroy. It was made when thousands of English soldiers were upon our soil, and when the principal cities of America were in the substantial possession of the enemy. And so, I say, all things considered, it was

the bravest political document ever signed by man. And if it was physically brave, the moral courage of the document is almost infinitely beyond the physical. They had the courage not only, but they had the almost infinite wisdom to declare that all men are created equal.

With one blow, with one stroke of the pen, they struck down all the cruel, heartless barriers that aristocracy, that priestcraft, that kingcraft had raised between man and man. They struck down with one immortal blow that infamous spirit of caste that makes a god almost a beast, and a beast almost a god. With one word, with one blow, they wiped away and utterly destroyed all that had been done by centuries of war—centuries of hypocrisy—centuries of injustice.

What more did they do? Then they declared that each man has a right to live. And what does that mean? It means that he has the right to make his living. It means that he has the right to breathe the air, to work the land, that he stands the equal of every other human being beneath the shining stars; entitled to the product of his labor—the labor of his hand and of his brain.

What more? That every man has the right to pursue his own happiness in his own way. Grandest words than these have never been spoken by man.

And what more did these men say? They laid down the doctrine that governments were instituted among men for the purpose of preserving the rights of the people. The old idea was that people existed solely for the benefit of the State—that is to say, for kings and nobles.

The old idea was that the people were the wards of

king and priest—that their bodies belonged to one and their souls to another.

A REVELATION AND REVOLUTION.

And what more? That the people are the source of political power. That was not only a revelation but it was a revolution. It changed the ideas of people with regard to the source of political power. For the first time it made human beings men. What was the old idea? The old idea was that the political power came from the clouds; that the political power came in some miraculous way from heaven; that it came down to kings, and queens, and robbers. That was the old idea. The nobles lived upon the labor of the people; the people had no rights; the nobles stole what they had and divided with the kings, and the kings pretended to divide what they stole with God Almighty. The source, then, of political power was from above. The people were responsible to the nobles, the nobles to the king, and the people had no political rights whatever, no more than the wild beasts of the forest. The kings were responsible to God, not to the people. The kings were responsible to the clouds, not to the toiling millions they robbed and plundered.

And our forefathers, in this declaration of independence, reversed this thing, and said: No, the people, they are the source of political power, and their rulers, these presidents, these kings, are but the agents and servants of the great, sublime people. For the first time, really, in the history of the world, the king was made to get off the throne and the people were royally seated thereon. The people became the sovereigns, and

the old sovereigns became the servants and the agents of the people. It is hard for you and me now to imagine even the immense results of that change. It is hard for you and me, at this day, to understand how thoroughly it had been ingrained in the brain of almost every man that the king had some wonderful right over him; that in some strange way the king owned him; that in some miraculous manner he belonged, body and soul, to somebody who rode on a horse, to somebody with epaulettes on his shoulders, and a tinsel crown upon his brainless head.

Our forefathers had been educated in that idea, and when they first landed on American shores they believed it. They thought they belonged to somebody, and that they must be loyal to some thief, who could trace his ancestry back to antiquity's most successful robber.

It took a long time for them to get that idea out of their heads and hearts. They were three thousand miles away from the despotisms of the old world, and every wave of the sea was an assistant to them. The distance helped to disenchant their minds of that infamous belief, and every mile between them and the pomp and glory of monarchy helped to put republican ideas and thoughts into their minds. Besides that, when they came to this country, when the savage was in the forest and three thousand miles of waves on the other side, menaced by barbarian, on the one side, and famine on the other, they learned that a man who had courage, a man who had thought, was as good as any other man in the world, and they built up, as it were, in spite of themselves, little republics. And the man that had the

most nerve and heart was the best man, whether he had any noble blood in his veins or not.

THE EDUCATION OF NATURE.

It has been a favorite idea with me that our forefathers were educated by nature; that they grew grand as the continent upon which they landed; that the green rivers—the wide plains—the splendid lakes—the lonely forests—the sublime mountains—all these things stole into and became a part of their being, and they grew great as the country in which they lived. They began to hate the narrow, contracted views of Europe. They were educated by their surroundings, and every little colony had to be, to a certain extent, a republic. The kings of the old world endeavored to parcel out this land to their favorites. But there were too many Indians. There was too much courage required for them to take and keep it, and so men had to come here who were dissatisfied with the old country—who were dissatisfied with England, dissatisfied with France, with Germany, with Ireland and Holland. The king's favorites stayed at home. Men came here for liberty, and on account of certain principles they entertained and held dearer than life. And they were willing to work, willing to fell the forests, to fight the savages, willing to go through all the hardships, perils and dangers of a new country, of a new land; and the consequence was that our country was settled by brave and adventurous spirits, by men who had opinions of their own, and were willing to live in the wild forests for the sake of expressing those opinions, even if they expressed them only to trees, rocks, and savage men. The best blood of the old world came to the new.

THE RISE OF THE REPUBLIC—LIBERTY AND TOLERATION.

When they first came over they did not have a great deal of political philosophy, nor the best ideas of liberty. We might as well tell the truth. When the Puritans first came they were narrow. They did not understand what liberty meant—what religious liberty, what political liberty, was; but they found out in a few years. There was one feeling among them that rises to their eternal honor like a white shaft to the clouds—they were in favor of universal education. Wherever they went they built school houses, introduced books, and ideas of literature. They believed that every man should know how to read and how to write, and should find out all that his capacity allowed him to comprehend. That is the glory of the Puritan fathers.

They forgot in a little while what they had suffered, and they forgot to apply the principles of universal liberty—of toleration. Some of the colonies did not forget it, and I want to give credit where credit should be given. The Catholics of Maryland were the first people on the new continent to declare universal religious toleration. Let this be remembered to their eternal honor. Let it be remembered to the disgrace of the Protestant government of England, that it caused this grand law to be repealed. And to the honor and credit of the Catholics of Maryland let it be remembered, that the moment they got back into power they re-enacted the old law. The Baptists of Rhode Island, also, led by Roger Williams, were in favor of universal religious liberty.

No American should fail to honor Roger Williams. He was the first grand advocate of the liberty of the soul.

He was in favor of the eternal divorce of Church and State. So far as I know, he was the only man at that time in this country who was in favor of real religious liberty.

While the Catholics of Maryland declared in favor of religious toleration, they had no idea of religious liberty. They would not allow any one to call in question the doctrine of the Trinity, or the inspiration of the Scriptures. They stood ready with branding-iron and gallows to burn and choke out of man the idea that he had the right to think and to express his thoughts.

So many religions met in our country—so many theories and dogmas came in contact—so many follies, mistakes and stupidities became acquainted with each other, that religion began to fall somewhat into dispute. Besides this, the question of a new nation began to take precedence of all others.

The people were too much interested in this world to quarrel about the next. The preacher was lost in the patriot. The Bible was read to find passages against kings.

Everybody was discussing the rights of man. Farmers and mechanics suddenly became statesmen, and in every shop and cabin nearly every question was asked and answered.

During these years of political excitement, the interest in religion abated to that degree that a common purpose animated men of all sects and creeds.

At last our fathers became tired of being colonists—tired of writing and reading and signing petitions, and presenting them, on their bended knees, to an idiot king. They began to have an aspiration to form a new nation,

to be citizens of a new republic instead of subjects to an old monarchy. They had the idea—the Puritans, the Catholics, the Episcopalians, the Baptists, the Quakers, and a few Free-Thinkers, all had the idea—that they would like to form a new nation.

Now, do not understand that all of our fathers were in favor of independence. Do not understand that they were all like Jefferson; that they were all like Adams or Lee; that they were all like Thomas Paine or John Hancock.

There were thousands and thousands of them who were opposed to American Independence. There were thousands and thousands who said: "When you say men are created equal, it is a lie; when you say the political power resides in the great body of the people, it is false." Thousands and thousands of them said: "We prefer Great Britain." But the men who were in favor of independence, the men who knew that a new nation must be born, went on full of hope and courage, and nothing could daunt or stop or stay the heroic fearless few.

They met in Philadelphia, and the resolution was moved by Lee, of Virginia, that the colonies ought to be independent States, and ought to dissolve their political connection with Great Britain.

They made up their minds that a new nation must be formed. All nations had been, so to speak, the wards of some church. The religious idea as to the source of power had been at the foundation of all governments, and had been the bane and curse of man.

Happily for us, there was no church strong enough to dictate to the rest. Fortunately for us, the colonists not

only, but the colonies differed widely in their religious views. There were the Puritans who hated the Episcopalians, and the Episcopalians who hated the Catholics, and the Catholics who hated both, while the Quakers held them all in contempt. There they were, of every sort and color, and kind, and how was it that they came together? They had a common aspiration. They wanted to form a new nation. More than that, most of them cordially hated Great Britain; and they pledged to each other to forget these religious prejudices, for a time at least, and agreed that there should be only one religion till they got through, and that was the religion of patriotism. They solemnly agreed that the new nation should not belong to any particular church, but that it should secure the rights of all.

Our fathers founded the first secular government that was ever founded in this world. Recollect that. The first secular government; the first government that said every church has exactly the same rights, and no more; every religion has the same rights and no more. In other words, our fathers were the first men who had the sense, had the genius to know that no church should be allowed to have a sword; and that it should be allowed only to exert its moral influence.

You might as well have a government united by force with Art, or with Poetry, or with Oratory, as with religion. Religion should have the influence upon mankind that its goodness, that its morality, that its justice, its charity, its reason and its argument give it, and no more. Religion should have the effect upon mankind that it necessarily has, and no more.

So our fathers said: "We shall form a secular gov-

ernment, and under the flag with which we are going to enrich the air, we will allow every man to worship God as he thinks best." They said: "Religion is an individual thing between each man and his creator, and he can worship as he pleases and as he desires." And why did they do this? The history of the world warned them that the liberty of man was not safe in the clutch and grasp of any church. They had read of and seen the thumb-screws, the racks and the dungeons of the inquisition. They knew all about the hypocrisy of the olden time. They knew that the church had stood side by side with the throne; that the high priests were hypocrites and that the kings were robbers. They also knew that if they gave to any church power, it would corrupt the best church in the world. And so they said that power must not reside in a church, nor in a sect, but power must be wherever humanity is—in the great body of the people. And the officers and servants of the people must be responsible to them. And so I say again, as I said in the commencement, this is the wisest, the profoundest, the bravest political document that ever was written and signed by man.

They turned, as I tell you, everything squarely about. They derived all their authority from the people. They did away forever with the theological idea of government.

And what more did they say? They said that whenever the rulers abused this authority, this power, incapable of destruction, returned to the people. How did they come to say this? I will tell you; they were pushed into it. How? They felt that they were oppressed; and whenever a man feels that he is the subject of injus-

tice, his perception of right and wrong is wonderfully quickened.

Nobody was ever in prison wrongfully who did not believe in the writ of habeas corpus. Nobody ever suffered wrongfully without instantly having ideas of justice.

And they began to inquire what rights the king of Great Britain had. They began to search for the charter of his authority. They began to investigate and dig down to the bed-rock upon which society must be founded, and when they got there, forced there, too, by their oppressors, forced against their own prejudices and education, they found at the bottom of things, not lords, not nobles, not pulpits, not thrones, but humanity, and the rights of men.

And so they said we are men; we are MEN.

A NATION.

They found out they were men. And the next thing they said was: "We will be free men; we are weary of being colonists; we are tired of being subjects; we are men; and these colonies ought to be states; and these states ought to be a nation; and that nation ought to drive the last British soldier into the sea." And so they signed that brave declaration of independence.

I thank every one of them from the bottom of my heart for signing that sublime declaration. I thank them for their courage—for their patriotism—for their wisdom—for the splendid confidence in themselves and in the human race. I thank them for what they were, and for what we are—for what they did, and for what we have received—for what they suffered, and for what we enjoy.

What would we have been had we remained colonists and subjects? What would we have been to-day? No-bodies—ready to get down on our knees and crawl in the very dust at the sight of somebody that was supposed to have in him some drop of blood that flowed in the veins of that mailed marauder—William the Conquerer.

They signed the declaration of independence, although they knew that it would produce a long, terrible, and bloody war. They looked forward and saw poverty, deprivation, gloom, and death. But they also saw, on the wrecked clouds of war, the beautiful bow of freedom.

These grand men were enthusiasts; and the world has only been raised by enthusiasts; In every country there have been a few who have given a national aspiration to the people. The enthusiasts of 1776 were the builders and framers of this great and splendid government; and they were the men who saw, although others did not, the golden fringe of the mantle of glory, that will finally cover this world. They knew, they felt, they believed they would give a new constellation to the political heavens—that they would make the Americans a grand people—grand as the continent upon which they lived.

The war commenced. There was little money and less credit. The new nation had but few friends. To a great extent, each soldier of freedom had to clothe and feed himself. He was poor and pure—brave and good, and so he went to the field of death to fight for the rights of men.

What did the soldier leave when he went? He left his wife and children.

Did he leave them in a beautiful home, surrounded by

civilization, in the repose of law, in the security of a great and powerful republic?

No. He left his wife and children on the edge, on the fringe of the boundless forest, in which crouched and crept the red savage, who was at that time the ally of the still more savage Briton. He left his wife to defend herself, and he left the prattling babes to be defended by their mother and by nature. The mother made the living; she planted the corn and the potatoes, and hoed them in the sun, raised the children, and in the dark night told them about their brave father, and the "sacred cause;" she told them that in a little while the war would be over, and father would come back covered with honor and glory.

Think of the women, of the sweet children who listened for the footsteps of the dead—who waited through the sad and desolated years for the dear ones who never came.

LIBERTY OR DEATH.

The soldiers of 1776 did not march away with music and banners. They went in silence, looked at and gazed after by eyes filled with tears. They went to meet, not an equal, but a superior—to fight five times their number—to make a desperate stand—to stop the advance of the enemy, and then, when their ammunition gave out, seek the protection of rocks, of rivers, and of hills.

Let me say here: The greatest test of courage on the earth is to bear defeat without losing heart. That army is the bravest that can be whipped the greatest number of times and fight again.

Over the entire territory, so to speak, then settled by our forefathers, they were driven again and again. Now

and then they would meet the English with something like equal numbers, and then the eagle of victory would proudly perch upon the stripes and stars. And so they went on as best they could, hoping and fighting until they came to the dark and somber gloom of Valley Forge.

There were very few hearts then beneath that flag that did not begin to think that the struggle was useless; that all the blood and treasure had been spent and shed in vain. But there were some men gifted with that wonderful prophecy that fulfills itself, and with that wonderful magnetic power that makes heroes of everybody they come in contact with. And so our fathers went through the gloom of that terrible time, and still fought on. Brave men wrote grand words, cheering the despondent; brave men did brave deeds; the rich man gave his wealth; the poor man gave his life, until at last, by the victory of Yorktown, the old banner won its place in the air, and became glorious forever.

Seven long years of war—fighting for what? For the principle that all men are created equal—a truth that nobody ever disputed except a scoundrel; nobody in the entire history of this world. No man ever denied that truth who was not a rascal, and at heart a thief; never, never, and never will. What else were they fighting for? Simply that in America every man should have a right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Nobody ever denied that except a villian; never, never. It has been denied by kings—they were thieves. It has been denied by priests, by clergymen, by cardinals, by bishops and by popes—they were hypocrites.

What else were they fighting for? For the idea that all political power is vested in the great body of the peo-

ple. They make all the money; do all the work. They plow the land; cut down the forests, they produce everything that is produced. Then who shall say what shall be done with what is produced except the producer? Is it the non-producing thief, sitting on a throne, surrounded by vermin?

The history of civilization is the history of the slow and painful enfranchisement of the human race. In the olden times the family was a monarchy, the father being the monarch. The mother and children were the veriest slaves. The will of the father was the supreme law. He had the power of life and death. It took thousands of years to civilize this father, thousands of years to make the condition of wife and mother and children even tolerable. A few families constituted a tribe; the tribe had a chief; the chief was a tyrant; a few tribes formed a nation; the nation was governed by a king, who was also a tyrant. A strong nation robbed, plundered, and took captive the weaker ones. This was the commencement of human slavery.

THE COLONEL GROWS ELOQUENT.

It is not possible for the human imagination to conceive of the horrors of slavery. It has left no possible wrong uncommitted, no possible crime unperpetrated. It has been practised and defended by all nations in some form. It has been upheld by all religions. It has been defended by nearly every pulpit. From the profits derived from the slave trade churches have been built, cathedrals reared and priests paid. Slavery has been, blessed by bishop, by cardinal and by pope. It has received the sanction of statesmen, of kings, of queens. Monarchs have shared in the profits. Clergymen have

taken their part of the spoil, reciting passages of scripture in its defense at the same time, and judges have taken their portion in the name of equity and law.

Only a few years ago our ancestors were slaves. Only a few years ago they passed with and belonged to the soil, like coal under it and rocks on it. Only a few years ago they were treated like beasts of burden, worse far than we treat our animals at the present day. Only a few years ago it was a crime in England for a man to have a bible in his house, a crime for which men were hanged, and their bodies afterwards burned. Only a few years ago fathers could and did sell their children. Only a few years ago our ancestors were not allowed to speak or write their thoughts—that being a crime. As soon as our ancestors began to get free they began to enslave others. With an inconsistency that defies explanation, they practiced upon others the same outrages that had been perpetrated upon them. As soon as white slavery began to be abolisèd, black slavery commenced. In this infamous traffic nearly every nation of Europe embarked.

The other day there came shoemakers, potters, workers in wood and iron, from Europe, and they were received in the city of New York as though they had been princes. They had been sent by the great republic of France to examine into the arts and manufactures of the great republic of America. They looked a thousand times better to me than the Edward Alberts and Albert Edwards—the royal vermin, that live on the body politic. And I would think much more of our government if it would fete and feast them, instead of wining and dining the imbeciles of a royal line.

WHAT WE WANT TO-DAY.

What we want to-day is what our fathers wrote down. They did not attain to their ideal; we approach it nearer, but have not reached it yet. We want, not only the independence of a state, not only the independence of a nation, but something far more glorious—the absolute independence of the individual. That is what we want. I want it so that I, one of the children of Nature, can stand on an equality with the rest; that I can say this is my air, my sunshine, my earth, and I have a right to live, and hope, and aspire, and labor, and enjoy the fruit of that labor, as much as any individual, or any nation on the face of the globe.

The French convention gave the best definition of liberty I have ever read: “The liberty of one citizen ceases only where the liberty of another citizen commences.” I know of no better definition. I ask you to-day to make a declaration of individual independence. And if you are independent, be just. Allow everybody else to make his declaration of individual independence. Allow your wife, allow your husband, allow your children to make theirs. It is a grand thing to be the owner of yourself. It is a grand thing to protect the rights of others. It is a sublime thing to be free and just.

Only a few days ago I stood in Independence Hall—in that little room where was signed the immortal paper. A little room, like any other; and it did not seem possible that from that room went forth ideas, like cherubim and seraphim, spreading their wings over a continent, and touching, as with holy fire, the hearts of men.

In a few moments I was in the park, where are gathered the accomplishments of a century. Our fathers never

dreamed of the things I saw. There were hundreds of locomotives, with their nerves of steel and breath of flame—every kind of machine, with whirling wheels and the myriad thoughts of men that have been wrought in iron, brass and steel. And going out from one little building were wires in the air, stretching to every civilized nation, and they could send a shining messenger in a moment to any part of the world, and it would go sweeping under the waves of the sea with thoughts and words within its glowing heart. I saw all that had been achieved by this nation, and I wished that the signers of the Declaration—the soldiers of the Revolution—could see what a century of freedom has produced. I wished they could see the fields we cultivate—the rivers we navigate—the railroads running over the Alleghanies, far into what was then the unknown forest—on over the broad prairies—on over the vast plains—away over the mountains of the West, to the Golden Gate of the Pacific.

All this is the result of a hundred years of freedom. Are you not more than glad that in 1776 was announced the sublime principle that political power resides with the people, that our fathers then made up their minds nevermore to be colonists and subjects, but that they would be free and independent citizens of America. I will not name any of the grand men who fought for liberty. All should be named, or none. I feel that the unknown soldier who was shot down without even his name being remembered—who was included only in a report of "a hundred killed," or "a hundred missing," nobody knowing even the number that attached to his august corpse—is entitled to as deep and heartfelt thanks as the titled leader who fell at the head of the host,

THE GRAND FUTURE OF AMERICA.

Standing here amid the sacred memories of the first, on the golden threshold of the second, I ask, Will the second century be as grand as the first? I believe it will, because we are growing more and more humane; I believe there is more human kindness, and a greater desire to help one another, than in all the world besides.

We must progress. We are just at the commencement of invention. The steam engine—the telegraph—these are but the toys with which science has been amused. There will be grander things; there will be wider and higher culture—a grander standard of character, of literature and art.

We have now half as many millions of people as we have years. We are getting more real solid sense. We are writing and reading more books; we are struggling more and more to get at the philosophy of life, of things, trying more and more to answer the questions of the eternal Sphinx. We are looking in every direction—investigating; in short, we are thinking and working.

The world has changed. I have had the supreme pleasure of seeing a man—once a slave—sitting in the seat of his former master in the Congress of the United States. I have had that pleasure, and when I saw it my eyes were filled with tears, I felt that we had carried out the Declaration of Independence, that we have given reality to it, and breathed the breath of life into its every word. I felt that our flag would float over and protect the colored man and his little children—standing straight in the sun, just the same as though he were white and worth a million.

All who stand beneath our banner are free. Ours is

the only flag that has in reality written upon it: Liberty, Fraternity. Equality—the three grandest words in all the languages of men. Liberty: Give to every man the fruit of his own labor—the labor of his hand and of his brain. Fraternity, Every man in the right is my brother. Equality: The rights of all are equal. No race, no color, no previous condition, can change the rights of men. The Declaration of Independence has at last been carried out in letter and in spirit. The second century will be grander than the first. To-day the black man looks upon his child and says: The avenues of distinction are open to you—upon your brow may fall the civic wreath. We are celebrating the courage and wisdom of our fathers, and the glad shout of a free people, the anthem of a grand nation, commencing at the Atlantic, is following the sun to the Pacific, across a continent of happy homes. We are a great people. Three millions have increased to fifty—thirteen states to thirty-eight. We have better homes. and more of the conveniences of life than any other people upon the face of the globe. The farmers of our country live better than did the kings and princes two hundred years ago—and they have twice as much sense and heart. Liberty and labor have given us all. Remember that all men have equal rights. Remember that the man who acts best his part—who loves his friends the best—is most willing to help others—truest to the obligation—who has the best heart—the most feeling—the deepest sympathies—and who freely gives to others the rights that he claims for himself, is the best man. We have disfranchised the aristocrats of the air, and have given the country to mankind.



TO THE FARMERS ON FARMING.

Ingersoll's Early Experience as a Farmer.

From the Illinois State Register.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN;—I am not an old and experienced farmer, nor a tiller of the soil, nor one of the hard-handed sons of labor. I imagine, however, that I know something about cultivating the soil, and getting happiness out of the ground.

I know enough to know that agriculture is the basis of wealth, prosperity and luxury. I know that in the country where the tillers of the fields are free, everybody is free and ought to be prosperous.

The old way of farming was a great mistake. Everything was done the wrong way. It was all work and waste, weariness and want. They used to fence a hundred and sixty acres of land with a couple of dogs. Everything was left to the protection of the blessed trinity of chance, accident and mistake.

When I was a farmer they used to haul wheat two hundred miles in a wagon and sell it for thirty-five cents

a bushel. They would bring home about three hundred feet of lumber, two bunches of shingles, a barrel of salt and a cook-stove that never would draw and never did bake.

In those blessed days the people lived on corn and bacon. Cooking was an unknown art. Eating was a necessity, not a pleasure. It was hard work for the cook to keep on good terms even with hunger.

We had poor houses. The rain held the roof in perfect contempt, and the snow drifted joyfully on the floors and beds. They had no barns. The horses were kept in rail pens surrounded with straw. Long before spring the sides would be eaten away and nothing but roofs would be left. Food was fuel. When the cattle were exposed to all the blasts of winter, it took all the corn and oats that could be stuffed into them to prevent actual starvation.

In those days farmers thought the best place for the pig pen was immediately in front of the house. There is nothing like sociability.

Women were supposed to know the art of making fires without fuel. The wood-pile consisted, as a general thing, of one log, upon which an axe or two had been worn out in vain. There was nothing to kindle a fire with. Pickets were pulled from the garden fence, clapboards taken from the house, and every stray plank was seized upon for kindling. Everything was done in the hardest way. Everything about the farm was disagreeable. Nothing was kept in order. Nothing was preserved. The wagons stood in the sun and rain, and the plows rusted in the fields. There was no leisure, no feeling that the work was done. It was all labor and

weariness and vexation of spirit, The crops were destroyed by wandering herds. or they were put in too late, or too early, or they were blown down, or caught by the frost, or devoured by bugs, or stung by flies, or eaten by worms, or carried away by birds, or dug up by gophers, or washed away by floods, or dried up by the sun, or rotted in the stack, or heated in the crib, or they all run to vines, or tops, or straw, or smut, or cobs.

And when in spite of all these accidents that lie in wait between the plow and the reaper, they did succeed in raising a good crop and a high price was offered, then the roads would be impassable. And when the roads got good, then the prices went down. Everything worked together for evil.

Nearly every farmer's boy took an oath that he would never cultivate the soil. The moment they arrived at the age of twenty-one they left the desolate and dreary farms and rushed to the towns and cities. They wanted to be book-keepers, doctors, merchants, railroad men, insurance agents, lawyers, even preachers, anything to avoid the drudgery of the farm. Nearly every boy acquainted with the three R's—reading, writing and arithmetic—imagined that he had altogether more education than ought to be wasted in raising potatoes and corn. They made haste to get into some other business. Those who stayed upon the farm envied those who went away.

A few years ago the times were prosperous, and the young men went to the cities to enjoy the fortunes that were waiting for them. They wanted to engage in something that promised quick returns. They built railways, established banks and insurance companies,

They speculated in stocks in Wall street, and gambled in grain at Chicago. They became rich. They lived in palaces. They rode in carriages. They pitied their poor brothers on the farms, and their poor brothers envied them.

But time has brought its revenge. The farmers have seen the railroad president a bankrupt, and the road in the hands of a receiver. They have seen the bank president abscond, and the insurance company a wrecked and ruined fraud. The only solvent people, as a class, the only independent people, are the tillers of the soil.

COL. INGERSOLL'S IDEAL FARMER.

Farming must be made more attractive. The comforts of the town must be added to the beauty of the fields. The sociability of the city must be rendered possible in the country.

Farming has been made repulsive. The farmers have been unsociable and their homes have been lonely. They have been wasteful and careless. They have not been proud of their business.

No farmer can afford to raise corn and oats and hay to sell. He should sell horses, not oats; sheep, cattle and pork, not corn. He should make every profit possible out of what he produces. So long as the farmers of the Middle States ship their corn and oats, so long will they be poor,—just so long will their farms be mortgaged to the insurance companies and banks of the east,—just so long will they do the work, and others reap the benefit,—just so long will cunning avarice grasp and hold the net profits of honest toil. When the farmers of the west ship beef and pork, instead of grain,—when we manufac-

ture here,—when we cease paying tribute to others, ours will be the most prosperous country in the world.

Another thing—It is just as cheap to raise a good as a poor breed of cattle. Scrubs will eat just as much as thoroughbreds. If you are not able to buy Durhams and Alderneys, you can raise the corn-breed. By “corn-breed” I mean the cattle that have for several generations had enough to eat, and have been treated with kindness. Every farmer who will treat his cattle kindly, and feed them all they want, will, in a few years, have blooded stock on his farm. All blooded stock has been produced in this way. You can raise good cattle just as you can raise good people. If you wish to raise a good boy you must give him plenty to eat, and treat him with kindness. In this way, and this way only, can good cattle or good people be produced.

Another thing—You must beautify your homes.

When I was a farmer it was not fashionable to set out trees, nor to plant vines.

When you visited the farm you were not welcomed by flowers, and greeted by trees loaded with fruit. Yellow dogs came bounding over the tumbled fence like wild beasts. There is no sense, there is no profit in such a life. It is not living. The farmers ought to beautify their homes. There should be trees and grass, and flowers and running vines. Everything should be kept in order; gates should be kept on their hinges, and about all there should be the pleasant air of thrift. In every house there should be a bath-room. The bath is a civilizer, a refiner, a beautifier. When you come from the fields tired, covered with dust, nothing is so refreshing. Above all things, keep clean. It is not necessary to be

a pig in order to raise one, In the cool of an evening, after a day in the field, put on clean clothes, take a seat under the trees, 'mid the perfume of flowers, surrounded by your family, and you will know what it is to enjoy life like a gentleman.

WHAT THE COLONEL BELIEVES TO BE THE BEST PORTION
OF THE EARTH.

In no part of the globe will farming pay better than in the Western States. You are in the best portion of the earth. From the Atlantic to the Pacific, there is no such country as yours. The east is hard and stony, the soil is stingy. The far west is a desert parched and barren, dreary and desolate as perdition would be with the fires out. It is better to dig wheat and corn from the soil than gold. Only a few days ago I was where they wrench the precious metals from the miserly clutch of the rocks, When I saw the mountains, treeless, shrubless, flowerless, without even a spire of grass, it seemed to me that gold has the same effect upon the country that holds it, as upon the man who lives and labors only for that. It affects the land as it does the man. It leaves the heart barren, without a flower of kindness—without a blossom of pity.

The farmer in the Middle States has the best soil—the greatest return for the least labor—more leisure—more time for enjoyment than any farmer in the world. His hard work ceases with autumn. He has the long winters in which to become acquainted with his family—with his neighbors—in which to read and keep abreast with the advanced thought of his day. If the farmer is not well informed it is his own fault. Books are

cheap, and every farmer can have enough to give him the outline of every science, and an idea of all that has been accomplished by man.

THE FARMER AND THE MECHANIC—WHICH THE COLONEL
THINKS HAS THE BEST OF IT.

In many respects the farmer has the advantage of the mechanic. In our time we have plenty of mechanics but no tradesman. In the sub-division of labor we have a thousand men working upon different parts of the same thing, each taught in one particular branch, and in only one. We have, say, in a shoe-factory, hundreds of men, but not a shoemaker. It takes them all, assisted by a great many machines, to make a shoe. Each does a particular part, and not one of them knows the entire trade. The result is that the moment the factory shuts down these men are out of employment. Out of employment means out of bread; out of bread means famine and horror. The mechanic of to-day has but little independence. His prosperity often depends upon the good-will of one man. He is liable to be discharged for a look, for a word. He lays by but little for his declining years. He is, at the best, the slave of capital.

It is a thousand times better to be a whole farmer than part of a mechanic. It is better to till the ground and work for yourself than to be hired by corporations. Man should endeavor to belong to himself.

About seven hundred years ago, Kheyam, a Persian, said: "Why should a man possessing a piece of bread securing life for two days, and who has a cup of water—why should such a man serve another?"

Young men should not be satisfied with a salary. Do

not mortgage the possibilities of your future. Have the courage to take life as it comes, feast or famine. Think of hunting a gold mine for a dollar a day, and think of finding one for another man. How would you feel then?

We are lacking in true courage, when, for fear of the future, we take the crusts and scraps and niggardly salaries of the present. I had a thousand times rather have a farm and be independent, than to be President of the United States without independence, filled with doubt and trembling, feeling of the public pulse, resorting to art and artifice, inquiring about the wind of opinion, and succeeding at last in losing my self-respect without gaining the respect of others.

Man needs more manliness, more real independence. We must take care of ourselves. This we can do by labor, and in this way we can preserve our independence. We should try and choose that business or profession the pursuit of which will give us the most happiness. Happiness is wealth. We can be happy without being rich—without holding office—without being famous. I am not sure that we can be happy with wealth, with office, or with fame.

THE FARMER AND THE PROFESSIONAL MAN—THE RACE OF LIFE.

There is a quiet about the life of a farmer, and the hope of a serene old age, that no other business or profession can promise. A professional man is doomed some time to feel that his powers are waning. He is doomed to see younger and stronger men pass him in the race of life. He looks forward to an old age of intellectual mediocrity.

He will be last where once he was first. But the farmer goes, as it were, into partnership with nature—he lives with trees and flowers—he breathes the sweet air of the fields. There is no constant and frightful strain upon his mind. His nights are filled with sleep and rest. He watches his flocks and herds as they feed upon the green and sunny slopes. He hears the pleasant rain falling upon the waving corn, and the trees he planted in youth rustle above him as he plants others for the children yet to be.

Our country is filled with the idle and unemployed, and the great question asking for an answer is: What shall be done with those men? What shall these men do? To this there is but one answer: They must cultivate the soil.

COL. INGERSOLL'S IDEA OF AN EDUCATED FARMER.

Farming must be more attractive, Those who work the land must have an honest pride in their business. They must educate their children to cultivate the soil. They must make farming easier, so that their children will not hate it themselves. The boys must not be taught that tilling the soil is a curse and almost a disgrace. They must not suppose that education is thrown away upon them unless they become ministers, lawyers, doctors or statesmen. It must be understood that education can be used to advantage on a farm. We must get rid of the idea that a little learning unfits one for work. There are hundreds of graduates of Yale and Harvard and other colleges, who are agents of sewing machines, solicitors for insurance, clerks, copyists, in short, performing a hundred varieties of menial service.

They seem willing to do anything that is not regarded as work—anything that can be done in a town, in the house, in an office, but they avoid farming as they would a leprosy. Nearly every young man educated in this way is simply ruined. Such an education ought to be called ignorance. It is a thousand times better to have common sense without education, than education without the sense. Boys and girls should be educated to help themselves. They should be taught that it is disgraceful to be idle, and dishonorable to be useless.

I say again, if you want more men and women on the farms, something must be done to make farm-life pleasant. One great difficulty is that the farm is lonely. People write about the pleasures of solitude, but they are found only in books. He who lives long alone becomes insane. A hermit is a mad man. Without friends and wife and child, there is nothing left worth living for. The unsocial are the enemies of joy. They are filled with egotism and envy, with vanity and hatred. People who live much alone become narrow and suspicious. They are apt to be the property of one idea. They begin to think there is no use in anything. They look upon the happiness of others as a kind of folly. They hate joyous folks, because, way down in their hearts, they envy them.

SHOULD LIVE IN VILLAGES.

In our country farm-life is too lonely. The farms are large, and neighbors are too far apart. In these days, when the roads are filled with "tramps," the wives and children need protection. When the farmer leaves home and goes to some distant field to work, a shadow of fear

is upon his heart all day, and a like shadow rests upon all at home.

In the early settlement of our country the farmer was forced to take his family, his axe, his dog and his gun, and go into the far wild forest, and build his cabin miles and miles away from any neighbor. He saw the smoke go up from his hearth alone in all the wide and lonely sky.

But this necessity has passed away, and now, instead of living so far apart upon the lonely farms, you should live in villages. With the improved machinery which you have—with your generous soil—with your markets and means of transportation, you can now afford to live together.

You should live in villages, so that you can have the benefits of social life. You can have a reading-room—you can take the best papers and magazines—you can have plenty of books, and each one can have the benefit of them all. Some of the young men and women can cultivate music. You can have social gatherings—you can learn from each other—you can discuss all topics of interest, and in this way you can make farming a delightful business.

You must keep up with the age. The way to make farming respectable is for farmers to become really intelligent. They must live intelligent and happy lives. They must not be satisfied with knowing something of the affairs of a neighborhood and nothing about the rest of the earth. The business must be made attractive, and it never can be until the farmer has prosperity, intelligence and leisure.

THE COLONEL'S AMUSING REMARKS ABOUT GETTING UP
EARLY IN THE MORNING.

It is not necessary in this age of the world for the farmer to rising in the middle of the night to begin his work. This getting up so early in the morning is a relic of barbarism. It has made hundreds of thousands of young men curse the business. There is no need of getting up at three or four o'clock in the winter morning. The farmer who persists in dragging his wife and children from their beds ought to be visited by a missionary. It is time enough to rise after the sun has set the example. For what purpose do you get up? To feed the cattle? Why not feed them more the night before? It is a waste of life.

In the old times they used to get up about three o'clock in the morning, and go to work long before the sun had risen with "healing upon his wings," and as a just punishment they all had the ague; and they ought to have it now. The man who cannot get a living upon Illinois soil without rising before daylight ought to starve. Eight hours a day is enough for any farmer to work except in harvest time. When you rise at four and work till dark what is your life worth? Of what use are all the improvements in farming? Of what use is all the improved machinery unless it tends to give the farmer a little more lesiure? What is harvesting now, compared to what it was in the old time? Think of the days of reaping, of cradling, of raking and binding and mowing. Think of threshing with the flail and winnowing with the wind. And now think of the reapers and mowers, the binders and threshing machines, the plows and cultiva-

tors, upon which the farmer rides protected from the sun. If, with all these advantages you cannot get a living without rising in the middle of the night, go into some other business.

You should not rob your families of sleep. Sleep is the best medicine in the world. There is no such thing as health without plenty of sleep. Sleep until you are thoroughly rested and restored. When you work, work; and when you get through take a good, long and refreshing sleep.

THE FASHIONS AND HANDSOME WOMEN.

Another thing—I am a believer in fashion. It is the duty of every woman to make herself as beautiful and attractive as she possibly can.

“Handsome is as handsome does,” but she is much handsomer if well dressed. Every man should look his very best. I am a believer in good clothes. The time never ought to come in this country when you can tell a farmer’s wife and daughter simply by the garments she wears. I say to every girl and woman, no matter what the material of your dress may be, no matter how cheap and coarse it is, cut it and make it in the fashion. I believe in jewelry. Some people look upon it as barbaric, but in my judgment, wearing jewelry is the first evidence the barbarian gives of a wish to be civilized. To adorn ourselves seems to be a part of our nature, and this desire seems to be everywhere and in everything. I have sometimes thought that the desire for beauty covers the earth with flowers. It is this desire that paints the wings of moths, tints the chamber of the shell, and gives the bird its plumage and its song. Oh! daughters and wives,

if you would be loved, adorn yourselves—if you would be adored, be beautiful!

HOME VS. THE BOARDING-HOUSE.

There is another fault common with the farmers of our country—they want too much land. You cannot, at present, when taxes are high, afford to own land that you do not cultivate. Sell it and let others make farms and homes. In this way what you keep will be enhanced in value. Farmers ought to own the land they cultivate, and cultivate what they own. Renters can hardly be called farmers. There can be no such thing in the highest sense as a home unless you own it. There must be an incentive to plant trees, beautify the grounds, to preserve and improve. It elevates a man to own a home. It gives a certain independence, a force of character that is obtained in no other way. A man without a home feels like a passenger. There is in such a man a little of the vagrant. Home makes patriots. He who has sat by his own fireside with wife and children, will defend it. When he hears the word country pronounced, he thinks of his home.

Few men have been patriotic enough to shoulder a musket in defense of a boarding house.

The prosperity and glory of our country depend upon the number of our people who are the owners of homes. Around the fireside cluster the private and the public virtues of our race. Raise your sons to be independent through labor; to pursue some business for themselves, and upon their own account; to be self-reliant; and to act upon their own responsibility, and to take the consequences like men. Teach them above all things to be

good, true and faithful husbands; winners of love, and builders of homes.

INDUSTRY AND BROTHERHOOD.

A great many farmers seem to think that they are the only laborers in the world. This is a very foolish thing. Farmers cannot get along without the mechanic. You are not independent of the man of genius. Your prosperity depends upon the inventor. The world advances by the assistance of all laborers; and all labor is under obligations to the inventions of genius. The inventor does as much for agriculture as he who tills the soil. All laboring men should be brothers. You are in partnership with the mechanic who makes your reapers, your mowers and your plows; and you should take into your granges all the men who make their living by honest labor. The laboring people should unite and protect themselves against all idlers. You can divide mankind into two classes; the laborers and the idlers, the supporters and supported, the honest and the dishonest. Every man is dishonest who lives upon the unpaid labor of others, no matter if he occupies a throne. All laborers should be brothers. The laborers should have equal rights before the world and before the law. And I want every farmer to consider every man who labors with either hand or brain as his brother. Until genius and labor formed a partnership there was no such thing as prosperity among men. Every reaper and mower, every agricultural implement, has elevated the work of the farmer, and his vocation grows grander with every invention. In the olden time the agriculturist was ignorant; he knew nothing of machinery, he was the slave of superstition.

The farmer has been elevated through science, and he should not forget the debt he owes to the mechanic, to the inventor, to the thinker. He should remember that all laborers belong to the same grand family; that they are the real king and queens, the only true nobility.

WHAT THE RAILROADS HAVE DONE—THIRTY-THREE
DOZEN EGGS FOR ONE DOLLAR.

Another idea entertained by most farmers is that they are in some mysterious way oppressed by every other kind of business; that they are devoured by monopolies, especially by railroads.

Of course the railroads are indebted to the farmers for their prosperity, and the farmers are indebted to the railroads.

A few years ago you endeavored to regulate the charges of railroad companies. The principal complaint you had was that they charged too much for the transportation of corn and other cereals to the East. You should remember that all freight are paid by the consumers of the grain. You are really interested in transportation from the East to the West and in local freights. The result is that while you have put down through freights you have not succeeded so well in local freights. The exact opposite should be the policy in Illinois. Put down local freights; put them down, if you can, to the lowest possible figure, and let through freights take care of themselves. If all the corn raised in Illinois could be transported to New York absolutely free, it would enhance but little the price that you would receive. What we want is the lowest possible local rate. Instead of this you have simply succeeded in helping the East at the ex-

pense of the West. The railroads are your friends. They are your partners. They can prosper only where the country through which they run prospers. All intelligent railroad men know this. They know that present robbery is future bankruptcy. They know that the interest of the farmer and of the railroad are the same. We must have railroads. What can we do without them?

When we had no railroads, we drew, as I said before, our grain two hundred miles to market.

In those days the farmers did not stop at hotels. They slept under the wagons; took with them their food; fried their own bacon, made their own coffee, and ate their meals in the snow and rain. Those were the days when they received ten cents a bushel for corn; when they sold four bushels of potatoes for a quarter; thirty-three dozen eggs for a dollar, and a hundred pounds of pork for a dollar and a half.

What has made the difference? The railroads came to your door and they brought with them the markets of the world. They brought New York and Liverpool and London into Illinois, and the State has been clothed with prosperity as with a mantle. It is the interest of the farmer to protect every great interest in the State. In these iron highways more than three hundred million dollars have been invested; a sum equal to ten times the cost of all the land in the State. To make war on the railroads is a short-sighted and suicidal policy. They should be treated fairly and should be taxed by the same standard that farms are taxed, and in no other way. If we wish to prosper we must act together, and we must see to it that every form of labor is protected.

BUSINESS AND THE MONEY QUESTION.

There has been a long period of depression in all business. The farmers have suffered least of all. Your land is just as rich and productive as ever. Prices have been reasonable. The towns and cities have suffered. Stocks and bonds have shrunk from par to worthless paper. Princes have become paupers, and bankers, merchants and millionaires have passed into the oblivion of bankruptcy. The period of depression is slowly passing away, and we are entering upon better times.

A great many people say that scarcity of money is our only difficulty. In my opinion we have money enough, but we lack confidence in each other in the future.

There has been so much dishonesty, there have been so many failures, and the people are afraid to trust anybody. There is plenty of money, but there seems to be a scarcity of business. If you were to go to the owner of a ferry, and, upon seeing his boat lying high and dry on the shore, should say, "There is a superabundance of ferry-boat," he would probably reply, "No, but there is a scarcity of water."

So with us there is not a scarcity of money, but there is a scarcity of business. And this scarcity springs from lack of confidence in one another. So many presidents of savings banks, even those belonging to the Young Men's Christian Association, run off with the funds; so many railroad and insurance companies are in the hands of receivers; there is so much bankruptcy on every hand, that all capital is held in the nervous clutch of fear. Slowly, but surely, we are coming back to honest methods in business. Confidence will return, and then enter-

prise will unlock the safe and money will again circulate as of yore; the dollars will leave their hiding places, and every one will be seeking investment.

For my part I do not ask any interference on the part of the government except to undo the wrong it has done. I do not ask that money be made out of nothing. But I do ask for the remonetization of silver. Silver was demonetized by fraud. It is an imposition upon every solvent man; a fraud upon every honest debtor in the United States. It assassinated labor. It was done in the interest of avarice and greed, and should be outdone by honest men.

The farmers should vote only for such men as are able and willing to guard and advance the interest of labor. We should know better than to vote for men who will deliberately put a tariff of three dollars a thousand upon Canada lumber, when every farmer in the State is a purchaser of lumber. People who live upon the prairies ought to vote for cheap lumber. We should protect ourselves. We ought to have intelligence enough to know what we want and how to get it. The real laboring men of this country can succeed if they are united. By laboring men, I do not mean only the farmers. I mean all who contribute in some way to the general welfare. They should forget prejudices and party names, and remember only the best interests of the people. Let us see if we cannot protect every department of industry. Let us see if all property cannot be protected alike and taxed alike, whether owned by individuals or corporations.

Where industry creates and justice protects, prosperity dwells.

BUSINESS AND THE MONEY QUESTION.

There has been a long period of depression in all business. The farmers have suffered least of all. Your land is just as rich and productive as ever. Prices have been reasonable. The towns and cities have suffered. Stocks and bonds have shrunk from par to worthless paper. Princes have become paupers, and bankers, merchants and millionaires have passed into the oblivion of bankruptcy. The period of depression is slowly passing away, and we are entering upon better times.

A great many people say that scarcity of money is our only difficulty. In my opinion we have money enough, but we lack confidence in each other in the future.

There has been so much dishonesty, there have been so many failures, and the people are afraid to trust anybody. There is plenty of money, but there seems to be a scarcity of business. If you were to go to the owner of a ferry, and, upon seeing his boat lying high and dry on the shore, should say, "There is a superabundance of ferry-boat," he would probably reply, "No, but there is a scarcity of water."

So with us there is not a scarcity of money, but there is a scarcity of business. And this scarcity springs from lack of confidence in one another. So many presidents of savings banks, even those belonging to the Young Men's Christian Association, run off with the funds; so many railroad and insurance companies are in the hands of receivers; there is so much bankruptcy on every hand, that all capital is held in the nervous clutch of fear. Slowly, but surely, we are coming back to honest methods in business. Confidence will return, and then enter-

prise will unlock the safe and money will again circulate as of yore; the dollars will leave their hiding places, and every one will be seeking investment.

For my part I do not ask any interference on the part of the government except to undo the wrong it has done. I do not ask that money be made out of nothing. But I do ask for the remonetization of silver. Silver was demonetized by fraud. It is an imposition upon every solvent man; a fraud upon every honest debtor in the United States. It assassinated labor. It was done in the interest of avarice and greed, and should be outdone by honest men.

The farmers should vote only for such men as are able and willing to guard and advance the interest of labor. We should know better than to vote for men who will deliberately put a tariff of three dollars a thousand upon Canada lumber, when every farmer in the State is a purchaser of lumber. People who live upon the prairies ought to vote for cheap lumber. We should protect ourselves. We ought to have intelligence enough to know what we want and how to get it. The real laboring men of this country can succeed if they are united. By laboring men, I do not mean only the farmers. I mean all who contribute in some way to the general welfare. They should forget prejudices and party names, and remember only the best interests of the people. Let us see if we cannot protect every department of industry. Let us see if all property cannot be protected alike and taxed alike, whether owned by individuals or corporations.

Where industry creates and justice protects, prosperity dwells.

ILLINOIS.

Let me tell you something about Illinois. We have fifty-six thousand square miles of land; nearly thirty-six million acres. Upon these plains we can raise enough to clothe and feed twenty million people. Beneath these prairies were hidden, millions of ages ago, by that old miser, the sun, thirty-six thousand square miles of coal. The aggregate thickness of these veins is at least fifteen feet. Think of a column of coal one mile square and one hundred miles high! All this came from the sun. What a sunbeam such a column would be! Think of all this force, willed and left to us by the dead morning of the world! Think of the fireside of the future around which will sit the fathers, mothers and children of the years to be! Think of the sweet and happy faces, the loving and tender eyes that will glow and gleam in the sacred light of all these flames!

We have the best country in the world. Is there any reason that our farmers should not be prosperous and happy men? They have every advantage, and within their reach are all the comforts and conveniences of life.

Do not get the land fever and think you must buy all the land that joins you. Get out of debt as soon as you possibly can. A mortgage casts a shadow on the sunniest field. There is no business under the sun that can pay ten per cent.

WHAT A DOLLAR CAN DO.

A. R. Spofford gives the following facts about interest: "One dollar loaned for one hundred years at six per cent., with the interest collected annually and added to the principal, will amount to three hundred and forty dol-

lars. At eight per cent it amounts to two thousand two hundred and three dollars. At three per cent. it amounts to only nineteen dollars and twenty-five cents. At ten per cent. it is thirteen thousand eight hundred and nine dollars, or about seven hundred times as much. At twelve per cent. it amounts to eighty-four thousand and seventy-five dollars, or more than four thousand times as much. At eighteen per cent. it amounts to fifteen million one hundred and forty-five thousand and seven dollars. At twenty-four per cent. (which we sometimes hear talked of) it reaches the enormous sum of two billion five hundred and fifty-one million seven hundred and ninety-nine thousand four hundred and four dollars."

One dollar at compound interest, at twenty-four per cent., for one hundred years, would produce a sum equal to our national debt.

Interest eats night and day, and the more it eats the hungrier it grows. The farmer in debt, lying awake at night, can, if he listens, hear it gnaw. If he owes nothing, he hears his corn grow. Get out of debt as soon as you possibly can. You have supported idle averice and lazy economy long enough.

HOW A MAN SHOULD TREAT HIS WIFE AND CHILDREN.

Above all, let every farmer treat his wife and children with infinite kindness. Give your sons and daughters every advantage within your power. In the air of kindness they will grow about you like flowers. They will fill your homes with sunshine and your years with joy. Do not try to rule by force. A blow from a parent leaves a scar on the soul. I should feel ashamed to die sur-

rounded by children I had whipped. Think of feeling upon your dying lips the kiss of a child you had struck.

See to it that your wife has every convenience. Make her life worth living. Never allow her to become a servant. Wives, weary and worn; mothers, wrinkled and bent before their time, fill homes with grief and shame. If you are not able to hire help for your wives, help them yourselves. See that they have the best utensils to work with. Women cannot create things by magic. Have plenty of wood and coal; good cellars and plenty in them. Have cisterns, so that you can have plenty of rain-water for washing. Do not rely on a barrel and a board. When the rain comes the board will be lost or the hoops will be off the barrel.

Farmers should live like princes. Eat the best things you raise and sell the rest. Have good things to cook and good things to cook with. Of all people in our country, you should live the best. Throw your miserable little stoves out of the window. Get ranges, and have them so built that your wife need not burn her face off to get you a breakfast. Do not make her cook in a kitchen hot as the orthodox perdition. The beef, not the cook, should be roasted. It is just as easy to have things convenient and right as to have them any other way.

INGERSOLL ON COOKERY.

Cooking is one of the fine arts. Give your wives and daughters things to cook, and things to cook with, and they will soon become most excellent cooks. Good cooking is the basis of civilization. The man whose arteries and veins are filled with rich blood made of good and

well-cooked food, has pluck, courage, endurance and noble impulses. Remember that your wife should have things to cook with.

In the good old days there would be eleven children in the family and only one skillet. Everything was broken or cracked or loaned or lost.

There ought to be a law making it a crime, punishable by imprisonment, to fry beefsteak. Broil it; it is just as easy, and when it is broiled it is delicious. Fried beefsteak is not fit for a wild beast. You can broil even on a stove. Shut the front damper—open the back one, then take of a griddle. There will be a draft downwards through the opening. Put on your steak, using a wire broiler, and not a particle of smoke will touch it, for the reason that the smoke goes down. For broiling, coal, even soft coal, makes a better fire than wood.

There is no reason why farmers should not have fresh meat all the year round. There is certainly no sense in stuffing yourself full of salt meat every morning, and making a well or a cistern of your stomach for the rest of the day. Every farmer should have an ice house. Upon or near every farm is some stream from which plenty of ice can be obtained, and the long summer days made delightful. Dr. Draper, one of the world's greatest scientists, says that ice water is healthy, and that it has done away with many of the low forms of fever in the great cities. Ice has become one of the necessities of civilized life, and without it there is very little comfort.

THE HAPPY HOME.

Make your homes pleasant. Have your houses warm

and comfortable for the winter. Do not build a story-and-a-half house. The half-story is simply an oven in which, during the summer, you will bake every night, and feel in the morning as though only the rind of yourself was left.

Decorate your rooms, even if you do so with cheap engravings. The cheapest are far better than none. Have books—have papers, and read them. You have more leisure than the dwellers in cities. Beautify your grounds with plants and flowers and vines. Have good gardens. Remember that everything of beauty tends to the elevation of man. Every little morning-glory whose purple bosom is thrilled with the amorous kiss of the sun, tends to put a blossom in your heart. Do not judge of the value of everything by the market reports. Every flower about a house certifies to the refinement of somebody. Every vine, climbing and blossoming, tells of love and joy.

Make your homes comfortable. Do not huddle together in a little room around a red-hot stove, with every window fastened down. Do not live in this poisoned atmosphere, and then, when one of your children dies, put a piece in the paper commencing with, "Whereas, it has pleased Divine Providence to remove from our midst—" Have plenty of air, and plenty of warmth. Comfort is health. Do not imagine anything is unhealthy simply because it is pleasant. This is an old and foolish idea.

Let your children sleep. Do not drag them from their beds in the darkness of night. Do not compel them to associate all that is tiresome, irksome and dreadful with cultivating the soil. In this way you bring farming



THE FARMER LOOKING FOR GAME.

into hatred and disrepute. Treat your children with infinite kindness—treat them as equals. There is no happiness in a home not filled with love. Where the husband hates his wife—where the wife hates the husband; where children hate their parents and each other—there is a hell upon earth.

There is no reason why farmers should not be the kindest and most cultivated of men. There is nothing in plowing the fields to make men cross, cruel and crabbed. To look upon the sunny slopes covered with daisies does not tend to make men unjust. Whoever labors for the happiness of those he loves, elevates himself, no matter whether he works in the dark and dreary shops, or in the perfumed fields. To work for others is, in reality, the only way in which a man can work for himself. Selfishness is ignorance. Speculators cannot make unless somebody loses. In the realm of speculation, every success has at least one victim. The harvest reaped by the farmer benefits all and injures none. For him to succeed, it is not necessary that some one should fail. The same is true of all producers—of all laborers.

THE COLONEL'S VIEW OF "SOLID COMFORT."

I can imagine no condition that carries with it such a promise of joy as that of the farmer in the early winter. He has his cellar filled—he has made every preparation for the days of snow and storm—he looks forward to three months of ease and rest; three months of home; three months of solid comfort.

When the life of the farmer is such as I have described, the cities and towns will not be filled with want; the

streets will not be crowded with wretched rogues, broken bankers, and bankrupt speculators. The fields will be tilled, and country villages, almost hidden by trees, and vines, and flowers, filled with industrious and happy people, will nestle in every vale and gleam like gems on every plain.

The idea must be done away with that there is something intellectually degrading in cultivating the soil.

Nothing can be nobler than to be useful. Idleness should not be respectable.

If farmers will cultivate well, and without waste; if they will so build that their houses will be warm in winter and cool in summer; if they will plant trees and beautify their homes; if they will occupy their leisure in reading, in studying, in improving their minds and in devising ways and means to make their business profitable and pleasant; if they will live nearer together and cultivate sociability; if they will come together often; if they will have reading rooms and cultivate music; if they will have bath-rooms, ice-houses and good gardens; if their wives can have an easy time; if the nights can be taken for sleep and the evenings for enjoyment, everybody will be in love with the fields. Happiness should be the object of life, and if life on the farm can be made really happy, the children will grow up in love with the meadows, the streams, the woods, and the old home. Around the farm will cling and cluster the happy memories of the delightful years.

Remember, I pray you, that you are in partnership with all labor; that you should join hands with all the sons and daughters of toil, and that all who work belong to the same noble family.



THE HAPPY FARMER'S HOME.

For my part, I envy the man who has lived on the same broad acres from his boyhood, who cultivates the fields where in youth he played, and lives where his father lived and died.

I can imagine no sweeter way to end one's life than in the quiet of the country, out of the mad race for money, place and power; far from the demands of business; out of the dusty highway where fools struggle and strive for the hollow praise of other fools.

Surrounded by these pleasant fields and faithful friends, by those I have loved, I hope to end my days. And this I hope may be the lot of all who hear my voice. I hope that you, in the country, in houses covered with vines and clothed with flowers, looking from the open window upon rustling fields of corn and wheat, over which will run the sunshine and the shadow, surrounded by those whose lives you have filled with joy, will pass away serenely as the autumn dies.

—:o:—





JAMES G. BLAINE.
NOMINATING BLAINE.

The Celebrated Speech of Col. Ingersoll Nominating James G. Blaine for President.

At Cincinnati, June, 1876, in nominating James G. Blaine for President, Col. Ingersoll spoke as follows:

MR. CHAIRMAN, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:—Massa-

chusetts may be satisfied with the loyalty of Benjamin H. Bristow; so am I; but if any man nominated by this convention cannot carry the State of Massachusetts, I am not satisfied with the loyalty of that State. If the nominee of this convention cannot carry the grand old commonwealth of Massachusetts by seventy-five thousand majority I would advise them to sell out Faneuil Hall as a Democratic headquarters. I would advise them to take from Bunker Hill that old monument of glory.

The Republicans of the United States demand as their leader in the great contest of 1876, a man of intelligence, a man of integrity, a man of well-known and approved political opinions. They demand a statesman; they demand a reformer after as well as before the election. They demand a politician in the highest, broadest and best sense—a man of superb moral courage. They demand a man acquainted with public affairs; with the wants of the people: with not only the requirements of the hour, but with the demands of the future.

They demand a man broad enough to comprehend the relations of this Government to the other nations of the earth. They demand a man well versed in the powers, duties and prerogatives of each and every department of this Government. They demand a man who will sacredly preserve the financial honor of the United States; one who knows enough to know that the national debt must be paid through the prosperity of the people; one who knows enough to know that all the financial theories in the world cannot redeem a single dollar; one who knows enough to know that all the money must be made, not by law, but by labor; one who knows enough to know that the people of the United States have the industry to

make the money, and the honor to pay it over just as fast as they make it.

The Republican of the United States demand a man who knows that prosperity and resumption, when they come, must come together. that when they come they will come hand in hand through the golden harvest fields; hand in hand by the whirling spindle and the turning wheels hand in hand past the open furnace doors; hand in hand; by the chimneys filled with eager fire, greeted and grasped by the countless sons of toil.

This money has to be dug out of the earth. You cannot make it by passing resolutions in a political convention.

The Republicans of the United States want a man who knows that this Government should protect every citizen, at home and abroad; who knows that any Government that will not defend its defenders and protect its protectors, is a disgrace to the map of the world. They demand a man who believes in the eternal separation and divorcement of church and school. They demand a man whose political reputation is as spotless as a star; but they do not demand that their candidate shall have a certificate of moral character signed by a Confederate Congress. The man who has, in full, heaped and rounded measures, all these splendid qualifications is the present grand and gallant leader of the Republican party—James G. Blaine.

Our country, crowned with the vast and marvelous achievements of its first century, asks for a man worthy of the past and prophetic of her future; asks for a man who has the audacity of genius; asks for a man who is the

grandest combination of heart, conscience and brain beneath her flag. Such a man is James G. Blaine.

For the Republican host, led by this intrepid man, there can be no defeat.


This is a grand year—a year filled with recollections of the Revolution; filled with the proud and tender memories of the past; with sacred legends of liberty; a year in which the sons of freedom will drink from the fountains of enthusiasm; a year in which the people call for a man who has preserved in Congress what our soldiers won upon the field; a year in which they call for the man who has torn from the throat of treason the tongue of slander—for the man who has snatched the mask of Democracy from the hideous face of rebellion; for this man who, like an intellectual athlete, has stood in the arena of debate and challenged all comers, and who is still a total stranger to defeat.

Like an armed warrior, like a plumed knight, James G. Blaine marched down the halls of the American Congress and threw his shining lance full and fair against the brazen foreheads of the defamers of his country and the maligners of her honor. For the Republican party to desert this gallant leader now is as though an army should desert their General upon the field of battle.

James G. Blaine is now and has been for years the bearer of the sacred standard of the Republican party. I call it sacred because no human being can stand beneath its folds without becoming and without remaining free.

Gentlemen of the convention, in the name of the great Republic, the only Republic that ever existed upon this earth in the name of all her defenders and of all her supporters; in the name of all her soldiers living; in the


name of her soldiers dead upon the field of battle, and in the name of those who perished in the skeleton clutch of famine at Andersonville and Libby, whose sufferings he



BIRTHPLACE OF MR. BLAINE, BROWNSVILLE, PA.

so vividly remembers, Illinois—Illinois nominates for the next President of this country that prince of parliamentarians, that leader of leaders—James G. Blaine.





TO THE SOLDIERS.

Delivered at Indianapolis to the Veteran Soldiers of the Rebellion.

From the Indianapolis Journal

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, FELLOW CITIZENS AND CITIZEN SOLDIERS:—I am opposed to the Democratic party, and I will tell you why. Every State that seceded from the United States was a Democratic State. Every ordinance of secession that was drawn was drawn by a Democrat. Every man that endeavored to tear the old

flag from the heaven that it enriches was a Democrat. Every man that tried to destroy this nation was a Democrat. Every enemy this great republic has had for twenty years has been a Democrat. Every man that shot Union soldiers was a Democrat. Every man that starved Union soldiers and refused them in the extremity of death, a crust, was a Democrat. Every man that loved slavery better than liberty was a Democrat. The man that assassinated Abraham Lincoln was a Democrat. Every man that sympathized with the assassin—every man glad that the noblest President ever elected was assassinated, was a Democrat. Every man that wanted the privilege of whipping another man to make him work for him for nothing and pay him with lashes on his naked back, was a Democrat. Every man that raised bloodhounds to pursue human beings was a Democrat. Every man that clutched from shrieking, shuddering, crouching mothers, babes from their breasts, and sold them into slavery, was a Democrat. Every man that impaired the credit of the United States, every man that swore we would never pay the bonds, every man that swore we would never redeem the greenbacks, every maligner of his country's credit, every calumniator of his country's honor, was a Democrat. Every man that resisted the draft, every man that hid in the bushes and shot at Union men simply because they were endeavoring to enforce the law of their country, was a Democrat. Every man that wept over the corpse of slavery was a Democrat. Every man that cursed Lincoln because he issued the Proclamation of Emancipation—the grandest paper since the Declaration of Independence—every one of them was a Democrat. Every man that denounced the soldiers that

bared their bosoms to the storms of shot and shell for the honor of America and for the sacred rights of man, was a Democrat. Every man that wanted an uprising in the North, that wanted to release the rebel prisoners that they might burn down the homes of Union soldiers above the heads of their wives and children, while the brave husbands, the heroic fathers, were in the front fighting for the honor of the old flag, every one of them was a Democrat. I am not through yet. Every man that believed this glorious nation of ours is a confederacy,




every man that believed the old banner carried by our fathers through the Revolution, through the war of 1812, carried by our brothers over the plains of Mexico, carried by our brothers over the fields of the rebellion, simply stood for a contract, simply stood for an agreement, was a Democrat. Every man who believed that any State could go out of the Union at its pleasure, every man that believed the grand fabric of the American Government could be made to crumble instantly into dust at the

touch of treason, was a Democrat. Every man that helped to burn orphan asylums in New York, was a Democrat; every man that tried to fire the city of New York, although he knew that thousands would perish, and knew that the great serpent of flame leaping from buildings would clutch children from their mothers' arms—every wretch that did it was a Democrat. Recollect it! Every man that tried to spread small-pox and yellow fever in the North, as the instrumentalities of civilized war, was a Democrat. Soldiers, every scar you have got on your heroic bodies was given you by a Democrat. Every scar, every arm that is lacking, every limb that is gone, every scar is a souvenir of a Democrat. I want you to recollect it. Every man that was the enemy of human liberty in this country was a Democrat. Every man that wanted the fruit of all the heroism of all the ages to turn to ashes upon the lips—every one was a Democrat.

WHY THE COLONEL IS A REPUBLICAN.

I am a Republican. I will tell you why: This is the only free government in the world. The Republican party made it so. The Republican party took the chains from 4,000,000 of people. The Republican party, with the wand of progress, touched the auction-block and it became a school house. The Republican party put down the rebellion, saved the nation, kept the old banner afloat in the air, and declared that slavery of every kind should be extirpated from the face of the continent. What more? I am a Republican because it is the only free party that ever existed. It is a party that has a platform as broad as humanity, a platform as broad as the



“THE SUBLIME MOUNTAINS.”

human race, a party that says you shall have all the fruit of the labor of your hands, a party that says you may think for yourself; a party that says no chains for the hands, no fetters for the soul. (A voice—"Amen." Cheers. At this point the rain began to descend, and it looked as if a heavy shower was impending. Several umbrellas were put up. Gov Noyes—"God bless you! What is rain to soldiers?" Voice—"Go ahead; we don't mind the rain." It was proposed to adjourn the meeting to Masonic Hall, but the motion was voted down by an overwhelming majority, and Mr. Ingersoll proceeded.) I am a Republican because the Republican party says this country is a Nation, and not a confederacy. I am here in Indiana to speak, and I have as good a right to speak here in Indiana as though I had been born on this stand—not because the State flag of Indiana waves over me. I would not know it if I should see it. You have the same right to speak in Illinois, not because the State flag of Illinois waves over you, but because that banner, rendered sacred by the blood of all the heroes, waves over me and you. I am in favor of this being a Nation. Think of a man gratifying his entire ambition in the State of Rhode Island, We want this to be a nation, and you can't have a great, grand, splendid people without a great, grand, splendid country. The great plains, the sublime mountains, the great rushing, roaring rivers, shores lashed by two oceans, and the grand anthem of Niagara, mingle and enter, as it were, in the character of every American citizen, and make him or tend to make him a great and grand character. I am for the Republican party because it says the government has as much right, as much power to protect its citizens at home as

abroad. The Republican party don't say you have to go away from home to get the protection of the government. The Democratic party says the government can't march its troops into the South to protect the rights of the citizens. It is a lie. The government claims the right, and it is conceded that the government has the right, to go to your house, while you are sitting by your fireside with your wife and children about you, and the old lady knitting, and the cat playing with the yarn, and everybody happy and sweet—the government claims the right to go to your fireside and take you by force and put you into the army; take you down to the valley and the shadow of hell, set you by the ruddy, roaring guns, and make you fight for your flag. Now, that being so, when the war is over and your country is victorious, and you go back to your home, and a lot of Democrats want to trample upon your rights, I want to know if the government that took you from your fireside and made you fight for it, I want to know if it is not bound to fight for you. The flag that will not protect its protectors is a dirty rag that contaminates the air in which it waves. The government that will not defend its defenders is a disgrace to the nations of the world. I am a Republican because the Republican party says, "We will protect the rights of American citizens at home, and if necessary we will march an army into any State to protect the rights of the humblest American citizen in that State." I am a Republican because that party allows me to be free—allows me to do my own thinking in my own way. I am a Republican because it is a party grand enough and splendid enough and sublime enough to invite every human being in favor of liberty and progress to fight

shoulder to shoulder for the advancement of mankind. It invites the Methodist, it invites the Catholic. it incites the Presbyterian and every kind of sectarian; it invites the free-thinker; it invites the infidel, provided he is in favor of giving to every other human being every chance and every right that he claims for himself. I am a Republican, I tell you. There is room in the Republican air for every wing; there is room on the Republican sea for every sail. Republicanism says to every man: "Let your soul




be like an eagle; fly out in the great dome of thought, and question the stars for yourself." But the Democratic party says: "Be blind owls. sit on the dry limb of a dead tree, and only hoot when Tilden & Co. tell you to."

In the Republican party there are no followers. We are all leaders. There is not a party chain. There is not a party lash. Any man that does not love his country, any man that does not love liberty, any man that is not in favor of human progress, that is not in favor of giving to others all he claims for himself; we don't ask him to vote the Republican ticket. You can vote it if you please, and if there is any Democrat within hearing who expects to die before another election, we are will-

ing that he should vote one Republican ticket, simply as a consolation upon his death-bed. What more? I am a Republican because that party believes in free labor. It believes that free labor will give us wealth. It believes in free thought, because it believes that free thought will give us truth. You don't know what a grand party you belong to. I never want any holier or grander title of nobility than that I belong to the Republican party, and have fought for the liberty of man. The Republican party, I say, believes in free labor. The Republican party also believes in slavery. What kind of slavery? In enslaving the forces of nature.

We believe that free labor, that free thought, have en-



ELECTRIC DYNAMO.

slaved the forces of nature, and made them work for man. We make old attraction of gravitation work for us; we make the lightning do our errands; we make steam

hammer and fashion what we need. The forces of nature are the slaves of the Republican party. They have got no backs to be whipped, they have got no hearts to be torn—no hearts to be broken; they cannot be separated from their wives; they cannot be dragged from the bosoms of their husbands; they work night and day and they cannot tire. You cannot whip them, you cannot starve them, and a Democrat even can be trusted with one of them. I tell you I am a Republican. I believe, as I told you, that free labor will give us these slaves. Free labor will produce all these things, and everything you have got to-day has been produced by free labor, nothing by slave labor.

Slavery never invented but one machine, and that was a threshing machine in the shape of a whip. Free labor has invented all the machines. We want to come down to the philosophy of these things. The problem of free labor, when a man works for the wife he loves, when he works for the little children he adores—the problem is to do the most work in the shortest space of time. The problem of slavery is to do the least work in the longest space of time. That is the difference. Free labor, love, affection—they have invented everything of use in this world, I am a Republican.

I tell you, my friends, this world is getting better every day, and the Democratic party is getting smaller every day. See the advancement we have made in a few years, see what we have done. We have covered this nation with wealth, and glory and with liberty. This is the first free government in the world. The Republican party is the first party that was not founded on some compromise with the devil. It is the first party of pure,

square, honest principle; the first one. And we have got the first free country that ever existed.

And right here I want to thank every soldier that fought to make it free, every one living and dead. I want to thank you again, and again, and again. You made the first free government in the world, and we must not forget the dead heroes. If they were here they would vote the Republican ticket, every one of them. I tell you we must not forget them.

COL. INGERSOLL'S REMARKABLE VISION—ONE OF THE MOST ELOQUENT EXTRACTS IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE.

The past, as it were, rises before me like a dream. Again we are in the great struggle for national life. We hear the sound of preparation—the music of the boisterous drums—the silver voices of heroic bugles. We see thousands of assemblages, and hear the appeals of orators; we see the pale cheeks of women, and the flushed faces of men; and in those assemblages we see all the dead whose dust we have covered with flowers. We lose sight of them no more. We are with them when they enlist in the great army of freedom. We see them part with those they love. Some are walking for the last time in quiet woody places, with the maidens they adore. We hear the whisperings and the sweet vows of eternal love as they lingeringly part forever. Others are bending over cradles kissing babes that are asleep. Some are receiving the blessings of old men. Some are parting with mothers who hold them and press them to their hearts again and again, and say nothing; and some are talking with wives, and endeavoring with brave words spoken in the old tones to drive away the awful fear.

We see them part. We see the wife standing in the door with the babe in her arms—standing in the sunlight



sobbing—at the turn of the road a hand waves—she answers by holding high in her loving hands the child. He is gone, and forever.

We see them all as they march proudly away under the flaunting flags, keeping time to the wild grand music of war—marching down the streets of the great cities—through the towns and across the prairies—down to the fields of glory, to do and to die for the eternal right.

We go with them one and all. We are by their side on all the gory fields, in all the hospitals of pain—on all the weary marches. We stand guard with them in the wild storm and under the quiet stars. We are with them in the ravines running with blood—in the furrows of old fields. We are with them between contending hosts,

unable to move, wild with thirst, the life ebbing slowly away among the withered leaves. We see them pierced by balls and torn with shells in the trenches of forts, and in the whirlwind of the charge, where men become iron with nerves of steel.

We are with them in the prisons of hatred and famine, but human speech can never tell what they endured.

We are at home when the news comes that they are dead. We see the maiden in the shadow of her sorrow. We see the silvered head of the old man bowed with the last grief.

The past rises before us, and we see four millions of human beings governed by the lash—we see them bound hand and foot—we hear the strokes of cruel whips—we see the hounds tracking women through tangled swamps. We see babes sold from the breasts of mothers. Cruelty unspeakable! Outrage infinite!

Four million bodies in chains—four million souls in fetters. All the sacred relations of wife, mother, father and child trampled beneath the brutal feet of might. And all this was done under our own beautiful banner of the free.

The past rises before us. We hear the roar and shriek of the bursting shell. The broken fetters fall. There heroes died. We look. Instead of slaves we see men and women and children. The wand of progress touches the auction-block, the slave-pen, and the whipping-post, and we see homes and firesides, and school-houses and books, and where all was want and crime, and cruelty and fear, we see the faces of the free.

These heroes are dead. They died for liberty—they died for us. They are at rest. They sleep in the land

they made free, under the flag they rendered stainless, under the solemn pines, the sad hemlocks, the tearful willows, the embracing vines. They sleep beneath the shadows of the clouds, careless alike of sunshine or storm, each in the windowless palace of rest. Earth may run red with other wars—they are at peace. In the midst of battle, in the roar of conflict, they found the serenity of death. I have one sentiment for the soldier living and dead—cheers for the living and tears for the dead.

MORE SOLID SHOT.

Now, my friends, I have given you a few reasons why I am a Republican. I have given you a few reasons why I am not a Democrat. Let me say another thing. The Democratic party opposed every movement of the army of the Republic, every one. Don't be fooled. Imagine the meanest resolution that you can think of—that is the resolution the Democratic party passed. Imagine the meanest thing you can think of—that is what they did; and I want you to recollect that the Democratic party did these devilish things when the fate of this nation was trembling in the balance of war. I want you to recollect another thing; when they tell you about hard times, that the Democratic party made the hard times; that every dollar we owe to day was made by the Southern and Northern Democracy.

When we commenced to put down the rebellion we had to borrow money, and the Democratic party went into the markets of the world and impaired the credit of the United States. They slandered, they lied, they maligned the credit of the United States, and to such an extent did they do this, that at one time during the war

paper was only worth about 34 cents on the dollar. Gold went up to \$2.90. What did that mean? It meant that greenbacks were worth 34 cents on the dollar. What became of the other 66 cents? They were lied out of the greenbacks, they were calumniated out of greenbacks, by the Democratic party of the North. Two-thirds of the debt, two-thirds of the burden now upon the shoulders of American industry, were placed there by the slanders of the Democratic party of the North, and the other third by the Democratic party of the South. And when you pay your taxes keep an account and charge two-thirds to the Northern Democracy and one-third to the Southern Democracy, and whenever you have to earn the money to pay the taxes, when you have to blister your hands to earn that money, pull off the blisters, and under each one, as the foundation, you will find a Democratic lie.

Recollect the Democratic party did all the things of which I have told you, when the fate of our nation was submitted to the arbitrament of the sword. Recollect they did these things when your husbands, your fathers, your brothers, your chivalric sons were fighting, bleeding, suffering upon the fields of the South, where shot and shell were crashing through their sacred flesh, where they were lying upon the field of battle, the blood slowly oozing from the pallid, mangled lips of death; when they were in the hospitals of pain, dreaming broken dreams of home. and seeing fever pictures of the ones they loved; when they were in the prison pens of the South, with no covering but the clouds, no bed except the frozen earth, no food except such as worms had refused to eat, and no friends except insanity and death. Recollect it. I have often said that I wished there were words of pure hatred

out of which I might construct sentences like serpents, sentences like snakes, sentences that would writhe and hiss—I could then give my opinion of the Northern allies of the Southern rebels.

THREE IMPORTANT QUESTIONS ANSWERED.

There are three questions now submitted to the American people. The first is, Shall the people that saved this country rule it? Shall the men who saved the old flag hold it? Shall the men who saved the ship of State sail it? or shall the rebels walk her quarter-deck, give orders and sink it. That is the question. Shall a solid South, a united South, united by assassination and murder, a South solidified by the shot-gun; shall a united South, with the aid of a divided North, shall they control this great and splendid country? Well, then the North must wake up, We are right back where we were in 1861. This is simply a prolongation of the war. This is the war of the idea, the other was the war of the musket. The other was the war of cannon, this is the war of thought; and we have got to beat them in this war of thought, recollect that. The question is, Shall the men who endeavored to destroy this country rule it? Shall the men that said, This is not a Nation, have charge of the Nation?

The next question is, Shall we pay our debts? We had to borrow some money to pay for shot and shell to shoot Democrats with. We found that we could get along with a few less Democrats, but not with any less country, and so we borrowed the money, and the question now is, will we pay it? And which party is the most apt to pay it, the Republican party, that made the debt—the

party that swore it was constitutional, or the party that said it was unconstitutional? Whenever a Democrat sees a greenback, the greenback says to the Democrat, "I am one of the fellows that whipped you." Whenever a Republican sees a greenback, the greenback says to him, "You and I put down the rebellion and saved the country."

Now, my friends, you have heard a great deal about finances. Nearly everybody that talks about it gets as dry—as if they had been in the final home of the Democratic party for forty years.

INGERSOLL ON THE MONEY QUESTION.

I will give you my ideas about finances. In the first place the government don't support the people, the people support the government. The government passes around the hat; the government passes around the alms dish. True enough, it has a musket behind it, but it is a perpetual, chronic pauper. It passes, I told you, the alms dish, and we all throw in our share—except Tilden. This government is a perpetual consumer. You understand me, the government don't plow ground, the government don't raise corn and wheat: the government is simply a perpetual consumer; we support the government. Now, the idea that the government can make money for you and I to live on—why, it is the same as though my hired man should issue certificates of my indebtedness to him for me to live on.

Some people tell me that the government can impress its sovereignty on a piece of paper, and that is money. Well, if it is, what's the use of wasting it making one dollar bills? It takes no more ink and no more paper—

why not make \$1,000 bills? Why not make \$100,000,000 bills and all be billionaires?

If the government can make money, what on earth does it collect taxes from you and me for? Why don't it make what money it wants, take the taxes out, and give the balance to us? Mr. Greenbacker, suppose the government issued \$1,000,000,000 to-morrow, how would you get any of it? (A voice, "Steal it.") I was not speaking to the Democrats. You would not get any of it unless you had something to exchange for it. The government would not go around and give you your average. You have to have some corn, or wheat, or pork to give for it.

How do you get your money? By work. Where from? You have to dig it out of the ground. That is where it comes from. In old times there were some men who thought they could get some way to turn the baser metals into gold, and old gray-haired men, trembling, tottering on the verge of the grave, were hunting for something to turn ordinary metals into gold; they were searching for the fountain of eternal youth, but they did not find it. No human ear has ever heard the silver gurgle of the spring of immortal youth.

There used to be mechanics that tried to make perpetual motion by combinations of wheels, shifting weights, and rolling balls; but somehow the machine would never quite run. A perpetual fountain of greenbacks, of wealth without labor, is just as foolish as a fountain of eternal youth. The idea that you can pro-puce money without labor is just as foolish as the idea of perpetual motion. They are old follies under new names.

Let me tell you another thing. The Democrats seem

to think that you can fail to keep a promise so long that it is as good as though you had kept it. They say you can stamp the sovereignty of the government upon paper. The other day I saw a piece of silver bearing the sovereign stamp of Julius Cæsar. Julius Cæsar has been dust about two thousand years, but that piece of silver was worth just as much as though Julius Cæsar was at the head of the Roman legions. Was it his sovereignty that made it valuable? Suppose he had put it upon a piece of paper—it would have been of no more value than a Democratic promise.

Another thing, my friends, this debt will be paid; you need not worry about that. The Democrats ought to pay it, They lost the suit, and they ought to pay the costs. But we are willing to pay our share. It will be paid. The holders of the debt have got a mortgage on a continent. They have a mortgage on the honor of the Republican party, and it is on record. Every blade of grass that grows upon this continent is a guarantee that the debt will be paid; every field of bannered corn in the great, glorious West is a guarantee that the debt will be paid; all the coal put away in the ground millions of years ago by that old miser, the sun, is a guarantee that every dollar of that debt will be paid; all the cattle on the prairies, pastures and plains, every one of them is a guarantee that this debt will be paid; every pine standing in the somber forests of the North, waiting for the woodman's ax, is a guarantee that this debt will be paid; all the gold and silver hid in the Sierra Nevadas, waiting the miner's pick, is a guarantee that the debt will be paid; every locomotive, with its muscles of iron and breath of flame, and all the boys and girls bending over

their books at school, every dimpled child in the cradle, every good man and every good woman, and every man that votes the Republican ticket is a guarantee that the debt will be paid.

MORE ELOQUENCE.

What is the next question? The next question is, will we protect the Union men in the South? I tell you the white Union men have suffered enough. It is a crime in the Southern States to be a Republican. It is a crime in every Southern State to love this country, to believe in the sacred rights of men.

I tell you the colored people have suffered enough. They have been owned by Democrats for two hundred years. Worse than that, they have been forced to keep the company of their owners. It is a terrible thing to live with a man that steals from you. They have suffered enough. For two hundred years they were branded like cattle. Yes, for two hundred years every human tie was torn asunder by the cruel hand of avarice and greed. For two hundred years children were sold from their mothers, husbands from their wives, brothers from brothers, and sisters from sisters. There was not during the whole rebellion a single negro that was not our friend. We are willing to be reconciled to our Southern brethren when they will treat our friends as men. When they will be just to the friends of this country; when they are in favor of allowing every American citizen to have his rights—then we are their friends. We are willing to trust them with the Nation when they are the friends of the Nation. We are willing to trust them with liberty when they believe in liberty. We are willing to trust

them with the black man when they cease riding in the darkness of night—those masked wretches—to the hut of the freedman, and notwithstanding the prayers and supplications of his family, shoot him down; when they cease to consider the massacre of Hamburg as a Democratic triumph, then, I say, we will be their friends, and not before.

Now, my friends, thousands of the Southern people, and thousands of the Northern Democrats, are afraid that the negroes are going to pass them in the race for life. And, Mr. Democrat, he will do it unless you attend to your business. The simple fact that you are white cannot save you always. You have got to be industrious, honest, to cultivate a justice. If you don't the colored race will pass you, as sure as you live. I am for giving every man a chance. Anybody that can pass me is welcome.

I believe, my friends, that the intellectual domain of the future, like the land used to be in the State of Illinois, is open to pre-emption. The fellow that gets a fact first, that is his; that gets an idea first, that is his. Every round in the ladder of fame, from the one that touches the ground to the last one that leans against the shining summit of ambition, belongs to the foot that gets upon it first.

Mr. Democrat—I point down because they are nearly all on the first round of the ladder—if you can't climb, stand one side and let the deserving negro pass.

INGERSOLL'S BIG HORSE RACE.

I must tell you one thing. I have told it so much, and you have all heard it, I have no doubt, fifty times from

others, but I am going to tell it again because I like it.

Suppose there was a great horse race here to-day, free to every horse in the world, and to all the mules, and all the scrubs, and all the donkeys. At the tap of the drum they come to the line, and the judges say "it is a go." Let me ask you, what does the blooded horse, rushing ahead, with nostrils distended, drinking in the breath of his own swiftmess, with his mane flying like a banner of victory, with his veins standing out all over him, as if a net of life had been cast around him—with his thin neck, his high withers, his tremulous flanks—what does he care how many mules and donkeys run on that track? But the Democratic scrub, with his chuckle-head and lop-ears, with his tail full of cuckold-burs, jumping high and short, and digging in the ground when he feels the breath of the coming mule on his cuckold-bur tail, he is the chap that jumps the track and says, "I am down on mule equality."



My friends, the Republican party is the blooded horse in this race.

I stood, a little while ago, in the city of Paris, where stood the Bastille. where now stands the column of July, surmounted by the figure of liberty. In its right hand is a broken chain, in its left hand a banner; upon its shining

forehead a glittering star—and as I looked upon it I said, such is the Republican party of my country. The other day going along the road I came to the place where the road had been changed, but the guide-board was as they had put it twenty years before. It pointed diligently in the direction of a desolate field. Now, that guide-post had been there for twenty years. Thousands of people passed, but nobody heeded the hand on the guide-post, and it stuck there through storm and shine, and it pointed as hard as ever as if the road was through the desolate field; and I said to myself, such is the Democratic party of the United States.


The other day I came to a river where there had been a mill; a part of it was there yet. An old sign said, "Cash for wheat." The old water-wheel was broken, and it had been warped by the sun, cracked and split by many winds and storms. There hadn't been a grain of wheat ground there for twenty years. There was nothing in good order but the dam; it was as good a dam as ever I saw, and I said to myself, "Such is the Democratic party." I was going along the road the other day, when I came to where there had once been a hotel. But the hotel and barn had burned down; nothing remained but the two chimneys, monuments of the disaster. In the road there was an old sign, upon which were these words: "Entertainment for man and beast." The word "man" was nearly burned out. There hadn't been a hotel there for thirty years. The sign had swung and swung and creaked in the wind; the snow had fallen upon it in the winter, the birds had sung upon it in the summer. Nobody ever stopped at that hotel; but the sign stuck to it and kept swearing to it, "Entertainment for

man and beast," and I said to myself, "Such is the Democratic party of the United States."

Now, my friends, I want you to vote the Republican ticket. I want you to swear you will not vote for a man who opposed putting down the rebellion. I want you to swear that you will not vote for a man opposed to the utter abolition of slavery. I want you to swear that you will not vote for a man who called the soldiers in the field Lincoln hirelings. I want you to swear that you will not vote for a man who denounced Lincoln as a tyrant. I want you to swear that you will not vote for any enemy of human progress. Go and talk to every Democrat that you can see; get him by the coat-collar, talk to him, and hold him like Coleridge's Ancient mariner, with your glittering eye; hold him, tell him all the mean things his party ever did; tell him kindly; tell him in a Christian spirit, as I do, but tell him. Recollect there never was a more important election than the one you are going to hold in Indiana. I want you every one to swear that you will vote for glorious Ben Harrison. I tell you we must stand by the country. It is a glorious country. It permits you and me to be free. It is the only country in the world where labor is respected. Let us support it. It is the only country in the world where the useful man is the only aristocrat. The man that works for a dollar a day, goes home at night to his little ones, taking his little boy on his knee, and he thinks that boy can achieve anything that the sons of the wealthy man can achieve. The free schools are open to him; he may be the richest, the greatest, and the grandest, and that thought sweetens every drop of sweat that rolls down the honest face of toil. Vote to save that country.

INGERSOLL'S BEAUTIFUL DREAM.

My friends, this country is getting better every day. Samuel J. Tilden says we are a nation of thieves and rascals. If that is so he ought to be the President. But I denounce him as a calumniator of my country; a maligner of this nation. It is not so. This country is covered with asylums for the aged, the helpless, the insane, the orphan, wounded soldiers. Thieves and rascals don't build such things. In the cities of the Atlantic coast this summer, they built floating hospitals, great ships, and took the little children from the sub-cellars and narrow, dirty streets of New York city, where the Democratic party is the strongest—took these poor waifs and put them in these great hospitals out at sea, and let the breezes of ocean kiss the roses of health back to their pallid cheeks. Rascals and thieves do not do so. When



Chicago burned, railroads were blocked with the charity of the American people. Thieves and rascals did not do so.

I am a Republican. The world is getting better. Husbands are treating their wives better than they used to; wives are treating their husbands better. Children are better treated than they used to be; the old whips and gads ore out of the schools, and they are governing children by love and by sense. The world is getting better;

it is getting better in Maine. It has got better in Maine, in Vermont. It is getting better in every State of the North.

I have a dream that this world is growing better and better every day and every year; that there is more



charity, more justice, more love every day. I have a dream that prisons will not always curse the earth; that the withered hand of want will not always be stretched out for charity; that finally wisdom will sit in the legislature, justice in the courts, charity will occupy all the pulpits, and that finally the world will be controlled by liberty and love, by justice and charity. That is my dream, and if it does not come true, it shall not be my fault. Good-bye. (Immense and prolonged cheering.)



INGERSOLL'S FUNERAL ORATION.

Delivered at His Brother's Obsequies, in Washington, June 2, 1879.

The funeral of Hon. Ebon C. Ingersoll, brother of Col. Robert G. Ingersoll, took place at his residence in Washington, D. C., June 2, 1879. The ceremonies were extremely simple, consisting merely of viewing the remains by relatives and friends, and a funeral oration by Col.

Ingersoll. A large number of distinguished gentlemen were present. Soon after Mr. Ingersoll began to read his eloquent characterization of the dead, his eyes filled with tears. He tried to hide them behind his eye-glasses, but he could not do it, and finally he bowed his head upon the dead man's coffin in uncontrollable grief. It was after some delay and the greatest efforts at self-mastery, that Col. Ingersoll was able to finish reading his address, which was as follows:

MY FRIENDS :—I am going to do that which the dead often promised he would do for me. The loved and loving brother, husband, father, friend, died where manhood's morning almost touches noon, and while the shadows still were falling towards the West. He had not passed on life's highway the stone that marks the highest point, but being weary for a moment he laid down by the wayside, and, using his burden for a pillow, fell into that dreamless sleep that kisses down his eyelids still. While yet in love with life and raptured with the world, he passed to silence and pathetic dust. Yet, after all, it may be best, just in the happiest, sunniest hour of all the voyage, while eager winds are kissing every sail, to dash against the unseen rock, and in an instant hear the billows roar a sunken ship. For, whether in mid-sea or among the breakers of the farther shore, a wreck must mark at last the end of each and all. And every life, no matter if its every hour is rich with love and every moment jeweled with a joy, will, at its close, become a tragedy, as sad, and deep, and dark as can be woven of the warp and woof of mystery and death. This brave and tender man in every storm of life was oak and rock, but in the sunshine he was vine and flower. He

was the friend of all heroic souls. He climbed the heights, and left all superstitions far below, while on his forehead fell the golden dawning of a grander day. He loved the beautiful and was with color, form and music touched to tears. He sided with the weak, and with a willing hand gave alms; with loyal heart and with the purest hand he faithfully discharged all public trusts. He was a worshipper of liberty and a friend of the oppressed. A thousand times I have heard him quote the words, "For justice all place a temple and all season summer." He believed that happiness was the only good, reason the only torch, justice the only worshipper, humanity the only religion, and love the priest.

He added to the sum of human joy, and were every one for whom he did some loving service to bring a blossom to his grave he would sleep to-night beneath a wilderness of flowers. Life is a narrow vale between the cold and barren peaks of two eternities.

We strive in vain to look beyond the heights. We cry aloud, and the only answer is the echo of our wailing cry. From the voiceless lips of the unreplying dead there comes no word; but in the night of death hope sees a star, and listening love can hear the rustle of a wing. He who sleeps here, when dying, mistaking the approach of death for the return of health, whispered with his latest breath, "I am better now." Let us believe, in spite of doubts and dogmas and tears and fears that these dear words are true of all the countless dead. And now, to you who have been chosen from among the many men he loved to do the last sad office for the dead, we give his sacred dust. Speech cannot contain our love. There was—there is—no gentler, stronger, manlier man.



GREAT COOPER INSTITUTE SPEECH.

The Condition of the Parties and of the Country.

Delivered in Cooper Institute, New York city, Sept 11, 1876.


LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:—I am just on my way home from the gallant State of Maine, and there has followed me a telegraphic dispatch which I will read to you. If it was not good you may swear I would not read it. "Every Congressional district, every county in Maine, Republican by a large majority. (Cheers and cries of "that is reform.") The victory is overwhelming, and the majority will, I think, exceed 15,000.

This dispatch is signed by the knight-errant of political chivalry, James G. Blaine.

THE TWO PARTIES COMPARED.

My friends, two political parties are asking the votes of the people; the one wishes to retain power that it has held for sixteen years, the other wishes office. The Democratic party, with the hungry, starving eyes of a wolf, has been looking in at the National Capitol and scratching at the doors of the White House for sixteen years. Occasionally it has retired to some congenial eminence and lugubriously howled about the Constitution. The Republican party comes to you with its re-

cord open, and asks every man, woman and child in this broad country to read its every word; and I say to you, there is not a line, not a paragraph, or a page in that record that is not only an honor to the Republican party, but to the human race.



ARCH OF TRIUMPH.

On every page of that record is recorded some great and glorious action, done either for the liberty of man or the preservation of our common country. We ask everybody to read its every word. The Democratic party comes before you with its record closed; a record of blot and blur, and stain and treason, and slander and

malignity, and asks you not to read a solitary word of what it has done, but be kind enough to take its infamous promise for what it will do. Allow me to say here that character—good character, rests upon a record and not upon a prospectus. A man has a good or a bad character, by what he has really done, and not by what he promises to do. If promises would make a good reputation, Samuel J. Tilden and the Democratic party would have one in twenty-four hours. I propose to tell you this evening, my friends, a little of the history of the Republican party, a little of the history of the Democratic party, and first the Republican party.

THE AMERICAN REPUBLIC.

The United States of America is a free country; it is the only free country on this earth; it is the only republic ever established among men. We have read—we have heard of the Republic of Greece, of Egypt, and of Venice. We have heard of the free cities of Europe. There never was a republic in Venice, there never was a republic in Rome, there never was a republic in Athens, there never was a free city in Europe, there never was a government not cursed with caste, there never was a government not cursed with slavery, there never was a government not cursed with almost every infamy until the Republican party of the United States made this a free Nation.

I want no grander, no higher title or nobility than this, that I belong to the Republican party, and did a little towards making the Republican party a fact. In order for you to ascertain what the Republican party did for us—for us—(I mean to refresh ourselves, for we

all know it, but it is well enough to say it now and then in order to refresh ourselves)—in order to understand what this great party has accomplished, let us for a moment consider the state of the country when the Republican party was born.

When the Republican party was born there was on the statute book of the United States of America a law known as the Fugitive Slave Law of 1850, under the provisions of which every man in the State of New York was made by law a bloodhound, and could be set, could be hissed, upon a negro who was simply attempting to attain his birthright of freedom, the same as you would hiss a dog upon a wild beast. That was the Fugitive Slave Law of 1850. It made every man, every Northern man, a dog; it put around his neck a collar, and they did not have the decency to put a man's name upon the collar, but they put the name of his master. I have said in the State of Maine several times, and I expect to say it several times again, although I heard I outraged the religious sentiment of the Democratic party and shocked the piety of that organization by saying it. I did say there, and now say here—:

THE FUGITIVE LAW OF 1850

would have disgraced hell in her palmyest days. At the same time in nearly all of the Western States there was a law by virtue of which hospitality became an indictable offense. There was a law by virtue of which charity became a crime, and a man, simply for an act of kindness exercised, could be indicted, imprisoned, and fined. It was the law of Illinois, of my State, that if I gave a drop of cold water, or a crust of bread, to a poor

fugitive from slavery, I could be indicted, fined and imprisoned. Under the infamous Slave Law of 1850, under the infamous Black laws of the Western States when the Republican party was born, if a woman, ninety-nine and one hundredths white, had escaped from slavery carry-



ing her child in her arms, had gone through wilderness and tangle and swamp and river, and finally got within one foot of free soil, with the light of the North star beckoning her to freedom, it would have been an indictable offense to have given her a drop of water and a crust of bread. And under the Fugitive Slave Law it would have been the duty of a Northern citizen claiming to be a freeman, to clutch that woman and hand her back to the dominion of the hound, the Democrat, and the lash. What more? The institution of slavery had polluted and corrupted the church not only in the South,

but a large proportion of the church in the North, so that ministers stood up in their pulpits here and in New England, and defended the very laws that I have mentioned. Not only so, but the Presbyterian Church South in 1863, met in General Synod and passed three resolutions, two of which were:

“Resolved, That slavery is a Divine institution.

“Resolved, That God raised up the Presbyterian Church South to protect and perpetuate that institution.”

All I have to say is, that if God did it, He never choose a more infamous instrument to carry out a more diabolical object. What more had slavery done? It had corrupted our courts, so that, in nearly every State in the



Union, if a Democrat had gone to the hut of a poor negro, and shot his wife and children before his very eyes, and strangled the babe in the cradle, his testimony was valueless, and he was not allowed to appear before the Grand Jury and prosecute the wretch. Justice to him was not only blind, but was deaf, and that was the idea of justice in the United States when the Republican party was born.

THE BAY OF THE BLOODHOUND.

When that party was born the crack of the slave whip was the music of the Nation. The dome of the Capitol at Washington cast its shadow upon slave pens in which crouched and shuddered mothers from whose breasts babes had been torn by wretches who are now for honesty and reform.

Then, if a poor negro had tilled a farm and watered it by the sweat of honest labor, and if a Democrat came along and seized upon the result of his labor, the courts of the United States did not know to whom the corn belonged. And when that question came to be tried, the learned judges read all the books and the platforms of the Democratic party, and pushed their spectacles back on their noble and expansive foreheads, and come to the conclusion that the Democrats owned the corn.

At the time the Republican party came into existence slavery was not satisfied with local, but endeavored to use its infamous leprosy, as it were, for pushing it into every Territory of the United States. Recollect the condition of the country at that time. Boats went down the Missouri river with wives torn from their husbands, with children torn from the breasts of their mothers, while the same men who did this are now shouting for Tilden and reform. At that time we were a nation of hypocrites. We pretended to be a free Government. It was a lie. We pretended to have a free Constitution. It was a lie. We pretended to have justice in our courts. It was a lie. Above all our pretenses and above all of our hypocrisies, rose the crime of slavery like Chimborazo above the clouds.

The Republican party came into existence in 1860, when it elected

ABRAHAM LINCOLN,

the greatest man that was ever President of the United



States. As soon as he was elected the South said: "We will not stay in the Union." The South said: "You have no right to elect a man opposed to the extension of human slavery," and James Buchanan said that they had a right to go out of the Union, and there was another little man who said, "I say so, too," and his name was Samuel J. Tilden. He read the Constitution of the United States and several Democratic platforms, and decided that the Government had no right to do anything except to defend slavery. Recollect that James Buchanan was an old bachelor not only, but a Democrat. Recollect that, and say to yourselves, "Why should we ever trust a man and elect him President of the United States, who prefers the embraces of the Democratic party to the salvation of the country?"

Now, in view of this fact, I want every man to swear that he will never vote for an old bachelor again. The Democrats claimed that this was not a Nation. It was misply a Confederacy, and that the old banner of the stars represented a contract commencing with, "Know

all men by these presents, that this don't represent a great and glorious and sublime people, but it represents a confederacy." That was the doctrine of the Democratic party South. It was the doctrine of the Democratic party North. It is still the doctrine of the Democratic party North and South. The Democratic party in the South collected themselves together for the purpose of breaking up this Union. The Republican party said to them, "You try and break up this Union, and we will break your necks," and they did it.

The Republican party came into power on the heels of the Buchanan administration. The treasury was empty of coin as the Democratic party was of patriotism and honor. We had to borrow money of whom we could. We had to issue

BONDS AND GREENBACKS.

What for? Why, to buy shot and shell and muskets to shoot enough Democrats to save the union. There was a division then forced upon the people, not into Democrats and Republicans, but into patriots and traitors; and thousands and thousands went out of the Democratic party to aid the Government to put down the rebellion. But every one who thus went into the service of the country, was then known as a Republican, and those who were against the Government were known as Democrats. These Democrats went into the markets of the world, and they maligned and they slandered these efforts to obtain money to sustain the Government in its time of trial. They said, "Your bonds can never be paid and your greenbacks are unconstitutional;" and to such an extent did they so slander and malign and calumniate

the Government that at one time gold was 290, which meant that a greenback was 34 cents on the dollar. Where were the other 66 cents? They were slandered and caluminated out by the Democratic party of the North, and every time you workingmen blister your hands to pay a debt, take off the blister and under it you will find a Democratic lie.

The Republican party has done nothing for sixteen years that it has not been proud of. The Democratic party has done nothing for sixteen years that it is not ashamed of. The Republicans have not done one thing that was not for the public interests of the Government for sixteen years.

The history of the Democratic party is an epitaph. The Democratic party to-day is searching around in the old political cemetery of the by-gone ages for a standard bearer. They have raised up in Massachussetts that old cemetery remiscence, Charles Francis Adams, who had his henchmen at Cincinnati, hoping that he would get the namination from the Republicans there, and who was equally willing to take it at St. Louis, and who was willing to be the Republican nominee in Massachussetts, but finally the Democratic party, wishing for some evidence of respectability, and knowing that no live man would lend his name to them for a moment, have groped in this old cemetery and have fished our Mr. Adams. The law against violating the sacredness of the tomb ought to be enforced.


The Democratic party was not willing that this country should be saved unless slavery should be saved with it. There was never a Democrat North or South — and by that I mean those who

were opposed to the Union—who did not think more of the existence of slavery than of the Government of the United States. They made a breastwork of the Constitution for rebels to get behind and shoot loyal men. The next thing they done was to discourage enlistments in the North. They did all in their power to prevent men from going into the army, and that great statesman, Samuel J. Tilden, gave it as his opinion that the South could sue and that every soldier that put his foot on the sacred soil of the South would be a trespasser, and could be sued before a Justice of the Peace. They denounced the war as an Abolition War in their conventions, and they denounced Abraham Lincoln as a tyrant.

Of all the men on earth who had been clothed with almost absolute power, Abraham Lincoln was one, and I know of no other man living or in history, who used that power without abusing it except on the side of mercy. They said to the rebels, ‘hold on; hold hard; fight on until we get political possession of the North, and then you can go in peace.’”

There was a man by the name of Jacob Thompson, a very nice man and a good Democrat. This man had the misfortune to be a very vigorous Democrat, and I mean by that that during the war a Democrat who had a musket was a rebel, and a rebel that did not have a musket was a Democrat. I call Mr. Thompson a vigorous Democrat, because he did have a musket. He was sent by the rebel Government as their agent to Canada. When he went there he took with him between seven and eight thousand dollars in money for the purpose of assisting the Northern Democracy. He got himself acquainted with the Democratic party in Ohio, Indiana

and Illinois. The vigorous Democrats or real Democrats of those cities had organized themselves under the heads of "The Sons of Liberty," "Knights of the Golden Circle," "Order of the Star,"



and various other names. They held meetings in Chicago, Indianapolis and St. Louis, their object being to raise fires in those places; in other words, to burn down

the homes of the Union soldiers while they were in the field fighting for the preservation of the country. This was their object and they immediately put themselves in communication with Jacob Thompson. On the 6th of August, 1864, they held a meeting in Peoria, and there were Democrats there from every part of the State. In that meeting a letter was read, received from the Hon. Fernando Wood, of New York, of whom I think you have heard, in which he said that, although not present in the body, he was there in spirit. George Pendelton, George E. Pugh, and other prominent gentlemen, sent their apologies and regrets.

I was at that meeting and read some of the apologies. They denounced the war as an Abolition War; they denounced Americans as tyrants. They said, "Rouse brothers and hurl the tyrant Lincoln from his throne." The men who made speeches at that meeting are now running for the most important political offices in Illinois to-day on the ticket of "Honesty and Reform". Jacob Thompson wrote home and we found his letter in the rebel archives, and he describes the meeting and says that he furnished the money to pay the expenses of that Democratic meeting. The expenses of that meeting were paid by rebel gold by Jake Thompson, and he has got filed a voucher or receipt from these Democrats, who are now in favor of Tilden and Hendricks.

They held their next meeting in Springfield, the next in Indianapolis, all the expenses of which were paid by this rebel agent. They went further, and shipped to these towns arms for these rebels in boxes marked Sunday-school books. I said the expenses of these Democratic meetings were paid for by rebel money, and their

object was to burn the homes of soldiers while they were battling for the equality of human lives. This rebel agent hired another rebel agent by the name of Churchill. He tried to burn Cincinnati and is now a good Democrat. At Indianapolis a man by the name of Dodge was made a leader of their party, and he became so sound that they were obliged to put him in Fort La Fayette.

The Democrats then met in Chicago and among other things declared the

WAR TO BE A FAILURE.

There never was, friends, a more infamous lie told on the face of the earth. It was only a few days afterward that the guns of Farragut and the achievements of the men in the field said they lied. Soldiers who fell in support of this country, rise from your graves and lift your skeleton hands on high, and swear that when the Democratic party uttered these words they lied!

We then grew magnanimous and let Dodge out of Fort La Fayette. Where do you suppose Dodge is now? He is in Wisconsin. What do you suppose he is doing? Making speeches. What and who for? Tilden, Hendricks, honesty and reform. This same Jacob Thompson whom the Democratic party shielded—this same man hired men to burn down the city of New York. Right in this great and splendid city of New York, that sits so like a queen upon the Atlantic, men rose up in mobs to burn down asylums simply because their walls sheltered the offspring of another race. Every one who raised his hands against these institutions should have his brains crushed to atoms. It was a disgrace to hu-

manity itself. Every man that was in that mob is to-night for Tilden, honesty and reform.

Recollect, my friends, that it was the Democratic party that did these devilish things when the great heart of the North was filled with agony and grief. Recollect that they did these things when the future of your country and mine was trembling in the balance of war; recollect that they did these things when the question was liberty, or slavery and perish; recollect that they did these things when your brothers, husbands and dear ones were bleeding or dying on the battlefields of the South, lying there at night, the blood slowly oozing through the wounds of death; when your brothers, husbands and sons were lying in the hospitals dreaming of home pictures they loved:

Recollect that the Democracy did these things when those dear to you were in the prison pens, with no covering at night except the sky, with no food but what the worms refused, with no friends except insanity and death.

THE REPUBLICAN PLATFORM.

Now, my friends, I have said a few things to you about the Republican party, and a few things about the Democratic party. With a few more words I will quit this branch of the subject. Allow me to say that the platform of the Republican party is as broad as humanity itself. It asks all to come and help and to join it who are in favor of human advancement. It is broad enough for Catholics, for Old School Presbyterians, for Methodists, and for infidels, provided they are in favor of the eternal equality of human rights; and the Republican party in

its magnanimity goes even farther; it is willing that the Democrat should vote its ticket. Beyond that, magnanimity cannot go.

The Republicans believe in giving to every man the result of the labor of his own hands; will allow every man to do his own thinking and express his own thoughts, whatever they may be. In the Republican way there is room for every one.

Now then, my friends, the first question which is upon us is about

PAYING THAT DEBT

which we contracted for powder with which to shoot these Democrats, and the next is about protecting the citizens of this country, both white and black. We owe a large debt, two-thirds of it, as I tell you, caused by the action and measures of the Democratic party. Recollect that always. There are some people who have an idea that we can defer the fulfillment of a promise so long that it will amount to a fulfillment. There are people who have an idea that the Government can make money by stamping its sovereignty upon a piece of paper. The Government of the United States is a perpetual pauper. It passes the hat all the time, and it has a musket behind the hat. But at the same time it produces nothing itself. The Government don't plow the land; the Government don't make the bricks; the Government don't chop down the trees and saw them into lumber. The Government is a perpetual pauper, and the Government cannot support the people, but the people have to support the Government. The idea that the Government can issue money for the people to live

upon is the same as the idea that my hired man can issue certificates of my indebtedness to him for me to live on.

The United States got broke. It had no money. I have been, I think, fixed that way a hundred times. Then it did as I did. It had to go and borrow money, and every greenback was a forced loan. The only difference between that of the United States and mine is that mine is not a legal tender. If I had the power I would have made them so. We borrowed the money and we have got to pay it, and the people have got to pay it. And the debt represents the loss inflicted upon the country by the war. That is all—by the war. All the powder burned, all the shots thrown, all the horses, guns and everything in the aggregate is represented by our debt as so much loss, and we shall never be a solvent people until our net profits since the war shall amount to as much as we lost during the war. Then we are a square, solvent people. The man that can't understand that, there is no use of talking to on any subject.

This debt is to be paid. As a matter of fact we ought to make the Democratic party pay it. They lost the case. They ought to pay for it. All we ask is that they pay their share, and I tell you it is going to be paid. There is, in the first place, to secure that debt, a mortgage on a continent of land. There is a mortgage on the Republican party. Every blade of grass growing in the United States is a guarantee that the debt shall be paid. Every ear of corn is a guarantee that the debt shall be paid. Every pine tree growing in the somber forest is a guarantee that the debt shall be paid. All

the coal put away in the ground by that old miser, the sun, is a guarantee that the debt shall be paid. Every thought is a guarantee that the debt shall be paid. And all the gold and silver in the Serria Nevadas waiting for the miner's pick is a guarantee that the debt shall be paid; and every good man and every good woman and



every babe in the cradle, and all the boys and girls bending over their books at school; and every chap who is going to vote the Republican ticket, is a guarantee that the debt shall be paid.

A TELEGRAM FROM BLAINE.

Why, don't you see, it keeps coming—it keeps coming (as a telegram was handed to him). I have been in that country. I have been talking to this people:

“We have triumphed by an immense majority, carrying every Congressional district and every county in our State; something we have not achieved since 1868.”

(The audience then gave three rousing cheers and a tiger for James G. Blaine, by whom the dispatch was signed.)



BLAINE, AFTER THE REBELLION.

And this dispatch is signed by that man who clutched the Confederate Congress by the throat and held them until their foreheads became as black as their records, and until their tongues spoke out like flags of truce. This is signed by James G. Blaine.

Now, then, the question is, who is most apt to fulfill this National debt, the party who made it and swore it was Constitutional and legal, or the party that swore it was not Constitutional? Every time a Democrat or a rebel sees a greenback it says to him, "I am one of the host that vanquished you;" and every time a Republican sees a greenback it says to him, "You and I put the rebellion down."

TILDEN'S ESSAY ON FINANCE.

Now, there is a gentleman of the name of Tilden, who has written an essay on finance. Some people call it a letter of acceptance. Let me say here that under the circumstances I don't think it proper to say anything of Mr. Tilden personally. He is under the shadow, as I understand it, of a great grief and sorrow; his brother has recently died, and I speak only of his political action.

With Samuel J. Tilden as a man I sincerely sympathize; with Samuel J. Tilden as a politician, I do not. Now, we have been told in this essay that one of the great preventatives of paying this debt is having a time fixed when to pay it. I have never taken any notes that I recollect of that there was not something said in that note about when it was to be paid; and I had always supposed that it was an exceedingly important part of the note that there be at least an indirect allusion to some age or epoch at which the maker thereof proposed to liquidate the aforesaid note. But I find that I have been mistaken, and that nothing in the world will prevent it being paid so quick as to have the date fixed when it is to be paid.

Tilden says the reason of this is that you cannot pay a note without wise preparation, and a wise system of preparation, and to have a date fixed plays the very devil with a wise preparation. He also tells us that it is necessary to have a central reservoir of coin, and that if you fix the date the reservoir is an impossibility.

He also tells us that we must approach this thing by a slow and gradual process, and that if you have a day fixed you cannot make your process gradual enough. Now let me read what he says:

“How shall the Government make these notes (greenbacks) at all times as good as specie?”

Well, in my humble view, I had supposed the way to do was to be ready to redeem. I had, really. Tilden says:

“It has to provide in reference to the mass which would be kept in use by the wants of business, a central reservoir of coin, adequate to the adjustment of the temporary fluctuations of the international balance.”

But Mr. Tilden did not entirely disgorge his mind on that subject, so he says:

“—— as a guaranty against transient drains, artificially created by panic or by speculation. It has also to provide for the payment in coin of such fractional currency as may be presented for redemption, and such inconsiderable portion of legal tenders as individuals may from time to time desire to convert for special use, or in order to lay by in coin their little store of money. If wisely planned and discreetly pursued, it ought not to cost any sacrifice to the business of the country. It should tend, on the contrary, to the revival of hope and confidence.

“The proper time for the resumption is the time when wise preparation shall have ripened into perfect ability to accomplish the object with a certainty and ease that will inspire confidence and encourage the revival of business. The earliest time in which such a result can be brought about is best.”

And then he tells you how to do it; The specific measure and actual date are matters of details having reference to ever-changing conditions.”

That is what I tell the fellow about paying my note.

“They belong to the domain of practical, administrative statesmanship. The captain of a steamer, about starting from New York to Liverpool, does not assemble a council over his ocean craft, and fix an angle by which to lash the rudder for the whole voyage.”

Mr. Tilden then speaks about going to Liverpool. “A human intelligence must be at the helm to discern the shifting forces of water and wind.” Especially the wind, I take it. Then speaking of legislation on the subject, he says: “They are a snare and a delusion to all who trust them.” I will read a little further and then I will stop.

He says that it is impossible to fix the day, because you cannot know what the fluctuating balances of Europe will be; you can not tell how the water will be nor how the wind blows; you must let it remain unfixed. I want to know if the Republican Congress did not know that they could redeem on the 1st of January. 1879, how the Democratic Convention know they could not? How did they ascertain so much about the central reservoir of coin? How did they ascertain these when it was impossible for us to ascertain anything about it? If the Dem-


ocratic party can say it can't be done in January, 1879: it seems to me that the Republican Congress could easily know enough to know it can be done. Mr. Tilden spoke of the gradual and safe process of resumption, but he did not tell us what it must be. He simply says he can't tie a rudder to a particular angle. He says you must trust to "human intelligence," the human intelligence being Tilden, and in case of his demise, Hendricks, and they won't tell a thing until the crisis arrives. This is what he says. Now, suppose I read this letter, and, after having read it, got at the atmosphere, en rapport—you know what I mean—that I was full of it, and that I wrote in the same vein. Suppose I should, in the most solemn and solemn manner, tell you that the fluctuations caused in the vital stability of shifting financial operations, not to say speculations of the wildest character, cannot be rendered instantly accountable to a true financial theory, based upon the great law that the superfluous is not a necessity, except in the vague thoughts of persons unacquainted with the exigencies of the hour, and cannot, in the absence of a central reservoir of coin with a human intelligence at the head, hasten by any system of convertible bonds, the expectation of public distrust; no matter how wisely planned and discreetly pursued, failure is assured, whatever the result may be.

HARD MONEY.

If that is not just like it, I don't know what the difference is. Why, if anybody in the world come to you with a note upon which the date of payment was not fixed, you would say he was either insane or a rascal.

And you would say to any man in the Union who says he is for specie resumption, and counts the date out, that he is politically dishonest.

But the Republican party propose resumption in 1879. Hard money is economy; paper money is extravagance;



INDUSTRIAL EXPOSITION.

hard money means economy and National prosperity; we have touched hard-pan in all the business of the country, and now we want money to do business on hard-pan with. The Republican party will redeem on the 1st of January, 1879, or if it fails, it will fail as the soldier fails to take a fort high up on the rampart with the flag in his hand.

PROTECTION OF CITIZENS.


The next question is about the protection of our citizens. The Nation that can not protect its citizens at home and abroad ought to be swept from the map of the world. The Democratic party tells us that the United States of America can protect all of its citizens when

they are away from home, but those who are citizens of Louisiana or Mississippi or any other State under our flag, the Government is powerless to protect them. I deny it. I say the Government of the United States not only has the power—and unless it does, it is infinitely dishonorable—to protect every citizen at home as well as abroad, but the Government has the right to take its soldiers across any State line or into any city, county or ward, for the purpose of protecting every man, whether white or black. (Prolonged applause.)

The doctrine of the Democratic party is the old doctrine of secession in disguise—that the State of South Carolina or Mississippi must protect its own citizens, but that the Government had nothing to do with it unless the Governor or Legislature of the State Calls upon the General Government. This is infamous. The United States claims the right to draft every citizen into the army. It claims the right to draft every able-bodied man in front of a cannon in time of war; and now to say that when peace has spread her beautiful wings over our land, when some citizen is struck down, that the United States cannot protect him, when the United States will make him protect it, is infamous. (Applause and cries of “good, good.”) The flag that will not protect its protectors is a dirty rag. It contaminates the air in which it waves, and if that is the true theory of our Government, I despise it.

It is the duty of the Government to see that each and every American citizen has all his rights in every State in the Union, peaceably if we can, forcibly if we must. The Republican party made the black men of this country citizens. It put the ballot in his hands, and it is the

duty of the Republican party to see to it that they have a peaceable opportunity to cast their ballots. There are plenty of men in the South who fought against the Government and who were satisfied with the arbitrament of the war, and who laid down their arms and are



Union men to-day. I want the Government to protect them, too. As a general rule, however, the population of the South is turbulent, and the best men cannot control it, and men are

SHOT DOWN FOR OPINION'S SAKE.

It ought to be stopped. It is a disgrace to American civilization. They tell us that the colored men are

treated very well! Oh, yes, very well! I read every little while of two peaceable white men going along not thinking of anything, or harmless and inoffensive as lambs, and they are approached by ten or twelve negroes, and the ten or twelve negroes are shot, but the two peaceable white men don't get a scratch. The negroes are the ones to bite the dust; it is infamous.

The Democratic party don't care. Samuel J. Tilden don't care. He knows that many Southern States are to be carried by assassination and murder. He knows that if he is elected President of the United States it will be only assassination and murder, and he is willing that they should go on. It is infamous beyond the expression of language. What party will be most apt to preserve the liberty of the negro, the party that gave it or the party that denied it? Who will be most likely to preserve the liberties of the loyal white men of the South, the men that fought for them or the men that fought against them?

TILDEN AND TAMMANY.

The Democratic party have as their candidate for the Presidency, Samuel J. Tilden. It is enough for me to say of him that he is a Democrat. He belongs to the Democratic party of the city and State of New York. The Democratic party of the city of New York, as I understand it, and as we have heard of it out West, never had but two objects, grand and petit larceny. We have always heard out West that Tammany Hall bears the same relation to the penitentiary that a Sunday-school does to a church. I understand that the Democratic party of the city of new York got control of the

city when it didn't owe a dollar, and that it has managed to steal until now it owes about one hundred and sixty millions. I understand that every contract ever made by the Democratic party of the city of New York was larceny in disguise. I understand that every election they ever had was a fraud. I understand that they stole every thing that they could lay their hands upon, and oh, what hands! They grasped and clutched all that it was possible for the people to pay interest upon, and then, clapping their enormous hands to their bursting pockets, they began yelling for honesty and reform. (Laughter and applause.)

I understand that Mr. Tilden was a pupil in that school and that now he is a teacher in that school. I under-



stand that when the war commenced he said he would never aid in the prosecution of that old outrage. I understand that he said in 1860 and 1861 that the Southern States could snap the tie of confederation as a nation would break a treaty, and that they could repel coercion as a nation would repel invasion. I understand that during the entire war he was opposed to its prosecution, and that he was opposed to the Proclamation of Emancipation, and demanded that the document be taken back. I understand that he regretted to see the chains fall from the limbs of the colored man. I understand that he re-

gretted when the Constitution of the United States was elevated and purified, pure as the driven snow. I understand that he regretted when the stain was wiped from our flag and we stood before the world the only pure Republic that ever existed. This is enough for me to say about him, and since the news from Maine you need not waste your time in talking of him.

HAYES AND WHEELER.

On the other side there is another man, Rutherford B. Hayes. I want to tell you something about this man. In the first place he is an honest man, a patriotic man, and when this war commenced, Rutherford B. Hayes said:

"I would rather go into the war and be killed in the cause of it than live through it and take no part in it."

Compare, if you please, that with Mr. Tilden's refusal to sign a call for a Union meeting in this city of New York, headed by that honored man, who was at that time, a staunch Democrat, John A. Dix.

Rutherford B. Hayes is, as I said, a patriotic man; he went and dispersed rebel meetings when Mr. Tilden refused to disperse these meetings. He bears now three wounds in his flesh received while helping his country in this manner. He is also a man of good character, and, as I said before, good character cannot be made in a day; good character is made up of all good things; all the ennobling things accomplished go into this grand thing called character, and the character of Rutherford B. Hayes rises before the people to-day like a dome of honor, of patriotism and integrity. All the Democratic

snakes, with their poisonous tongues thrust out, cannot



find a crevice in the character of Mr. Hayes into which to deposit their malignity, Imagine a man so good that the Democratic men cannot lie about him.

I would also say that William A. Wheeler is also as staunch a Republican as ever there was in the party. There is no one a greater advocate of reform than he.

DEMOCRATIC MEANNESS.

I have told you a little about the condition of the country when the Republican party was born, what it achieved and a little about the Democratic party, and a little about Mr. Tilden, and now I am going to wind this thing up.


I want you to recollect that the very men who fought for this Union, with very few exceptions, were Republicans. There were some Democrats, but I cannot tell why they were there. With these exceptions, the Dem-

ocratic party is made up of the worst elements of society. The worst wards in New York are the ones that will give the largest Democratic majority. There is not a penitentiary in the United States that Tilden and Hendricks cannot carry five to one. In the Democratic party can be found the vicious and foul. The man who wishes to answer an argument with blows, he is in the Democratic party. All men who sympathized with the South in its efforts to destroy this Government are now in the Democratic party; all the men who shot our soldiers at the dead-mark are now for honesty and reform, and if Tilden should be elected President of the United States all these men would be found shouting for Tilden and Hendricks. Now, my friends, keep out of the Democratic party; do not vote that ticket; any young man who is going to cast his first vote, do not place your future in the hands of that party. The Republican party, on the other hand, is the party of reason, of progression and education. The Republican party is one that believes in the equality of human rights. I believe it. I am willing to give to every human being every right that I claim for myself. Every man who won't do that is a rascal.

FREEDOM AND PROGRESS.

My friends, I believe the world is going to get better, I do. I believe we are getting better all the time. Samuel J. Tilden says we are a nation of thieves and robbers. I don't believe it. If we were, he ought to be President. I believe we are getting better, and every day the Republican party is in power we will be getting better. And how? By free labor and free thought. Free labor will give us wealth. Free thought will give us

truth. Free labor has done everything that has been done in the United States, because the problem of free labor is to do the most work in the least time, and slave labor is to do the least work in the most time. (A voice: "How about free schools?") I want free schools and I want them divorced from sectarian influence. (Tremendous applause and cheers.) I want every school



house to be a true temple of science in which shall be taught the laws of nature, in which the children shall be taught actual facts; and I don't want that school house touched; or that institution of science touched by any superstition whatever. Leave religion with the church, with the family, and more than all, leave religion with each individual heart and man. Let every man be his own Bishop, let every man be his own Pope, let every man do his own thinking; let every man have a brain of his own. Let every man have a heart and conscience of his own.

We are growing better, and truer, and grander. And let me say, Mr. Democrat, we are keeping the country for your children. We are keeping education for your

children. We are keeping the old flag floating for your children; and let me say, as a prediction, that there is only air enough on this continent to float that one flag.

Well, you have heard from Maine, and you will hear from Ohio and Indiana, and those three silver bugle sounds, Hayes and Wheeler, and the Nation hearing those, next November, will say that the men who saved our country shall rule; will say that the men who sailed the Ship of State shall sail it. And now, ladies and gentlemen, I thank you again and again. (Long and loud applause.)

—:O:—





SPEECH TO VOLUNTEER SOLDIERS.

**An Eloquent Address Delivered in Chicago,
November 13, 1879.**

At the banquet given to the Army of Tennessee, at Chicago, Nov. 13, 1879, Gen. Sherman announced the following toast: The volunteer soldiers of the Union army, whose valor and patriotism saved the world a government of the people, by the people, and for the people.' Response by Col. Robert G. Ingersoll.

Col. Ingersoll, mounting the table by which he was sitting, spoke as follows:

When the savagery of the lash, the barbarism of the class, and the insanity of secession confronted the civilization of our century, the question, 'Will the great Republic defend itself?' trembled on the lips of every lover of mankind.

The North, filled with intelligence and wealth—children of liberty—marshalled her forces and asked only for

a leader. From civil life, a man, silent, thoughtful, poised and calm, stepped forth and with lips of victory voiced the Nation's first and last demand: "Unconditional and immediate surrender." From that moment the end was known. That utterance was the first real declaration of war, and, in accordance with the dramatic unities of mighty events, the great soldier who made it received the final reward of the rebellion.

The soldiers of the Republic were not seekers after vulgar glory. They were not animated by the hope of plunder or the love of conquest. They fought to preserve the blessings of liberty and that their children might have peace. They were the defenders of humanity, the destroyers of prejudice, the breakers of chains, and in the name of the future they slew the monster of their time. They finished what the soldiers of the Revolution commenced. They relighted the torch that fell from their august hands and filled the world again with light. They blotted from the statute books laws that had been passed by hypocrites at the instigation of robbers, and tore with indignant hands from the Constitution the infamous clause that made men the catchers of their fellow men.

They made it possible for judges to be just, for statesmen to be human, and for politicians to be honest.

They broke the shackles from the limbs of slaves, from the souls of martyrs, and from the Northern brain. They kept our country on the map of the world and our flag in heaven.

They rolled the stone from the sepulchre of progress, and for these two angels clad in shining garments—Nationality and Liberty. The soldiers were the saviors of

the Nation. They were the liberators of men. In writing the Proclamation of Independence, Lincoln, the greatest of our mighty dead, whose memory is as gentle as the summer air when reapers sing among the gathered sheaves—copied with his pen what Grant and his brave comrades wrote with their swords.



GEN. LOGAN.

Grandier than the Greek, nobler than the Romans the soldiers of the Republic, with patriotism as taintless as the air, battled for the rights of others; for the nobility of labor; fought that mothers might own their babes; that arrogant idleness should not scar the back of patient toil, and that our country should not be a many-headed monster made of warring States, but a Nation, sovereign, great and free.

Blood was water, money, leaves, and life was common air until one flag floated over a Republic without a master and without a slave. Then was asked the question;

Will a free people tax themselves to pay the Nation's debt?

The soldiers went home to their waiting wives, to their glad children, to the girls they loved—they went back to the fields, the shops and mines. They had not been demoralized. They had been ennobled. They were as honest in peace as they had been brave in war. Mocking at poverty, laughing at reverses, they made a friend of toil. They said: 'We saved the Nation's life, and what is life without honor?'

They worked and wrought with all of labor's sons, that every pledge the Nation gave should be redeemed. And their great leader, having put a shining band of friendship—a girdle of clasped and happy hands—around the globe, comes home and finds that every promise made in war has now the ring and gleam of gold.

There is still another question: 'Will all the wounds of war be healed?' I answer, Yes. The Southern people must submit, not to the dictation of the North, but to the Nation's will and to the verdict of mankind. They were wrong, and the time will come when they will say that they are victors who have been vanquished by the right. Freedom conquered them, and freedom will cultivate their fields, educate their children, weave for them the robes of wealth, execute their laws, and fill their land with happy homes.

The soldiers of the Union saved the South as well as the North. They made us a Nation. Their victory made us free and rendered tyranny in every other land as insecure as snow upon volcano lips.

And now let us drink to the volunteers, to those who sleep in unknown, sunken graves, whose names are only

in the hearts of those they loved and left—of those who only hear in happy dreams the footsteps of return.

Let us drink to those who died where lipless famine mocked at want—to all the maimed whose scars give modesty a tongue, to all who dared and gave to chance the care and keeping of their lives—to all the living and all the dead—to Sherman, to Sheridan and to Grant, the foremost soldiers of the world; and last, to Lincoln, whose loving life, like a bow of peace, spans and arches all the clouds of war.

—:O:—





INGERSOLL ON THE SITUATION.

His Celebrated Speech Delivered at Chicago,
October 21, 1876.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:—Democrats and Republicans have a common interest in the United States. We have a common interest in the preservation of a common country. And I appeal to all, Democrats and Republicans, to endeavor to make a conscientious choice; to endeavor to select as President and Vice-President of the United States the men and the parties, so to speak, which in your judgment will preserve this nation, and preserve all that is dear to us either as Republicans or Democrats.

THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY DESCRIBED.

The Democratic party comes before you and asks that you will give this Government into its hands; and you have a right to investigate as to the reputation and character of the Democratic organization. The Democratic party say, "Let bygones be bygones." I never knew a man who did a decent action that wanted it forgotten. I never knew a man who did some great and shining act of self-sacrifice and heroic devotion who did not wish that act

remembered. Not only so, but he expected his loving children would chisel the remembrance of it upon the marble that marked his last resting place. But whenever a man does an infamous thing; whenever a man commits some crime; whenever a man does that which mantles the cheeks of his children with shame, he says, "Let bygones be bygones." (Applause.) The Democratic party admits that it has a record, but it says that any man that will look into it, any man that will tell it, is not a gentleman. I do not know whether according to the Democratic standard, I am a gentleman or not; but I do say that in a certain sense I am one of the historians of the Democratic party. I do not know that it is true that a man cannot give his record and be a gentleman, but I admit that a gentleman hates to read this record; a gentleman hates to give this record to the world; but I do it, not because I like to do it, but because I believe the best interests of this country demand that there shall be a history given of the Democratic party.

In the first place, I claim that the Democratic party embraces within its filthy arms the worst elements in American society. I claim that every enemy that this Government has had for twenty years has been and is a Democrat; every man in the Dominion Canada that hates the great Republic, would like to see Tilden and Hendricks the next President and Vice-President of the United States. I say more; every State that seceded from this Union was a Democratic State. Every man that drew an ordinance of secession was a Democrat; every man that tried to tear the flag out of heaven was a Democrat; every man that tore that old banner of glory with shot and shell was a Democrat; every Union soldier that has a

scar upon his body to-day carries with him a souvenir of the Democratic party; every man that shot a Union soldier was a Democrat; every man that denied to the Union prisoners even the worm-eaten crust of famine was a Democrat; and when some famished Union soldier, crazed by agony and by pain and by want, saw in his dream the face of his mother, and she seemed to beckon him, and he innocently followed her beckoning, and in so following, got his feet one inch beyond the dead-line, the rebel wretch who put a bullet through his heart was and is a Democrat. (Applause and loud cries of "That's so.") The men that burned the orphan asylum in the city of New York were Democrats; every one that fired that city, knowing that, if it burned, the serpents of flames would leap from the buildings and clutch children from their mother's arms; every wretch that did it was a Democrat. (Applause.) The man that shot Abraham Lincoln was a Democrat. (Applause.) And every man that was glad of it was a Democrat. (Loud applause.) Every man that was sorry to see the institution of slavery abolished; every man that shed a tear over the corpse of human slavery was, and is, a Democrat. (Applause.) The men that cursed Abraham Lincoln, cursed the grandest, the purest man that was ever President of the United States; every man that cursed him for issuing the Emancipation Proclamation, the grandest paper since the Declaration of Independence—every man that cursed him for it was a Democrat. (Applause.) Every man who hated to see blood-hounds cease to be the instrumentalities of a free government—every one was a Democrat. In short, every enemy that this Government has had for twenty years, every enemy that liberty and pro-

gress ever had in the United States for twenty years, every hater of our flag, every despiser of our Nation, every man who has been a disgrace to the great Republic for twenty years, has been a Democrat. I do not say they are all that way; but nearly all who are that way are Democrats. (Loud applause.)

A POLITICAL TRAMP.

The Democratic party to-day is a political tramp (laughter) crawling to the back door of the White House, begging for official food. The Democratic party has not had a bite to eat for sixteen long and weary years. (Laughter and applause.) The Democratic party has a vast appetite. (Laughter.) The Democratic party is all teeth and an empty stomach. (Laughter.) In other words, the Democratic party is a political tramp with a yellow passport. This political tramp begs food and he carries in his pocket old dirty scraps of paper as a kind of certificate of character, On one of those papers he will show you the ordinance of 1789; on another one of those papers he will have a part of the fugitive slave law; on another one some of the black laws that used to disgrace Illinois; on another Governor Tilden's letter to Kent (laughter and applause); on another a certificate signed by Lyman Trumbull that the Republican party is not fit to associate with—(laughter and applause)—that certificate will be endorsed by Governor John M. Palmer and my friend Judge Doolittle. (Laughter.) He will also have in his pocket an old wood cut, somewhat torn, representing Abraham Lincoln falling upon the neck of S. Corning Judd, and, and thanking him for saving the Union as Commander-in-Chief of the Sons of Liberty.

(Laughter and applause.) Following this tramp will be a blood-hound; and when he asks for food, the blood-hound will crouch for employment on his haunches, and the drool of anticipation will run from his loose and hanging lips. Study the expression of that dog. (Laughter.) Translate it into English and it means, "Oh! I want to bite a nigger!" (Laughter.) And when the dog has that expression he shows a striking likeness to his master. (Laughter.) The question is, "Shall the tramp and that dog gain possession of the White House?" (Loud cries of "No! No!")


DEMOCRATIC STUPIDITY.

The Democratic party learns nothing; the Democratic party forgets nothing. The Democratic party does not know that the world has advanced a solitary inch since 1860. Time is a Democratic dumb watch. It has not given a tick for sixteen years. (Laughter.) The Democratic party does not know that we, upon the great glittering highway of progress, have passed a single milestone for twenty years. The Democratic party, I say, is incapable of learning. The Democratic party is incapable of anything but prejudice and hatred. Every man that is a Demccrat is a Democrat because he hates something; every man that is a Republican is a Republican because he loves something. (Applause.) And it is not whisky, either. (Laughter.)

ITS USEFULNESS OBSOLETE.

The other day I was going along the road, and I came to a place where it had been changed, and the guide-board did not know it. It had stood there for twenty years pointing industriously, pointing diligently over to a

deserted field; nobody ever went that way, but the guide-board thought the next man would. Thousands passed, and notwithstanding the fact that no one went in the direction of the guide-board, through calm and shine and storm, it pointed diligently into the old field, and swore to it the road went that way; and I said to myself, "Such is the Democratic party of the United States." (Laughter.) I saw a little while ago a place in the road where there had been a hotel. The hotel had gone down over thirty years ago, and there was nothing standing but two desolate chimneys, up the flues of which the fires of hospitality had not roared for thirty years. The fence was gone, and the post holes even were obliterated, but there was a sign in the road, and on the sign were the words: "Entertainment for man and beast." The old sign swung and creaked in the winter wind, the snow fell upon it, the sleet clung to it, and in the summer the birds sung and twittered and made love upon it; nobody ever stopped there, but the sign swore to it, the sign certified to it, "Entertainment for man and beast." And I said to myself, "Such is the Democratic party of the United States, and one chimney ought to be called Tilden, and the other chimney ought to be called Hendricks." (Laughter.) I saw also by a stream, a building that had once been a mill; all the clap-boards nearly were gone, and the roof leaked like an average Democratic wool hat with the top burst, though there was a sign hanging by one nail, "Cash for wheat." Not a kernel had been ground there for thirty years; the old mill wheel had fallen off its gudgeons into the street, and it was as dry as though it had been in the final home of the Democratic party for forty years. (Laughter and applause.)




THE OLD MILL:

The dam was gone; nobody had built a new dam; the mill was not worth a dam ! (Laughter) And I said to myself, "That is exactly the condition of the Democratic party to-day."

THE "STATES RIGHTS" DOCTRINE.

The Democratic party, I say, is incapable of advancement; the only stock that they have in trade to-day is the old infamous doctrine of Democratic State rights. There never was a more infamous doctrine advanced on this earth, than the Democratic idea of State rights. What is it ? It has its foundation in the idea that this is not a Nation; it has its foundation in the idea that this is simply a confederacy, that this great Government is simply a bargain, that this great splendred people have simply made a trade, and that the people of any one of the States are sovereign to the extent that they have the right to trample upon the rights of their fellow-citizens, and that the General Government cannot interfere. The great Democratic heart is fired to-day, the Democratic bosom is bloated with indignation because of an order made by General Grant sending troops into the Southern States to defend the rights of American citizens ! Who objects to a soldier going ? Nobody except a man who wants to carry an election by fraud, by violence, by intimidation, by assassination, and by murder. The Democratic party is willing to-day that Tilden and Hendricks should be elected by violence; they are willing to-day to go into partnership with assassination and murder; they are willing to-day that every man in the Southern States, who is a friend of this Union, and who fought for our flag—that the rights of every one of these men

should be trampled to dust, provided Tilden and Hendricks be elected President and Vice-President of this country. They tell us that a State line is sacred; that you never can cross it unless you want to do a mean thing; that if you want to catch a fugitive slave you have the right to cross it; but if you wish to defend the rights of men, then it is a sacred line, and you can not cross it. Such is the infamous doctrine of the Democratic party. Who, I say, will be injured by sending soldiers into the



BIRTHPLACE OF GEN. GRANT.

Southern States? No one in the world except the man who wants to prevent an honest citizen from casting a legal vote for the Government of his choice. For my part, I think more of the colored Union men of the South, than I do of the white disunion men of the South. (Applause.) For my part, I think more of a black friend than a white enemy. (Applause.) For my part, I think more of a friend black outside, and white in, than I do of

a man who is white outside and black inside. (Applause.) For my part, I think more of black justice, of black charity, and of black patriotism, than I do of white cruelty, than I do of white treachery and treason. (Applause. As a matter of fact, all that is done in the South to-day, of use, is done by colored men. The colored man raises everything that is raised in the South, except hell. (Laughter and cheers.) And I say here to-night that I think one hundred times more of the good, honest, industrious man of the South than I do of all the white men together that do not love this Government (applause), and I think more of the black man of the South than I do of the white man of the North that sympathizes with the white wretch that wishes to trample upon the rights of that black man. (Applause.) I believe that this is a Government, first, not only of power, but that it is the right of this Government to march all the soldiers in the United States into any sovereign State of this Union to defend the rights of every American citizen in that State. (Applause. Voice, "That's so." "That's the doctrine.") If it takes the last man and the last dollar, I am in favor of killing enough Democrats to protect the rights of Union men. (Good," "good." Cheers.)

A Government that will not protect its protectors, a Government that will not defend its defenders, is a disgrace to the Nations of the earth, and the flag that will not protect them in her own country is a dirty rag that contaminates the air in which it floats. It is conceded by all Democrats and Republicans that in time of war this Government can come to your house, come to you when you are sitting with your family at your fireside,

sitting there with your children, everything happy and delightful; this Government has the right to take you and march you down into the valley of the shadow of hell, and standing you by the red roaring guns make you fight for the flag of your country. Now, suppose the Government does it, and you go and fight, and your Government is victorious, and you go home, and there you find a few Democrats who sympathized with the enemy, and they endeavor to trample upon your rights, is it not the duty of the Government that made you fight for it to defend you in time of peace? (Applause.) If it is the duty of the Government to defend you in time of war, when you were compelled to go into the army, how much more is it the duty of the Government to defend in time of peace the man who, in time of war, voluntarily and gladly rushed to the rescue and defense of his country; and yet the Democratic doctrine is that you are to answer the call of the Nation, but that the Nation will be deaf to your cry, unless the Governor of your State makes request of your Government. Suppose the Governors and every man trample upon your rights, is the Nation then to let you be trampled? Will the Nation hear only the cry of the oppressor, or will it heed the cry of the oppressed? I believe we should have a Government that can hear the faintest wail, the faintest cry for justice from the lips of the humblest citizen beneath her flag. But the Democratic doctrine is that this Government can protect its citizens only when they are away from home. This may account for so many Democrats going to Canada during the war. (Laughter and applause.) I believe that the Government must protect you, not only abroad, but must protect you at home.

THE COLORED RACE.

I have thought that human impudence reached its limit ages and ages ago. I had believed that some time in the history of the world impudence had reached its height, and so believed until I read the congratulatory address of Abram S. Hewitt, chairman of the National Executive Democratic Committee, wherein he congratulates the negroes of the South on what he calls a Democratic victory in the State of Indiana. If human impudence can go beyond this, all I have to say is, it never has. (Laughter.)

What does he say to the Southern people, to the colored people? He says to them in substance: "The reason the white people trample upon you is because the white people are weak. Give the white people more strength, put the white people in authority, and, although they murder you now when they are weak, when they are strong they will let you alone. (Laughter and applause.) Yes, the only trouble with our Southern white brethren is that they are in the minority, and they kill you now, and the only way to save your lives is to put your enemy in the majority." That is the doctrine of Abram S. Hewitt, and he congratulates the colored people of the South upon the Democratic victory in Indiana. There is going to be a great crop of hawks next season—let us congratulate the doves. (Laughter.) That is it. The burglars have whipped the police—let us congratulate the bank. (Laughter.) That is it. The wolves have killed off almost all the shepherds—let us congratulate the sheep. (Laughter and applause.) This is the same Abram S. Hewitt who has endeavored to set the rotten teeth of Democratic slander into the live and

quivering flesh of that splendid man, James G. Blaine. (Cheers.) The same Hewitt that congratulates the negroes of the South upon the prospect of their assassins getting into political power—the next thing we hear from him is the slander against the name and reputation of a man of whom he is not fit to speak even in terms of praise. (Applause.)

SUFFERINGS OF THE SLAVES.

In my judgment the black people have suffered enough. They have been slaves for 200 years, and, more than all, they have been compelled to keep the company of the men that owned them. (Laughter and applause.) Think of that. Think of being compelled to keep the society of the man who is stealing from you! Think of being compelled to live with the man who sold your wife. Think of being compelled to live with the man who stole your child from the cradle before your very eyes! Think of being compelled to live with the thief of your life, and spend your days with the white robber, and to be under his control! The black people have suffered enough. For 200 years they were owned and bought and sold and branded like cattle. For 200 years every human tie was rent and torn asunder by the bloody, brutal hands of avarice and might. They have suffered enough. During the war the black people were our friends not only, but whenever they were entrusted with the family, with the wives and children of their masters, they were true to them. They stayed at home and protected the wife and child of the master while he went into the field and fought for the right to whip and steal the child of the very black man that was protecting him. (Applause.) The black peo-

ple, I say, have suffered enough, and for that reason I am in favor of this Government protecting them in every Southern State, if it takes another war to do it. (Cheers.) We never can compromise with the South at the expense of our friends. (Voice, "Never!") We never can be friends with the men that starved and shot our brothers. (Voices, "Never!") We never can be friends with the men that waged the most cruel war in the world; not for liberty, but for the right to deprive other men of their liberty. We never can be their friends until they treat the black man justly; until they treat the white Union man respectfully; until Republicanism ceases to be a crime, until to vote the Republican ticket ceases to make you a political and social outcast. We want no friendship with the enemies of our country. (Applause.)

THE NATION'S FRIENDS AND ENEMIES.

The next question is, who shall have possession of this country—the men that saved it, or the men that sought to destroy it? The Southern people lit the fires of civil war. They who set the conflagration must be satisfied with the ashes left by the conflagration. The men that saved the Ship of State must sail it. The men that saved the flag must carry it. (Applause.) This Government is not far from destruction when it crowns with its highest honor in time of peace, the man that was false to it in time of war. (Applause.) This Nation is not far from the precipice of annihilation and destruction when it gives its highest honor to a man false, false to the country when everything we hold dear trembled in the balance of war, when everything was left to the arbitrament of the sword.

THE GREENBACK QUESTION.

The next question prominently before the people—though I think the great question is, whether citizens shall be protected at home—the next question, I say, is the financial question. With that there is no trouble. We had to borrow money, and we have got to pay it. That is all there is of that, and we are going to pay it just as soon as we make the money to pay it with, and we are going to make the money out of prosperity. We have got to dig it out of the earth. You can't make a dollar by law. You can't redeem a cent by statute. You can't pay one solitary farthing by all the resolutions, by all the speeches ever made under the sun. (Applause.) You have got to dig this money right square out of the ground. Every dollar we owe is not wealth of the Nation, but it is the evidence of the poverty of this Nation. The Nation cannot make money. The Nation cannot support you and me; it cannot support us. We support the Nation. The Nation collects its taxes for us. The Nation is a perpetual, everlasting pauper, and we have to support the Nation. The Nation passes the measure of taxation, and the Nation passes around the hat, and makes us all throw in our charity to support the Government, and everybody does throw in except Tilden, as far as heard from. (Laughter.) Now, then, we have some men among us who say that the Government can make money. If the Government can make money, why should it collect taxes from us? Why shouldn't it make all the taxes it wants? Why shouldn't it make all the money it wants, and take the taxes out and give the balance to us? Why should this Government, if it has the power to make money, collect any money from the people? But they

tell you that this Government has the power to put its sovereign impress on a piece of paper; and, if the Government has that power, it don't take any more sovereignty to make a \$2 bill than it does to make a \$1 bill. What is the use of wasting sovereignty on \$1 bills? (Laughter.) Why not have \$10 bills? What is the use of wasting sovereignty on a \$10 bill? Why not have \$100 bills? (Laughter.) Why not have million-dollar bills, and every one become a millionaire at once? (Laughter and applause.) If the greenback doctrine is right, that evidence of national indebtedness is wealth, if that is their idea, why not go another step and make every individual note a legal tender? Why not pass a law that every man shall take every other man's note? Then, I swear, we would have money in plenty? (Laughter.) No, my friends, a promise to pay a dollar is not a dollar, no matter if that promise is made by the greatest and most powerful Nation on the globe. A promise is not a performance. An agreement is not an accomplishment.

GREENBACK INFLATION.

We want no more inflation. We want simply to pay our debts as fast as the prosperity of the country allows it and no faster. Every speculator that was caught with property on his hands upon which he owed more than the property was worth wanted the game to go a little longer, Whoever heard of a man playing poker that wanted to quit when he was a loser? (Laughter.) He wants to have a fresh deal. He wants another hand, and he don't want any that is ahead to jump the game. (Laughter and applause.) It is so with the speculators in this country. They bought land, they bought houses, they

bought goods. and when the crisis and crash came, they were caught with the property on their hands, and they want another inflation, they want another tide to raise that will again sweep this driftwood into the middle of the great financial stream. That is all. Every lot in this city that was worth \$5,000, and that is now worth \$2,000—do you know what is the matter with that lot? It has been redeeming. It has been resuming. That is what is the matter with that lot. Every man that owned property that has now fallen 50 per cent., that property has been resuming; and if you could have another inflation to-morrow, the day that the bubble would burst would find thousands of speculators who paid as much for property as property was worth, and they would ask for another tide of affairs in men. They would ask for another inflation. What for? To let them out and put somebody else in. (Laughter.)

RUNNING IN DEBT.

We want no more inflation. We want the simple, honest payment of the debt, and to pay out of the prosperity of this country. "But," says the greenback man, "we never had as good times as when we had plenty of greenbacks." Suppose a farmer would buy a farm for \$10,000, and give his note. He would send Mary, Jane and Lacy to school. He would give them pianos, and send them to college, and would give his note for the interest, and the next year again his note, and finally they would come to him and say: "We must settle up; we have taken your notes as long as we can; we want money." "Why," he would say to the gentleman, "I never had as good a time in my life as while I have been

giving those notes. I never had a farm until the man gave it to me for my note. My children have been clothed as well as anybody's. We have had carriages; we have had fine horses; and our house has been filled with music, and laughter, and dancing; and why not keep on taking these notes?" So it is with the greenback man; he says, "When we were running in debt we had a jolly time—let us keep it up." But, my friends, there must come a time when inflation would reach that point when all the Government notes in the world wouldn't buy a pin; that all the Government notes in the world wouldn't be worth as much as the last year's Democratic platform.

HARD TIMES.

I have no fear but what these debts will be paid. I have no fear but what every solitary greenback dollar will be redeemed; but, my friends, we will have some trouble doing it. Why? Because the debt is a great deal larger than it should have been. In the first place there should have been no debt. If it had not been for the Southern Democracy there would have been no war. If it hadn't been for the Northern Democracy the war wouldn't have lasted one year. (Cheers—voices, "That's so.") When we put up the greenbacks, the Democrats went to all the markets in the world and swore that we never could redeem that paper. They stuck to it during the period of the war until gold went up to 290. What did it mean? It meant that the greenback dollar was only worth 34 cents. That is what it meant. What became of the other 66 cents? They were lied out of the greenbacks. They were maligned and slandered and calumniated out of the greenback by the Democrats of

the North. Whenever a Democrat talks about hard times, tell him, "Your party made the hard times." Whenever a Democrat wants to get sympathy on account of the national debt, tell him, "Your party made the national debt."

There was a man tried in court for having murdered his own father and his own mother. He was found guilty, and the judge asked him, "What have you to say that sentence of death shall not be pronounced on you?" "Nothing in the world, judge," said he, "only I hope your Honor will take pity on me and remember that I am a poor orphan." (Laughter and applause; renewed laughter.) The Democratic party made this debt. The Democratic party caused these hard times, and now they go around the country and ask sympathy from the people because the Democrats are suffering such hard times. When you think about this debt, charge two-thirds of it to the Democracy of the North; charge the other third to the Democracy of the South, and if you have to work to get this money, and in working blister your hands, pull off the blister, and under every blister you will find a Northern Democratic lie. I say, have no doubt but that this debt will be paid. We have got the honor to pay it, and we do not pay it on account of the avarice or greed of the bondholder. An honest man don't pay money to a creditor simply because the creditor wants it. The honest man pays at the command of his honor, and not at the demand of the creditor. (Applause.) The United States will liquidate every debt at the command of its honor, and every cent will be paid. War is destruction, war is loss, and all the property destroyed, and the time that is lost, put together, amount to what we call a national debt.

When in peace we shall have made as much net profit as there was wealth lost in the war, then we will be a solvent people.

THE GREENBACK TO BE REDEEMED.

The greenback will be redeemed, we expect to redeem it on the 1st day of January, 1879. We may fail; we will fail if the prosperity of the country fails; but we intend to try to do it, and if we fail to do it, we will fail as a soldier fails to take a fort, high upon the rampart, with the flag of resumption in our hands. (Applause.) We will not say that we cannot pay the debt because there is a date fixed when the debt is to be paid. I have had to borrow money myself; I have had to give my note, and I recollect distinctly that every man I ever did give my note to insisted that somewhere in that note there should be some vague hint as to the cycle, as to the geological period, as to the time, as to the century and date when I expected to pay those little notes. (Laughter.) I never understood that having a time fixed would prevent my being industrious; that it would interfere with my honesty; or with my activity, or with my desire to discharge that debt. And if any man in this great country owed you \$1,000, due you the first day of next January, and he should come to you and say:

"I want to pay you that debt, but you must take that date out of that note."

"Why?" you would say.

"Why," he would reply, in the language of Tilden, "I have got to make wise preparation."

"Well," you would say, "why don't you do it?"

"Oh," he says, "I can't do it while you have that date in that note."

"Another thing," he says, "I have got to get me a central reservoir of coin."

[Here the speaker went through the motion of filling his pockets with both hands.]

Suppose this debtor would also tell you, "I want the date out of that note, because I have got to come at it by a very slow and gradual process."

"Well," you would say, "I do not care how slow or how gradual you are, provided that you get around by the time the note is due."

What would you think of a man that wanted the date out of the note? You would think he was a mixture of rascal and Democrat. (Laughter.) That is what you would think. No, my friends, we are going to pay that money; every man that has got a bond, every man that has got a greenback dollar has got a mortgage upon the best continent of land on earth, an every spear of grass on this continent is a guaranty that this debt will be paid. (Applause.) Every particle of coal laid away by that old miser, the sun, millions of years ago, is a guaranty that every dollar will be paid; all the iron ore, all gold and silver under the snow-capped Sierra Nevadas, waiting for the miner's pick to give back the flash of the sun, every ounce is a guaranty that this debt will be paid, and every furrowed field of corn, and every good man, and every good woman, and every dimpled, kicking, healthy babe in the cradle. and all the boys and girls bending over their books at school, and every good man who is going to vote the Republican ticket, is a guaranty that every dollar of the national debt will be paid. (Loud applause.)

TILDEN.

Now, my friends, the Democratic party (if you may call it a party) brings forward as its candidate, Samuel J. Tilden, of New York. I am opposed to him, first, because he is an old bachelor. (Laughter.) In a country like ours, depending for its prosperity and glory upon an increase of the population, to elect an old bachelor is a suicidal policy. (Applause.) Any man that will live in this country for sixty years, surrounded by beautiful women with rosy lips and dimpled cheeks, in every dimple lurking a cupid, with coral lips and pearly teeth and sparkling eyes—any man that will push them all aside and be satisfied with the embraces of the Democratic party, does not even know the value of time. (Laughter and applause.) I am opposed to Samuel J. Tilden, because he is a Democrat; because he belongs to the Democratic party of the city of New York; the worst party ever organized in any civilized country. I wish you could see it. The pugilists, the prize-fighters, the plug-uglies, the fellows that run with the “masheen;” nearly every nose is mashed, and about half the ears have been chewed off. (Laughter.) And of whatever complexion they are, their eyes are nearly always black. (Laughter.) They have fists like teakettles and heads like bullets. (Laughter.) I wish you could see them. I have been in New York every few weeks for the last fifteen years; and whenever I go there I see the old banner of Tammany Hall, “Tammany Hall and Reform;” “John Morrisey and Reform;” Connolly and Reform;” “John Kelly and Reform;” “William M. Tweed and Reform;” and the other day I saw that same old flag, “Samuel J. Tilden and Reform.” (Loud laughter and applause.) The

Democratic party of the city of New York never had but two objects—grand and petty larceny. (Laughter and applause.) In that school Samuel J. Tilden has been a pupil. In that school Samuel J. Tilden is now head teacher. (Laughter and cheers:) The Democratic party of the city of New York has stolen everything it could lay its hands on, and, my God! what hands! If we elect Samuel J. Tilden, we will have the Democratic party of the city of New York to reform this country. (Laughter and applause.)

TILDEN A SECESSIONIST.


I have another objection to Tilden. He was a Secessionist in the beginning of the war; he is a Secessionist to-day. He believes that every State in this Union has a right to snap what he calls the tie of confederation at its pleasure, the same as a Nation has a right to break a treaty, and every State has the right to repel coercion as a Nation has the right to repel invasion. No man ought to be President of this Nation who denies that it is a Nation. Samuel J. Tilden denounced the war as an outrage. No man ever should be President of this country that denounced a war waged in its defense as an outrage. To elect such a man would be an outrage indeed. Samuel J. Tilden said the old flag carried by our fathers over the fields of the Revolution; the old flag carried by our fathers over the fields of 1812; the glorious old flag carried by our brothers over the cruel fields of the South—Samuel J. Tilden said the flag stands for a contract; that it stands for a confederation; that it stands for a bargain. But the great, splended Republican party says, "No. That flag stands for a great, hoping aspiring, sublime Nation, not

for a confederacy. [Applause.] I am opposed, I say, to the election of Samuel J. Tilden for another reason. If he is elected he will be controlled by his party, and his party will be controlled by the Southern stockholders in that party. They own nineteen-twentieths of the stock, and they will dictate the policy of the Democratic corporation. No Northern Democrat has the manhood to stand up before a Southern Democrat. Every Northern Democrat, nearly, has a face of dough, and the Southern Democrat will swap his ears, change his nose, cut his mouth the other way of the leather, so that his own mother wouldn't know him, in fifteen minutes. [Great laughter.] If Samuel J. Tilden is elected President of the United States, he will be controlled by the Democratic party, and the Democratic party will be controlled by the Southern Democracy,—that is to say, the late rebels; that is to say, the men that destroyed the Government; that is to say, the men who are sorry they didn't destroy the Government; that is to say, the enemies of every friend of this Union; that is to say, the murderers and the assassins of Union men living in the Southern country. [Applause]

Let me say another thing. If Mr. Tilden does not act in accordance with the Southern Democratic command, the Southern Democracy will not allow a single life to stand between them and the absolute control of this country. Hendricks will then be their man. I say that it would be an outrage to give this country into the control of men who tried to destroy it; to give this country into the control of the thieves who endeavored to destroy it; to give this country into the control of the Southern rebels and haters of Union men.

RUTHERFORD B. HAYES.

And on the other hand the Republican party have put forward Rutherford B. Hayes. [Applause.] He is an honest man. The Democrats will say, "That is nothing." Well, let them try it. [Applause and laughter.] Rutherford B. Hayes has a good character. A good character is not built upon a prospectus, but upon a good record. A good character is made up, not of what you agree to



do, but of the good things you really have done. If you could make a good character on promises, the Democratic party would have one to-morrow. [Laughter.] But a good character rests upon good action, upon something already accomplished. Rutherford B. Hayes, when this war commenced, did not say with Tilden, "I never will contribute to the prosecution of this war." But he did say this, "I would go into this war if I knew I would be killed in the course of it, rather than to live through

it and take no part in it." [Cheers.] Search the patriotic records of the world, and you will find no nobler, no grander saying than that declaration of Rutherford B. Hayes. During the war Rutherford B. Hayes received many wounds in his flesh, but

NOT ONE SCRATCH UPON HIS HONOR.

[Applause.] Samuel J. Tilden received many wounds in his honor, but not one scratch on his flesh. [Laughter.] Rutherford B. Hayes is a firm man; not an obstinate man, but a firm man; and I draw this distinction: A firm man will do what he believes to be right, because he wants to do right. He will stand firm because he believes it to be right; but an obstinate man wants his own way, whether it is right or whether it is wrong. Rutherford B. Hayes is firm in the right, and obstinate only when he knows he is in the right. [Applause.] If you want to vote for a man who fought for you, vote for Rutherford B. Hayes. If you want to vote for a man that carried our flag during the storm of shot and shell, vote for Rutherford B. Hayes. [A voice, "We are going to."] If you believe patriotism to be a virtue, vote for Rutherford B. Hayes. If you believe this country wants heroes, vote for Rutherford B. Hayes. If you are for a man who turned against his country in time of war, vote for Samuel J. Tilden. If you believe the war waged for the salvation of your North is an outrage, vote for Samuel J. Tilden. If you believe that it is better to stay at home and curse the brave men in the field, fighting for the sacred rights of man, vote for Samuel J. Tilden. If you want to pay a premium upon treason, if you want to pay a premium upon hypocrisy, if you want to pay a

premium upon sympathizing with the enemies of your country, vote for Samuel J. Tilden. If you believe that patriotism is right, if you believe a brave defender of liberty is better than an assassin of freedom, vote for Rutherford B. Hayes. [Cheers.]

THE REPUBLICAN PARTY.

I am proud that I belong to the Republican party. [Applause.] I want no grander title to nobility than that I belong to the Republican party, and helped to make



this country a free land. [Applause.] I say here to-night that the Republican party is the only decent party that ever existed on this earth. [Applause.] It is the only party not founded on a compromise with the devil. [Applause.] It is the only party that has not begged pardon for doing right. It is the only party that has said, "There shall be no distinction on account of race, on account of color, on account of previous condition." It is the only party that ever had a platform to stand upon. [Applause.]

BROAD ENOUGH FOR ALL HUMANITY.

It is the first decent party that ever lived. The Republican party made the first free government that was ever made. The Republican party made the first decent constitution that any Nation ever had. The Republican party gave to the sky the first pure flag that was ever kissed by the waves of air. The Republican party said, "Every man is entitled to liberty," not because he is white, not because he is poor, but because he is a *man*. [Cheers and cries of "Good!" "Good!"] The Republican party is the first party that knew enough to *know* that humanity is more than skin deep. [Applause.] It is the first party that said:

"GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE FOR ALL,"


as the light, as the air is for all. And it is the first party that had the sense to say, "What air is to the lungs, what light is to the eyes, what love is to the heart, liberty is to the soul." [Applause and cries of "Good!" "Good!"] The Republican party is the first party that ever was in favor of absolute free labor, the first party in favor of giving to every man, without distinction of race or color, the fruit of the labor of his own hands. The Republican party said, "Free labor will give us wealth; free thought will give us truth." The Republican is the first party that said to every man, "Think for yourself, and express that thought." [Applause.]

I am a free man. I belong to the Republican party. This is a free country. I will think my thought, I will speak my thought or die. [Cheers.] In the Republican air there is room for every wing, as on the Republican sea there is room for every sail. The Republican party

says to every soul, "Fly out into the great intellectual dome of thought, question the stars for yourself." But the Democratic party says:

"BE A BLIND OWL;

Sit on the dry limb of a dead tree and hoot only when that party says, hoot." [Laughter.] I say that the Republican party is for free labor. Free labor will bring us wealth; and why? Whenever a man works for himself, works for his wife, works for his children, he endeavors then to do the most work in the shortest space of time. The problem of slavery is to do the least work in the longest space of time. Slavery never invented but one machine, and that was a threshing machine in the



shape of a whip. [Loud laughter and applause.] Free labor has invented all the machines that ever added to the power, added to the wealth, added to the leisure, added to the civilization of mankind. Every conver-

ence, everything of use, everything of beauty in the world, we owe to free labor and free thought. Free labor, free thought—science took the thunderbolt away from the gods, and in the electric spark, freedom, with thought, with intelligence and with love, sweeps under all the waves of the sea; science, free thought, took a tear from



THE PHONOGRAPH.

the cheek of unpaid labor, converted it into steam, and created the giant that turns, with tireless arms, the countless wheels of toil. The Republican party, I say, believes in free labor. Every solitary thing, every solitary improvement made in the United States has been made by the Republican party. Every reform accomplished was inaugurated, and was accomplished by the

great, grand and glorious Republican party. [Applause,]



LIBERTY.

Last year I stood in the City of Paris, where once stood the old Bastile prison, where now stands the column of July. That column is surmounted by a magnificent statue of Liberty; in its right hand is a broken chain, in

its left hand a banner, and upon the glorious forehead the glittering and shining star of progress. And as I looked at it, I said, "Such is the Republican party of my country." [Applause.] The Republican party does not say, "Let by-gones be by-gones." The Republican party is proud of the past and confident of the future. The Republican party brings

ITS RECORD

before you and implores you to read every page, every paragraph, every line and every shining word. On the first page you will find it written: "Slavery has cursed American soil long enough." On the same page you will find it written: "Slavery shall go no further." On the same page you will find it written: "The blood-hounds shall not drip their gore upon another inch of American soil." On the second page you will find it written: "This is a Nation and not a Confederacy; every State belongs to every citizen, and no State has a right to take territory belonging to every citizen in the United States and set up a separate Government." On the third page you will find the grandest declaration ever made in this country: "Slavery shall be extirpated from the American soil." On the next page: "The rebellion shall be put down." On the next page: "The rebellion has been put down." On the next page: "Slavery has been extirpated from the American soil." On the next page: "The freedmen shall not be vagrants; they shall be citizens." On the next page: "They are citizens." On the next page: "The ballot shall be put in their hands;" and now we write on the next page: "That every citizen that has a ballot in his hand, by the gods! shall have the right to cast that ballot." [Loud applause.] That in

short, that in brief, is the history of the Republican party. The Republican party says, and it means what it says, "This shall be a free country forever; every man in it twenty-one years of age shall have the right to vote for the Government of his choice, and if any man endeavors to interfere with that right, the Government of the United States will see to it that the right of every American citizen is protected at the polls."

THE QUESTION OF SUPERIORITY.

Now, my friends, there is one thing that troubles the average Democrat, and that is the idea that somehow, in some way, the negro will get to be the better man. It is the trouble in the South to-day. And I say to my Southern friends [and I admit that there are a good many good men in the South, but the bad men are in an overwhelming majority; the great mass of the population are cruel, revengeful, idle, hateful, and I tell that population, "If you don't go to work, the negro, by his patient industry, will pass you." In the long run, the Nation that is honest, the people who are industrious, will pass the people who are dishonest, and the people that are idle, no matter how grand an aristocracy they may have had, and so I say, Mr. Northern Democrat, look out! [Laughter.]

The superior man is the man that loves his fellow-man; the superior man is the useful man; the superior man is the kind man, the man who lifts up his down-trodden brothers; and the greater the load of human sorrow and human want you can get in your arms, the easier you can climb the great hill of fame. The superior man is the man who loves his fellow-man. And let me say right here, the good men, the superior men, the grand men are

brothers the world over, no matter what their complexion may be; centuries may separate them, yet they are hand in hand; and all the good, and all the grand, and all the superior men, shoulder to shoulder, heart to heart, are fighting the great battle for the progress of mankind.

I pity the man, I execrate and hate the man who has



only to brag that he is white. Whenever I am reduced to that necessity, I believe shame will make me red instead of white. I believe another thing. If I can not hoe my row, I won't steal corn from the fellow that hoes his row. [Laughter and applause.]

If I belong to the superior race, I will be so superior that I can get a living without stealing from the inferior. I believe all the intellectual domain of the future is open to pre-emption. Every man that finds a fact first that is his fact; every man that thinks the thought first, that

is his thought. I believe that every round on the ladder of fame, from the one that rests upon the ground to the last that leans against the shining summit, ambition, belongs to the foot that gets on it first. Mr. Democrat [pointing to his feet]—I point down because they are nearly all on the first round—Mr. Democrat, if you can not climb, stand out of the way and let some deserving negro pass. [Applause.] I am perfectly willing that any Democrat in the world that can, shall pass me. I have never seen one yet, except when I looked out over my shoulder. [Laughter.] But if they can pass, I shall be delighted. Whenever we stand in the presence of genius, we take our hats off. Whenever we stand in the presence of the great we do involuntary homage, as it were, in spite of ourselves. Any one who can go by is welcome, any one in the world; but until somebody does go by, of the Democratic persuasion, I shall not trouble myself about the fact that maybe in some future time they may get by. The Democrats are afraid of being passed because they are being passed. I must tell you about

MY HORSE RACE.

I like to tell it. I enjoy it a thousand times better probably than you do. It will illustrate who is being passed in the great race of life. Suppose we were going to have a horse race here to-day, free to all the horses in the world; to scrubs, to mules, even to donkeys. It is a splendid day, and we all go out to the track; and they tap the drum, and the horses, the scrubs, the mules and the donkeys start off together under the wire, so that their noses look like a row of marbles; the judges say go, and away they fly; honor bright, do you believe that the


head horse, the blooded horse, his eyes flashing fire, his distended nostrils drinking the breath of their own swiftness, his thin neck, his high withers, his tremulous flanks, the veins standing out all over his body, as though a net of life had been cast upon him, his mane flying like a banner of victory—do you believe that horse would care how many scrubs, how many mules, how many donkeys run on that track? Honor bright. The old Democratic chuckle-headed, lop-eared, long-bodied, short-legged, with a neck like a log, tail and mane full of cockle-burrs, jump high and dig in deep and short—you have seen them run; when he would feel the breath of the mule coming on his cockle-burr tail, he is the fellow that would fly the track and say: “I am down on mule



equality.” Fellow-citizens, allow me to say that the Republican party is the blooded horse in the race.

No man ever was, no man ever will be the superior of the man he robs. No man ever was no man ever will be the superior of the man he steals from. I had rather be a slave than a slave master. I had rather be stolen from than be a thief. I had rather be wronged than a wrong-

doer. And allow me to say again to impress it forever upon every man that hears, you are always the inferior of the man you rob. Any race is inferior to the race it tramples upon and robs. There never was a man that could trample upon human rights and be superior to the man upon whom he trampled. And you may say another thing. No Government can stand founded upon the crushed rights of simply one human being, and any compromise we make with the South, if we make it at the expense of our friends, will carry in its bosom all the



seeds of its own death and destruction and can not stand. A Government founded upon anything except liberty and justice can not and ought not to stand. All the wrecks on either side of the river of time, all the wrecks of the great cities and all the nations that have passed away—all are a warning that no nation founded upon injustice can stand. From sand-enshrouded Egypt, from the marble wilderness of Athens, from every fallen, crumbling

stone of the once mighty Rome, comes a wail, as it were, the cry that no nation founded upon injustice can permanently stand. We must found this nation as it were anew. We must fight our fight. We must cling to our own party until there is freedom of speech over every part of the United States. We must cling to the old party until I can speak in every State in the South as every Southerner can speak in every State of the North. We must vote the grand old Republican ticket until there is the same liberty in every Southern State that there is in every Northern, Eastern and Western State.

WE MUST STAND BY THE PARTY

until every Southern man will admit that this country belongs to every citizen of the United States as much as to the man that is born in that country. I have a right to stand here to-day—because I live in Illinois? No. Because the State flag of Illinois waves over me? No. Why? Because the flag of the United States waves over me. I owe no allegiance to the State of Illinois except that which is subordinate to the allegiance to the great, grand Union—the United States of America. One more thing. I don't want any man that ever fought for this country to vote the Democratic ticket. You are swapping off respectability for disgrace. There are thousands of you great, splendid, grand men, that fought as grandly for the Union as anybody else, and now I beseech you, and now I beg of you, do not give your respectability to the enemies and haters of your country. Don't do it. Don't vote with the Democratic party of the North. Sometimes I think I hate the rebel sympathizer of the North worse than the rebel, and I will tell you why. The

rebel was carried into the rebellion by political opinion at home. His father, his mother, his sweetheart, his brother, everybody he knew, and there was a kind of wind, a kind of tornado, a kind of whirlwind that took him into the rebel army. He went into the rebel army along with his State. The Northern Democrat went against his own State; went against his own Government; and went against public opinion at home. The Northern Democrat rowed up stream against wind and tide. The Southern rebel went with the current; the Northern Democrat rowed against it from pure, simple cussedness. And I beg every man that ever fought for this Union, every man that ever bared his bosom to a storm of shot and shell, I beg him, I implore him, do not go with the Democratic party. And every young man within the within the sound of my voice, do not tie your bright and and shining prospects to that

OLD CORPSE OF DEMOCRACY.

You will get tired of dragging it around, yet won't you get tired of smelling it? Don't cast your first vote for the Democratic party that was stopping the army when beset. Don't cast your vote for that party which never rose right when the old flag was trailed in disaster upon the field of battle. Remember, my friends, that that party did every mean thing it could—every dishonest, every treasonable thing it could. Recollect that that party did all he could to divide the Nation, to destroy this country. Recollect that the Democratic party did that when your brothers, your fathers, your chivalric sons were fighting, bleeding, suffering, dying upon the battle fields of the South. Recollect that this Democratic party

was false to the Nation when your husbands, your fathers, your brothers and your chivalric sons were lying in the hospitals of pain, dreaming broken dreams of home, and seeing fever-pictures of the ones they loved. Recollect that the Democratic party was false to the Nation when your husbands your fathers your brothers and your chivalric sons were lying alone upon the field of battle at night, the life-blood oozing slowly from the mangled, pallid lips of death. Recollect that the Democratic party was false to this country when your husbands, your fathers, your brothers and your chivalric sons were in the prison-pens of the South, with no covering but the clouds, with no bed but the frozen earth, with no food except such as worms had refused, with no friends except insanity and death. Recollect it, and

SPURN THAT PARTY FOREVER.

I have sometimes wished that there were words of pure hatred out of which I might construct sentences like snakes—out of which I might construct sentences that had mouths fanged, that had forked tongues—out of which I might construct sentences that writhed and hissed, then I could give my opinion of the Northern allies of Southern rebels during the great struggle for the preservation of this Nation. [Cheers.]

Let me say one word more and I am done. [Cries of "Go on."] The youngest man here, the youngest child here, will never live long enough to see a Democrat President of the United States. [Cries of "Good" and "Never," and applause.] No man can carry that aggregation of rascality, that aggregation of treasonable practices, that aggregation of Southern sympathizers, that

aggregation of traitors, that aggregation of men that endeavored to destroy the country—no man can carry their reputation on his back and make a successful run for the Presidency of the United States. No man can carry Secession upon his shoulders. No man can carry Libby Prison, no man can carry Andersonville, no man can carry the history of the Democratic party, and get a majority of votes in the United States. [Cries of "Never," and applause.] For myself. I have no fear.

HAYES AND WHEELER

will be the next President and Vice-President of the United States of America. [Cheers.] Let me beg of you, let me implore you, let me beseech you, every man, come out on election day. Every man do your duty, and every man do his duty in regard to the State ticket of the great and glorious State of Illinois. We have a man running for Governor, a gentleman. We have a man running for Governor who will be an honor to the State when he is elected. Do not let us play the fool like the State of Indiana. Do not let us believe that there is so much connection between patriotism and any kind of eccentricity. Let us vote for the men we know. I want to see Shelby M. Cullom and Andrew Shuman the next Governor and Lieutenant-Governor of the State of Illinois. Stand by our ticket. Vote for every Republican on the ticket. This year we need men who vote with the party, and I tell you that a Republican this year, no matter what you have got against him, no matter whether you like him or do not like him, is better for the country—no matter how much you hate him—he is better for the country than any Democrat Nature can make,

or ever has made. We must in this supreme election, we must at this supreme moment, vote only for the men who are in favor of keeping this Government in the power, in the custody, in the control of the great, sublime Republican party.

Ladies and gentlemen, if I were insensible to the honor you have done me by this magnificent meeting, the most magnificent I ever saw on earth, a meeting such as only

THE MARVELOUS CITY OF PLUCK

could produce—if I were insensible to the honor it does me, I should be made of stone. I shall remember it with delight; I shall remember it with thankfulness all the days of my life, and I ask you in return—every Republican here—to remember all the days of your life every sacrifice made by this Nation for liberty, every sacrifice made by every patriotic man and woman. I do not ask you to remember any revenge, but I ask you never, never, to forget, as the world swings through the constellations, year after year—I want the memory, I want the patriotic memory of this country to sit by the grave of every Union soldier, and, while her eyes are filled with tears, to crown him again and again with the crown of everlasting honor.

I thank you, I thank you, ladies and gentlemen, a thousand times. Good night.





WHO IS TILDEN?

Extracts from Speech at Augusta, Me., Sept. 2. 1876.

“LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:—The Democratic party is a wolf which has been howling at the door of this Nation for nearly a score of years. The wolf wants office, and it will keep on wanting.”

“We are fighting to-day the same party that we fought in all the terrible years that followed 1860. We are fighting Democrats, and in the time to which I refer every Democrat with a musket was a rebel, and every rebel without a musket was a Democrat.”

“In the hour of their trial the loyal people of the United States wanted money. They wanted money to buy muskets, and cannon, and shot and shell to kill Democrats with. To get this money they issued promises to pay, and the belief that these promises would be kept was so strong that they got the money they wanted and they killed Democrats enough to put a stop to the war and save their country.”

“Naturally the Democrats don't like the promises to pay which did them so much harm, and they would repudiate them if they could, but cannot. Our debt must be paid, and the Republican party will stay in power until it is paid. In the meantime let all nations know

that every ear of corn, every head of golden wheat, all the gold and silver, all the cattle roaming over pastures, prairies and plain, all the coal put away millions of years



ago by that old miser, the sun, every child in his cradle, every honest man and woman in the United States, is a guarantee that the Republican party will keep faith with the men that trusted them when it most needed trust."

"Who is Samuel J. Tilden? Samuel J. Tilden is an attorney. He never gave birth to an elevated or noble sentiment in his life. He is a kind of legal spider, watching in a web of technicalities for victims. He is a compound of cunning and heartlessness of beak and claw and fang. He is one of the few men who can grab a railroad and hide all the deep cuts, tunnels, bridges and culverts in a single night. He is a corporation wrecker. He is a demurrer filed by the Confederate Congress. He waits on the shore of the sea of bankruptcy to clutch the drowning by the throat. He would not save his country

if he could. He swore he paid his income tax and he swore to a lie. He knew it. He was never married. Tammany was the only maiden he ever clasped to his withered and heartless breast. He courted men because women cannot vote, and he has adopted a rag baby that really belongs to a person whose name is Hendricks alias 'reform.' At present his principal business is explaining or trying to explain, how he came to adopt that child."

—:O:—





PLEA FOR HONEST MONEY.

Characteristic Speech at Malone, N. Y., Oct.
4, 1879.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:—We have had in our country a magnificent inflation. We have built within twenty-five years some 75,000 miles of railroad, and in order to build that we spent about \$5,000,000,000.

Well, there was work for everybody. We had everything growing and there was prosperity all over the land. Everybody worked for everybody—everybody wanted to employ somebody else. In the meantime the war came upon our hands, and in that we spent \$10,000,000,000. What for? To build up? No; to tear down and destroy. Every single solitary dollar that was spent was wasted by us. But as a matter of fact, we didn't spend the money, we only agreed to. We scattered all over the country certain notes which we agreed to pay, and we have not got them paid yet. In my judgment, it did not take as much patriotism to put down the Rebellion as it will to pay the debt. A man can be brave for a few minutes when he is right in the line of battle, and when he looks and sees that no one else runs. It is comparatively easy to do that, and be shot down at the post of glory. It is comparatively easy to die for a principle. But it is mighty hard to live for it.

It is hard work to get up at four o'clock in the morning and work until the sun goes down, and do that for a life.

I say we spent all of this money, and we had what was called prosperity, and while that was going on the young men left the farms, and said they didn't want to be farmers. They said:

"We won't be farmers; we will go to the city."

Every man that could get \$500 worth of goods on trust became a merchant. They wanted to be dentists, doctors, lawyers,—something that there was no work in. When they could not do that they would start an insurance association. Then they sent their agents all over the country to get your property insured, and every moment you would have the picture of a coffin thrust in your face to see if you wouldn't insure. And those agents would come and sit down by you and talk about your last struggle with that monster—death. They got a certain share of the premium, and they insured anybody. They insured consumption in its last hemorrhage, and the money flowed into the society. As soon as the fellows began to die the company closed its doors.

Then they had fire insurance companies. The agents of these also had a share of the premiums, and I tell you that for six, eight or ten years they would have insured and iceberg in perdition. Then the merchants filled all the cars and all the hotels and bars with runners and drummers. Every man that you met had three carpet-sacks filled with samples. And in the meantime we had the bankrupt law, so that every man who couldn't pay his debts might take the benefit of this law. Then it all went to the clerks, etc., of the courts. I never heard of

anyone getting more than 3 per cent. on any claim in my life.

THE CRASH.

All at once—in 1873—there came a crash, and the brother that had said at home and worked on the farm saw in the paper that his brother, who was president of a life insurance company, was a vagrant and a vagabond. He read, too, that the railroad had failed, and that its bonds were as worthless as the first autumn leaves that grew on this earth. Then he began to think that he was doing well himself; and the fact is the men who cultivate the soil are to-day the richest, on the average, of any class of men under our flag.

Then we got hard times. Everybody who had a mortgage as an adornment to his property has suffered. Now they say the way to get back—the way to have prosperous times again—is to again go into debt. Suppose I bought a farm for \$5,000, and gave my note for it; and then I bought a piano for Mary and gave my note, and sent James to school and gave my note, and they all run a year. What a magnificent time I could have for that year! Then when they came around and wanted me to pay the note, I would say, “I will give you little notes for the interest, and let them run for another year.” What a splendid time I could have for another year! Finally when they come and say they have got to have the money, what would you think if I were to say to them, “I never had a better time in my life than when I was giving those notes. All that is necessary for universal peace and happiness is to let me keep right on giving my notes.”

I say to them the reason of hard times is because they have lost confidence in me. They say the reason they have lost confidence is that I have not got the money.

“FIAT” MONEY.

Now, it is precisely the same way with an individual that it is with the Government. I say that he can't make something out of nothing. The United States' Government can't make money. It can make what it calls money. It has not the power to make it; it has the power to make you take it. In other words it has the power to make every creditor take it, and nobody else. If you



go to buy a bushel of wheat, and you have got “fiat” money, the man can say, “I will take \$1 in gold for that wheat, but I want \$5 if you pay in “fiat” money.” How are you going to prevent him? The money you have got is simply good because it promises to pay. Now it is proposed to have money that will not promise to pay. If nonsense can go beyond that, I cannot conceive what route or path it will take. Then if Congress says you

It must take it, Congress must fix the price of everything. It must fix the price of wheat; it must fix the price of making a speech in a lawsuit; it must fix the price of every article, or else it cannot make its money good.

GOVERNMENT TAXES.

But some gentlemen say that Congress has the power to make money, and I want to ask them one question; I want you to think about it. If this Government has the power to make money, why should it collect taxes from us? Why don't it make it and let us alone? If the Government can make a dollar or a thousand dollar bill just that quick (slapping his hands together), why should they make us labor day and night, and make us pay taxes to support them? If the Government can make money let them make it and let us alone. But instead of that this great Government comes up here into this country with a bayonet and compels you to to pay taxes. It is like the ocean trotting around to borrow a little salt water, or like the sun trying to get the loan of a candle from some poor devil that has worked weeks to make that candle.

So I say to them, if they can do it, let them do it. Very well, if the Government can make money, how much can it make? How will I get my share? How much is it going to issue? Some say, "Enough to produce prosperity." But how much, they can't tell.

Some say they are going to pay up the bonds and bring money in that way into circulation, and then business will be prosperous. But I say business will be prosperous when

THE COUNTRY IS PROSPEROUS.

But if you get too much paper and it goes down, who loses it? The man who has owned it and happens to have it in his possession—that is the man who loses it. You need not be afraid but what the smart people—the people on Wall street—will take care of themselves. They require their toll from every man that goes by their way; but the farmer—the laboring man that has worked and has been given some of that money—he loses his labor unless that money is worth as much as it was the day he received it, But they say there is not money enough. I say there is plenty—plenty; I wish I could get it. We don't lack money. The banks have got plenty of money; a certain portion of the people have money. We are lacking collaterals, that is what we are lacking. You can get all you want on call in New York at $1\frac{1}{2}$ and 2 per cent., and do you know why you don't go and get it? Because you haven't got the collaterals; and if we are going to pass a law upon this subject I would like to have Congress pass a law furnishing us collaterals. But it will not do; there is no foundation to it. When the money gets out it has all got to be paid.

GOOD MONEY.

Call it "fiat" money—call it what you please! the reason that a gold dollar is worth a dollar is, because you can buy the results of the same amount of labor that it took to dig that gold dollar and to mint it, including all the fellows that hunted and didn't find it!

If you take a piece of paper and say that it represents \$5 or \$10 it only represents it because there is a promise to pay that money—it is only good when you believe that

the man or Government that made the promise is good, and you can't go beyond it.

Suppose you could blot from your mind that there was no such thing as gold and silver—what is a dollar, just leaving gold and silver entirely out? You have got a “fiat” bill that says it is \$10, and is valuable because it never will be redeemed. Gold and silver is valuable of itself. When I take a \$10 gold piece and go to England, I have to sell it the same as I would a bushel of corn, and all that spread-eagle nonsense doesn't add a solitary farthing to its value. And when a sovereign comes here from England, we don't care anything about the beautiful picture of Queen Victoria or any other girl.

It is worth so much and no more. But they say it is the stamp of the Government that makes it valuable. Why not stamp them tens, thousands or millions, and let us all be millionaires? It won't do. We will never get prosperity in that way. Slowly, slowly, steadily and surely, our money has advanced, slowly, steadily and surely the world has had more confidence in the industry, the honesty and the integrity of the American people, and to that extent our money has advanced until it has finally clasped hands upon an equality with the precious metals. We are just inside of port. We came in tempest-tossed, every sail torn and rent, and every mast by the side; and these wreckers stand on the shore and say, “If you want prosperity, put out to sea once more.”

We don't want to—we want honest methods. No man lives in a country whose money is under par, that he does not feel a little under par himself. I never took a bill that was at 2 or 3 per cent. discount that I did not feel a

little that way, too. This great and splendid Republic, with the most intelligent and the best people in the world,—and I say the most honest,—I want its promise to be as good in every part of the world as the promise of any other nation. I want the greenback to be preserved; I want to have gold and silver behind it; I want it so that if I should go into the furthest isle of the Pacific and take out a greenback a savage would look at it and his eyes would glitter as if he looked at gold. Then you feel you are like somebody; like you had a great and splendid nation, and even that old flag would look better if every promise of the United States had been redeemed.

And you never know how much you feel like that until you go to a foreign country. When I was there a few days ago, I just happened to see that old flag; it looked to me as if the air had just blossomed out. I want to feel that man is capable of governing himself, and that a republican government is the very acme and hight of national honor.

—————:O:—————





LABOR, CAPITAL, ETC.

Speech in Boston Music Hall, October 21,
1878.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:—The lovers of the human race, the philanthropists, the dreamers of grand dreams, all predicted and all believed that when every man could govern himself, when every human being should be equal before the law, they believed, they prophesied that pauperism, crime and want would exist only in the history of the past.

They accounted for misery in their time by the rapacity of kings and the cruelty of priests. Here in the United States man at last is free; here man makes the laws and all have an equal voice. The rich cannot oppress the poor, the poor are in a majority; the laboring men, those who in some way work for their living, can elect every Congressman and every Judge; they can make and interpret the laws, and if labor is oppressed in the United States by capital, labor is simply itself to blame. The cry is now raised that capital, in some mysterious way, oppresses industry; that the capitalist is the enemy of the man who labors.

WHAT IS A CAPITALIST?

Every man who has good health is a capitalist; every

man who has good sense, every one who has had a good dinner and has enough left for supper, is to that extent a capitalist. Every man that has a good character, who has the credit to borrow a dollar or to buy a meal is a capitalist; and nine out of ten of the capitalists in the United States are simply successful workingmen. There is no conflict, and can be no conflict in the United States between capital and labor, and the men who endeavor to excite the envy of the unfortunate, the malice of the poor, such men are the enemies of law and order.

HOW WEALTH IS ACCUMULATED.

As a rule wealth is the result of industry, economy, attention to business; and, as a rule, poverty is the result of idleness, extravagance, and inattention to business, though to these rules there are thousands of exceptions.


The man who has wasted his time, who has thrown away his opportunities, is apt to envy the man who has not. For instance, here are six shoemakers working in one shop. One of them attends to his business; you can hear the music of his hammer late and early; he is in love, it may be, with some girl on the next street; he has made up his mind to be a man; to succeed, to make somebody else happy, to have a home; and while he is working, in his imagination, he can see his own fireside with the light falling upon the faces of wife and child.

The other five gentlemen work as little as they can, spend Sunday in dissipation, have the headache Monday, and, as a result, never advance.

The industrious one, the one in love, gains the con-

fidence of his employer, and in a little while he cuts out work for these other fellows. The first thing you know he has a shop of his own, the next a store, because the man of reputation, the man of character, the man of integrity, can buy all he wishes in the United States upon credit.

The next thing you know he is married, and he has built him a house he is happy, and his dream has been realized. After a while the same five shoemakers, having pursued the old course, stand on the corner when he rides by. He



has got a carriage; his wife sits by his side, her face covered with smiles, and they have got two children, their faces beaming with joy, and the blue ribbons fluttering in the wind. And thereupon these five shoemakers adjourn to some neighboring saloon and pass a resolution that there is an irrepressible conflict between capital and labor.

NO OPPRESSION OF LABOR IN THE UNITED STATES.

There is, in fact, no such conflict, and the laboring


men of the United States have the power to protect themselves. In the ballot-box, the vote of Lazarus is on an equality with the vote of Dives; the vote of the wandering pauper is the same as that of the millionaire. In a land where the poor, where the laboring men have the right and have the power to make the laws, and do in fact make the laws, certainly there should be no complaint. In our country the people hold the power, and if any corporation in any State is devouring the substance of the people, every State has retained the power of imminent power under which it can confiscate the property and franchise of any corporation by simply paying to that corporation what such property is worth.

And yet thousands of people are talking as though there existed a widespread conspiracy against industry, against honest toil, and thousands and thousands of speeches have been made and numberless articles have been written to fill the breasts of the unfortunate with hatred.

THE PERIOD OF INFLATION.

We have passed through a period of wonderful and unprecedented inflation. For years we enjoyed the luxury of going into debt; we enjoyed the felicity of living upon a credit. We have in the United States about 80,000 miles of railway, more than enough to make a treble track around the globe. Most of these miles were built in a period of twenty-five years and at a cost of at least five thousand millions of dollars. Think of the ore that had to be dug, of the iron that was melted; think of the thousands employed in cutting bridge timbers and ties, and giving to the wintry air the music of the axe; think

of the thousands and thousands employed in making cars, in making locomotives, those horses of progress with nerves of steel and breath of steam; think of the thousands and thousands of workers in brass, steel and iron; think of numberless industries that thrived in the



onstruction of 80,000 miles of rail way; of the streams bridged, of the mountains tunneled, of the plains crossed, and think of the towns and cities that sprung up, as if by magic, along these highways of iron. During the same time we had a war in which we expended thousands of millions of dollars, not to create, not to construct, but to destroy.

All this money was spent in the work of demolition, and every shot, and every shell, and every musket, and every cannon was used simply to destroy. All the time of every soldier was simply lost. An amount of property inconceivable was destroyed, and some of the best and bravest were sacrificed. During these years the productive power of the North was strained to the utmost; every wheel was in motion; there was employment for every kind and description of labor, for every mechanic there was a constantly rising market, speculation was rife, it seemed almost impossible to lose.

As a consequence, the men who had been toiling upon the farms became tired; it was too slow a way to get rich. They heard of their neighbor, of their brother who had gone to the city and suddenly became a millionaire. They became tired with the slow methods of agriculture. The young men of intelligence, of vim, of nerve, became disgusted with the farms.

On every hand fortunes were being made, a wave of




LINCOLN'S CABIN HOME.

wealth swept over the United States, huts became houses, houses became palaces, tatters became garments, and rags became robes; walls were covered with pictures, floors with carpets, and for the first time in the history of the world the poor tasted of the luxuries of wealth. We began to wonder how our fathers endured life. Every kind of business was pressed to the very skyline.

OLD LIFE-INSURANCE ASSOCIATIONS

had been successful, new ones sprang up on every hand. The agents filled every town. These agents were given a portion of the premium. You could hardly go out of



HANCOCK'S PALACE HOME.

the house without being told of the uncertainty of life and the certainty of death. You were shown pictures of life-insurance agents emptying vast bags of gold at the feet of the disconsolate widow. You saw your own fatherless children in imagination wiping away the tears of grief, and smiling with joy.

These agents insured everybody and everything. They would have insured a hospital, or consumption in its last hemorrhage. Fire-insurance was managed in precisely the same way. The agents received a part of the premium, and they insured anything and everything, no matter what its danger might be. They would have insured powder in perdition or icebergs under the torrid zone, with the same alacrity.

And then there were accident companies, and you could not go to the station to buy your ticket without being shown a picture of disaster. You would see there four horses running away with a stage, old ladies and children being thrown out; you would see a steamer blown up on the Mississippi, legs one way and arms the other, heads one side and hats the other; locomotives going through bridges, good Samaritans carrying off the wounded on stretchers.

MERCHANTS AND DRUMMERS.

The merchants, too, were not satisfied to do business in the old way. It was too slow; they could not wait for customers. They filled the country with drummers, and these drummers convinced all the country merchants that they needed about twice as many goods as they could possibly sell, and they took their notes on sixty and ninety days, and renewed them whenever desired, provided the parties renewing the notes would take more goods.

And these country merchants pressed the goods upon their customers in the same manner. Everybody was selling, everybody was buying, and nearly all was done upon a credit. No one believed the day of settlements ever would or ever could come. Towns must continue to grow; and, in the imagination of speculators, there were hundreds of cities numbering their millions of inhabitants. Land, miles and miles from the city, was laid out in blocks and squares, and parks,—land that will not be occupied for residences probably for hundreds of years to come,—and these lots were sold, not by the acre, but by so much per foot. They were sold on credit, with a

partial payment down and the balance secured by a mortgage. These values, of course, existed simply in the imagination, and a deed of trust upon a cloud or a mortgage upon a last year's fog would have been just as valuable.

Everybody advertised, and those who were not selling goods or real estate were in the medicine line, and every rock beneath our flag was covered with advice to the unfortunate; and I have often thought that if some sincere Christian had made a pilgrimage to Sinai, and had climbed its venerable crags and in a moment of devotion dropped on his knees and raised his eyes toward heaven, the first thing that would have met his astonished gaze would in all probability have been "St. 1860 X Plantation Bitters."

THE CRASH.

Suddenly there came a crash. Jay Cooke failed and I have heard thousands of men account for the subsequent hard times from the fact that Mr. Cooke did fail. As well might you account for small-pox by saying that that the first pustule was the cause of the disease. The failure of Jay Cooke & Co. was simply a symptom of the disease universal. No language can describe the agonies that have been endured since 1873. No language can tell the sufferings of the men that have wandered over the dreary and desolate desert of bankruptcy. Thousands and thousands supposed they had enough, enough for their declining years, enough for wife and children, and suddenly found themselves paupers and vagrants.

During all these years the Bankruptcy Law was in

force, and whoever failed to keep his promise had simply to take the benefit of this law. As a consequence there could be no real, solid foundation for business.

PROPERTY COMMENCED TO DECLINE,

that is to say, it began to be rated at its real instead of its speculative value. Land is worth what it will produce and no more. It may have a speculative value, and, if the prophecy is fulfilled, the man who buys it may become rich, and if the prophecy is not fulfilled, then the land is simply worth what it will produce. Lots worth from \$5,000 to \$10,000 apiece suddenly vanished into farms worth \$25 per acre. These lots resumed; the farms that before that time had been considered worth \$100, that are now worth \$20 or \$30, have simply resumed. Magnificent residences, supposed to be worth \$100,000, that can now be purchased for \$25,000, they have simply resumed.

The property in the United States has not fallen in value, but its real value has been ascertained. The land will produce as much as it ever would, and is as valuable to-day as it ever was; and every improvement, every invention that adds to the productiveness of the soil or to the facilities for getting that product to market, adds to the wealth of the Nation.

As a matter of fact, the property kept pace with what we are pleased to call our money. As the money depreciated, property appreciated; as the money appreciated, property depreciated. The moment property began to fall speculation ceased. There is but little speculation on a falling market. The stocks and bonds, based simply upon ideas, become worthless, the collaterals become, so to speak, dust and ashes.

At the close of the war, when the Government ceased



to be such a vast purchaser and consumer, many of the factories had to stop. When the crash came the men stopped digging ore, they stopped felling the forest, the fires died out of the furnaces, the men who stood in the glare of the forge were in the gloom of despondency. There was no employment for them. The employer could not sell his product, business stood still, and then came what we call the hard times. Our wealth was a delusion and illusion, and we simply came back to reality. Too many men were doing nothing, too many men were traders, brokers. speculators. There were not

enough producers of the things needed, there were too many producers of the things no one wished.

FIAT MONEY.

Many remedies have been proposed and chief among those is the remedy of fiat money. Probably no subject in the world is less generally understood than that of money. So many false definitions have been given, so many strange, conflicting theories have been advanced, that it is not at all surprising that men have come to imagine that money is something that can be created by law. The definitions given by the hard money men have been used as arguments by those who believe in the power of Congress to create wealth. We are told that gold is an instrumentality or a device to facilitate exchanges. We are also told that gold is a measure of value.

Let us examine these definitions. "Gold or money is an instrumentality or device to facilitate exchanges." That sounds well, but I do not believe it is correct. Gold and silver are commodities. They are the products of labor. They are not instrumentalities or devices to facilitate exchanges; they are the things exchanged for something else, and other things are exchanged for them. The only device about them is the coining of these metals, so that you can truthfully say, that coining of gold and silver is a device to facilitate exchanges and exchanges are facilitated in this way; whenever the Government or any Government certifies that in a certain piece of gold and silver there are a certain number of grains of a certain fineness, then he who gives it knows that he is not giving too much, and he who receives, that

he is receiving enough; so that I will change the definition to this:

The coining of the precious metals is a device to facilitate exchanges; but the precious metals are property; they are merchandise, they are commodities, and whenever one commodity is exchanged for another, it is barter; and gold and silver are the last refinement of barter.

THE SECOND DEFINITION

is: "Gold and silver are the measures of value." We are told by those who believe in fiat money that gold is a measure of value just the same as a half-bushel or a yardstick.

I deny that gold is a measure of value. It is a measure of value precisely as a half-bushel is, or a yardstick is, but no other way. The yardstick is not a measure of value, it is simply a measure of quantity. It measures cloth worth \$50 a yard precisely as it does calico worth four cents; it measures \$100 lace exactly as it does one cent tape, and in no other way.

It is therefore not a measure of value, and consequently this yardstick can be made of silver, or gold, or wood. It measures simply quantities. The same with the half-bushel. The half-bushel measures wheat precisely the same, whether that wheat is worth \$3 or \$1. It simply measures quantity, not quality, not value. The yardstick, the half-bushel and the coining of money are all devices to facilitate exchanges. The yardstick assures the man who buys that he has received enough, and in that way it facilitates exchanges.

The coining of money facilitates exchange, for the

reason that if it were not coined, each man who did business would have to carry a pair of scales and be a chemist. If gold and silver are not the measures of value, what is? I answer, intellectual labor.

Gold gets its value from labor. Of course, I cannot account for the fact that mankind have a certain fancy for gold or for diamonds, neither can I account for the fact that we like certain things better than others to eat. These are simply facts in nature, and they are facts, whether they can be explained or not, which cannot be disregarded.

The dollar in gold represents on the average the labor that it took to dig and mint it, together with all the time of the men who looked for it without finding it. The dollar in gold, on the average, will buy the product of the amount of labor in any other direction. Nothing has ever been money, from the most barbarous to the most civilized, unless it was a product of nature and a something to which the people among whom it passed as money attached a certain value, a value not dependent upon legislation in any degree. Nothing has ever been considered money that man could produce. A bank-bill is not money, neither is a check nor a draft. These are all devices simply to facilitate business, but in and of themselves they have no value.

THE GOVERNMENT A PAUPER.

We are told, however, that the Government can create money. This I deny. The Government produces nothing, it raises no wheat, no corn, it digs no gold, no silver. It is not a producer, it is a consumer. The Government is a perpetual pauper that has to be supported by the peo-

ple. It is constantly passing the contribution plate; the man who passes it I admit has a musket with him, but at the same time the Government is supported by these contributions.

You cannot live upon the promises of your own Government any more than you can live upon the notes of your hired man, any more than you could live upon bonds issued by occupants of the county poor-house. You cannot live upon what you have to support. The Government cannot by law create wealth. And right here I wish to ask one question, and I would like to have it answered some time. If the Government can make money, if it can create money, if by putting its sovereignty upon a piece of paper it can create absolute money, why should the Government collect taxes? We have in every district assessors and collectors; we have at every port custom-houses, and we are collecting taxes day and night for the support of this Government. We are making those who are hardly able to pay, contribute.

Now, if the Government can make money itself, why should it collect taxes from the poor? Here is a man



cultivating a farm—he is working among the stones and roots and digging; why should the Government go to that man and make him pay \$20 or \$30 or \$40 taxes

when the Government, according to the theory of these gentlemen, could make a \$1,000 note quicker than a man could wink? Why impose on industry in that manner? Why should the sun borrow a candle? And if the Government can create money, how much should it create? And if it should create it, who will get it?

MONEY HAS A GREAT LIKING FOR MONEY.

A single dollar in the pocket of a poor man is lonesome; it is never satisfied until it has found its companions. Money gravitates towards money, and issue as much as you may, as much as you will, the time will come when that money will be in the hands of the industrious, in the hands of the economical, in the hands of the shrewd, in the hands of the cunning; in other words, in the hands of capitalists.

Another thing: If the Government can create money simply by stamping what it is pleased to call its sovereignty upon a piece of paper, why should you waste that sovereignty upon a one dollar bill; why not create a ten dollar bill, a hundred a thousand, a million? Why should we stop?

The other day I had a conversation with one of the principal gentlemen on that side and I told him, "Whenever you can successfully palm off on a man a bill of fare for a dinner, I shall believe your doctrine; and when I can satisfy the pangs of hunger by a cook-book, I shall join your party. Only that is money which stands for labor."

Only that is money which will buy in all other directions the result of the same labor expended in its production. As a matter of fact, there is money enough in

the country to transact the business of the country. As a matter of fact there is more money than is needed to transact the business. Never before in the history of our country was money so cheap, that is to say, was interest so low, never. There is plenty of money, and we could borrow all, all we wish, had we the collaterals. We could borrow all we wished if there was some business in which we could embark that promised a sure and reasonable return. We have plenty of money, not enough business. The reason we have not enough is, we have not enough confidence, and the reason we have not enough confidence is that the market is slowly falling, and the reason it is slowly falling is that it has not yet quite resumed, that we have not yet quite touched the absolute bed-rock of valuation. Another reason is because those that left the cultivation of the soil have not all yet returned, and they are living, some upon their wits, some upon their relatives, some upon charity, some upon crime.

INFLATION AND CONTRACTION.

The next question is: Suppose the Government should issue a thousand millions of fiat money, how would it regulate the value thereof? Every creditor could be forced to take it, but nobody else. If a man is in debt \$1 for a bushel of wheat, he could compel the creditor to take the fiat money, but if he wished to buy the wheat the owner could say:

“I will take \$1 in gold or \$50 in fiat money, or I will not sell it for that money at any price.”

What will congress do then? In order to make this fiat money good it will have to fix the price of every con-

ceivable commodity, the price of painting a picture, of



trying a law suit, of chiseling a statue, the price of a day's work, in short, the price of every conceivable thing. It will be necessary to provide by law that the prices fixed shall be received and that no man shall be allowed to give more for anything than the price fixed by Congress.

Now I do not believe that any Congress has sufficient wisdom to tell beforehand what will be the relative value of all the products of labor. When the volume of cur-

rency is inflated it is at the expense of the creditors class. When it is contracted it is at the expense of the debtor class. In other words, inflation means going into debt, contraction means the payment of the debt.

LET THE MONEY FADE OUT.

Another remedy has been suggested by the same persons who advocate fiat money. With a consistency perfectly charming, they say it would have been much better had we allowed the treasury notes to fade out. Why allow fiat money to fade out when a simple act of Congress can make it as good as gold? When greenbacks fade out the loss falls upon the chance holder; upon the poor, the industrious, and the unfortunate. The rich, the cunning, the well-informed, manage to get rid of what they happen to hold. When, however, the bills are redeemed they are sold by the wealth and property of the whole country.

To allow them to fade out is universal robbery, to pay them is universal justice. The greenback would not be allowed to fade away in the pocket of the soldier, or in the hands of his widow and children. It is said that the continental money faded away, and it was and is a disgrace to our forefathers. When the greenback fades away, there will fade with it honor from the American heart, brain from the American head, and our flag from the air of heaven.

BONDHOLDERS.

A great cry has been raised against the holders of bonds. They have been denounced by every epithet that malignity can coin. During the war our bonds were offered for sale, and they brought all that they then ap-

peared to be worth. They had to be sold, or the rebellion was a success. To the bond we are indebted as much as to the greenback. The fact is, however, we are indebted to neither; we are indebted to the soldiers. But every man who took a greenback at less than gold committed the same crime, and no other, as he who bought the bonds at less than par in gold.

These bonds have changed hands a thousand times. They have been bought at prices far above par, they have been laid away by loving husbands for wives, by toiling fathers for children, and the man who seeks to repudiate them now, or to pay them in fiat rags, is unspeakably cruel and dishonest.

If the Government has made a bad bargain it must live up to it. If it has made a foolish promise, the only way is to fulfill it. A dishonest Government can exist only among dishonest people. When our money is below par, we feel below par. We cannot bring prosperity simply by adding to the volume of a worthless currency. If the prosperity of a country depends upon the volume of its currency, and if anything is money that people can be made to think is money, then the successful counterfeiter is a public benefactor. The counterfeiter increases the volume of currency, he stimulates business, and the money issued by him will not be hoarded and taken from the channels of business.

THE WAY OUT.

During the war, during the inflation—that is to say, during the years that we were going into debt,—fortunes were made so easily that the people left the farms, crowded to the towns and cities. Thousands became

speculators, traders and merchants; thousands embarked in every possible and conceivable scheme. They produced nothing, they simply preyed upon labor, and dealt with imaginary values. These men must go back; they must become producers, and every producer is a paying consumer. Thousands and thousands of them are unable to get back. To a man who begs of you a breakfast, you cannot say:

“Why don’t you get a farm?”

You might as well say:

“Why don’t you start a line of steamers?”

To him both are impossibilities. They must be helped. We shall all remember that society must support all of its members, all of its robbers, thieves and paupers. Every vagabond and vagrant has to be fed and clothed, and society must support in some way all of its members. It can support them in jails, in asylums, in hospitals, in penitentiaries, but it is a very costly way. We have to employ judges to try them, juries to sit upon their cases, sheriffs, marshals and constables to arrest them, policemen to watch them, and it may be at last a standing army to put them down. It would be far cheaper, probably, to support them at a first-class hotel. We must either support them or help them support themselves. They let us go on the one hand simply to take us by the other, and we can take care of them as paupers and criminals, or by wise statesmanship help them to be honest and useful men. Of all the criminals transported by England to Australia and Tasmania, the records show that a very large per cent., something over 90, became useful and decent people. In Australia they found homes; hope again spread its wings in their breasts.

They had different ambitions; they were removed from vile and vicious associations. They had new surroundings, and, as a rule, man does not improve without a corresponding in his physical condition. One biscuit with plenty of butter is worth all the tracts ever issued.

THE CHARITY OF EXTRAVAGANCE.

Whenever the laboring men are out of employment they begin to hate the rich, They feel that the dwellers



in palaces, the riders in carriages, the wearers of broadcloth, silk and velvet, have in some way been robbing them. As a matter of fact, the palace builders are the friends of labor. The best form of charity is extravagance. When you give a man money, when you toss him a dollar; although you get nothing, the man loses his mandood. To help others help themselves is the

only real charity. There is no use boosting a man who is not climbing. Whenever I see a splendid home—a palace—a magnificent block—I think of the thousands who were fed.—of the women and children clothed, of the firesides made happy. A rich man living up to his privileges, having the best house, the best furniture, the best horses, the finest grounds, the most beautiful flowers, the best clothes, the best food, the best pictures, and all the books that he can afford, is a perpetual blessing. The prodigality of the rich is the providence of the poor.

The extravagance of wealth makes it possible for the poor to save. The rich man who lives according to his means, who is extravagant in the best and highest sense, is not the enemy of labor.

The miser who lives in the hovel, wears rags, and hoardes his gold is a perpetual curse, He is like one who dams a river at its source.

The moment hard times come, the cry of economy is raised. The press, the platform, and the pulpit unite in recommending economy to the rich. In consequence of this cry the man of wealth discharges servants, sells his horses, allows his carriage to become a hen roost, and, after taking employment from as many as he can, congratulates himself that he has done his part toward restoring prosperity to the country.

In that country where the poor are extravagant and the rich economical will be found pauperism and crime, but where the poor are economical and the rich are extravagant, that country is filled with prosperity.

LABOR-SAVING MACHINERY.

Every man ought to be willing to pay for what he

gets. He ought to desire to give full value received. The man who wants \$2 worth for \$1 is not an honest man. The man who wants others to work to such an extent that their lives are burdens is utterly heartless. The toil of the world should continually decrease. Of what use are your inventions if no burden is lifted from industry? If no additional comforts find their way to the home of labor?

Why should labor fill the world with wealth and live in want?

Every labor-saving machine should help the whole world. Every one should tend to shorten the hours of labor.

Reasonable labor is a source of joy. To work for wife and child, to toil for those you love, is happiness, provided you can make them happy. But to work like a slave, to see your wife and children in rags, to sit at a table where food is coarse and scarce, to rise at four in the morning, to work all day and throw your tired bones upon a miserable bed at night, to live without leisure, without rest, without making those you love comfortable and happy,—this is not living, it is dying, a slow, lingering crucifixion.

The hours of labor should be shortened. With the vast and wonderful improvements of the nineteenth century there should not be only the necessities of life for those who toil, but comforts and luxuries as well.

What is a reasonable price for labor? I answer: Such a price as will enable the man to live; to have the comforts of life; to lay by something for his declining years, so that he can have his own home, his own fireside,—so that he can preserve the feelings of a man.

I sympathize with every honest effort made by the children of labor to improve their condition. That is a poorly governed in which those who do the most have the least. There is something wrong when men are obliged to beg for leave to toil. We are not yet a civilized people. When we are, pauperism and crime will vanish from our land.

THE POOR HAVE A CHANCE.

There is one thing, however, of which I am glad and



proud, and that is, that society is not, in our country, petrified; that the poor are not always poor. The children of the poor of this generation may and probably will be the rich of the next. The sons of the rich of this generation may be the poor of the next; so that, after all, the rich fear and the poor hope.

It is the glory of the United States that the poor man can take his boy upon his knee and say:

“My son, all the avenues of distinction are open to you. You can rise. There is no station no position, to which you may not aspire. The poverty of your father will not be a mill-stone about your neck. The public schools are open to you. For you there is education, honor, fame and prosperity.”

These thoughts render holy every drop of sweat that rolls down the face of honest toil.

TRAMPS.

I sympathize with the wanderers, with the vagrants out of employment, with the sad and weary men who are seeking for work. When I see one of these men, poor and friendless—no matter how bad he is, I think that somebody loved him once—that he was once held in the arms of a mother—that he slept beneath her loving eyes and wakened in the light of her smile. I see him in the cradle, listening to lullabies, sung soft and low, and his little face is dimpled as though touched by the rosy fingers of joy. And then I think of the strange and winding paths—the weary roads he has traveled from that mother's arms to vagrancy and want.

There should be labor and food for all. We invent. We take advantage of the forces of nature. We enslave the wind and waves. We put shackles upon the unseen powers. These slaves should release from bondage all the sons of men.

CONCLUSION.

Now, I have said nothing to-night about the politics

of your State. It is nothing to me. The people of Massachussetts have ability enough to attend to their own affairs, and any one of the gentlemen running, no doubt, if he is elected Governor, has plenty of genius to attend to the pardoning of criminals in this State and the



other routine duties of Governor. I have nothing to say about that; but I implore you do not imagine wealth can be created by law; I implore you do not preach the heresy that you can pay one promise by making another that you take your oath never to fulfill. Do not, I implore

you, teach the people that the rich have conspired to trample them in the dust.

Since 1873 thousands of millions of articles have been made that could not be sold, and I may say that a majority of the men who have been employed are bankrupts to-day. Let us be honest, let us teach others to be honest, and let us tell these men not to envy the man who has been successful. That is not right; there is no sense in that. Let each one rely upon himself and help others all he can, and let all understand that we are entering upon an era of prosperity such as America never knew before.

We are a great people; we are a free people; we make our own laws; we have the power in our own hands; we can protect ourselves, and I beg the laboring men to see that the laws are all enforced. We want honest money, so that a man who gets a little laid by for wife and children when he is dead, that it will be a consolation to him, so that he will know it will stay good after he is dead; that it will in some degree take his place and buy food and clothing, so that he will not be compelled to close his eyes on fiat money.

If it is ever issued, it will never be redeemed. If it is ever issued it will bring about inflation, that will bring about universal repudiation. It will end in National dishonor. If there is any State in the Union that will help save our country from the crime of repudiation, it is the glorious old Commonwealth of Massachusetts.



ORATION AT A CHILD'S GRAVE.

In a remote corner of the Congressional Cemetery, at Washington, a small group of people, with uncovered heads, were ranged around a newly opened grave. They included Detective and Mrs. George O. Miller and family and friends, who had gathered to witness the burial of the former's bright little son Harry, a victim of diphtheria. As the casket rested upon the trestles there was a painful pause, broken only by the mother's sobs, until the undertaker advanced toward a stout florid-complexioned gentleman in the party and whispered to him, the words being inaudible to the lookers-on.

This gentleman was Col. Robert G. Ingersoll; a friend of the Millers, who had attended the funeral at their request. He shook his head when the undertaker first addressed him, and then said suddenly; "Does Mrs. Miller desire it?"

The undertaker gave an affirmative nod. Mr. Miller looked appealingly toward the distinguished orator, and then Col. Ingersoll advanced to the side of the grave, made a motion denoting a desire for silence, and, in a voice of exquisite cadence, delivered one of his characteristic eulogies of the dead. He spoke as follows :

MY FRIENDS :—I know how vain it is to gild a grief

with words, and yet I wish to take from every grave its fear. Here in this world, where life and death are equal kings, all should be brave enough to meet what all have met. The future has been filled with fear, stained and polluted by the heartless past. From the wondrous tree of life the buds and blossoms fall with ripened fruit, and in the common bed of earth patriarchs and babes sleep side by side. Why should we fear that which will come to all that is? We cannot tell. We do not know which is the greatest blessing—life or death. We cannot say that death is not good. We do not know whether the grave is the end of this life or the door to another, or



whether the night here is not somewhere else a dawn. Neither can we tell which is the more fortunate, the child

dying in its mother's arms before its lips have learned to form a word, or he who journeys all the length of life's uneven road, painfully taking the last slow steps with staff and crutch. Every cradle asks us, "Whence!" and every coffin, "Whither?" The poor barbarian weeping above his dead can answer the question as intelligently and satisfactorily as the robed priest of the most authentic creed. The tearful ignorance of the one is just as consoling as the learned and unmeaning words of the other. No man standing where the horizon of a life has touched a grave has any right to prophesy a future filled with pain and tears. It may be that death gives all there is of worth to life. If those who press and strain against our hearts could never die, perhaps that love would wither from the earth. May be a common faith treads from out the paths between our hearts the weeds of selfishness, and I should rather live and love where death is king than have eternal life where love is not. Another life is naught unless we know and love again the ones who love us here. They who stand with breaking hearts around this little grave need have no fear. The largest and the nobler faith in all that is, and is to be, tell us that death, even at its worst, is only perfect rest. We know that through the common wants of life, the needs and duties of each hour, their grief will lessen day by day until at last these graves will be to them a place of rest and peace, almost of joy. There is for them this consolation: The dead do not suffer. If they live again their lives will surely be as good as ours. We have no fear; we are all children of the same mother and the same fate awaits us all. We, too, have our religion, and it is this: "Help for the living, hope for the dead."

At the conclusion of the eloquent oration the little coffin was deposited in its last resting place covered with flowers.





PLAIN FACTS, ETC.

Delivered at Lewistou, Me., Aug. 21. 1876.

An immense mass-meeting of Republicans was held in Lewiston, Me., August 21, 1876, when speeches were made by Gov. Connor and Col. Robert G. Ingersoll, of Illinois. There was great curiosity to hear the latter gentleman, and his appearance was greeted with loud applause. It is safe to say no one was disappointed. He kept the audience in a perpetual roar of laughter for nearly two hours.

THE REPUBLICAN PARTY AND THE SLAVE.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN,—I belong to the Republican party, and I am glad of it, and I will give you a few reasons why I am glad of it. The Republican party is the conscience of the nineteenth century. What was the condition of the country when the Republican party came into power? I know there are those with envenomed tongue who denounce this party; men who, if they had their own way, would not have allowed us to have a country to-day. The Democratic party made it the duty of every citizen to hunt fugitive slaves seeking liberty. Such a law would disgrace the statute books of hell. (Laughter.) No man ever voted for such a law

who was not a rascal. I intend to tell the truth if I am strong enough, and I tell you I have an excellent constitution. (Laughter.)

This crime crept up into the Supreme Court. That Court was a farce. I know all about it. In 1861, if a negro had planted corn and the crop was ready for harvest, and a Democrat had come along to steal it, the Supreme Court would have decided with their spectacles pushed back on their bald pates, that the corn belonged to the Democrat. (Loud applause.) This was the spirit of the good old party of reform. (Loud applause.) Imagine the condition we were in when the Republicans came into power. Justice and mercy were vagrants. At the North the Democrats were willing to give anything for an office. The Southern States took up arms—took up arms for what? Why, for the right to steal from four millions of people of different color. I believe I am superior to the black man—and so superior that I can get my living without robbing him. The Democratic party commenced the war against the Union. The question was, Are you for or against the Union? The Republican party offered all that it could—it almost got into the dirt—but the South rushed to war. The great Republican party and every Union-loving Democrat in the North struck hands to fight for the Union. Are you sorry the Republican party won in 1860? Are you sorry the great Lincoln was elected President? He was almost the only man who, having absolute power, never abused it except on the side of mercy.

BEWARE OF BACHELORS.

Then there's Buchanan; an old bachelor, and, for God's sake, never trust another. I wouldn't trust a man

who don't love a wife better than politics. (Great laughter.) Buchanan said, "I can't do anything." He fell back on, State Rights. Now, I claim nobody ever urged that doctrine who didn't want to steal something from somebody. It was called up when the South wanted to secede. Buckle up your coat when they talk State Rights—your pocket-book is in danger. They believe the United States is a simple partnership, and that when any member of the firm wants to set up business on his own account he may go out. Now, what has the Democratic party been doing all these years? The Republican party has its book open. The Democratic party says: "For God's sake, let our pedigree alone." I say let's examine the pedigree. The Democratic party was opposed to the war; that ought to damn them eternally. I would be willing to let them end a little short, but politically eternally. (Laughter.) The Democratic party opposed the means to put the war down; they swore the debt never ought to be paid. They tried to impair the National credit. The Democratic party said: "Don't buy a bond; the South will succeed." If the Democratic party had its way, the soldiers in the field would not have been paid. They ought [politically] to be damned for that. How many Democrats were delighted every time the Union army was defeated! That's a fact. I don't tell it as news, but simply to refresh your memories.

WHAT MORE?

The Democratic party tried to get up a fire in the rear of Canada. Jake Thompson had \$700,000 from the Confederacy to operate in Canada in conjunction

with Northern Democrats. The Knights of the Golden Circle in Indiana and Illinois received money from Jake Thompson. He hired men to fire New York and Cincinnati. He furnished pistols to those men in boxes marked "Sunday-school books." I have right here a copy of Jake Thompson's letter in which he speaks of the danger of his letters falling into loyal hands; for, says he, they will implicate leading men in the North. What kind of leading men? Northern Democrats—friends of honesty and reform, gentlemen. [Laughter and tremendous cheering.]

AN EXTRACT FROM DEMOCRATIC PEDIGREE.

I was at Peoria, Ill., when the Democrats held their convention "Brothers," they said, "let us put down that tyrant, Lincoln." They were for peace, they said, and all the time they had Jake Thompson's pistols in their pockets. That was the first meeting held in the interest of an uprising to aid the South. But Vallandigham told them, "Well elect McClellan, and that'll accomplish by ballot what is proposed to do by force." Jake Thompson laments the failure of his attempt to burn New York with Greek fire. That's what the Democrats were doing in 1864. Recollect when I speak of the Democratic party I mean the men who did these things. I am sorry to see men good and true, and loyal, who are with the Democrats still, and who are trying to make them respectable. My voice has no word against those men, do whatever they do, who faced shot and shell for the Union. I do not stigmatize them. I do not allude to the true and loyal Democrats, but to those Democrats who are Democrats from mere cussedness. How came it to this? Is a man to be ashamed

for having fought the Democratic party with shot and shell? Will the time ever come when these scars worn by Governor Connor shall be a disgrace to him? Shall the time come when we shall not mention the struggles of our boys and defend their scars? It never can come! But I say if the Democratic party gets the power, the Union soldier will have to hide his scars. If Samuel J. Tilden is elected President, he will be the tool and instrument of the Southern Democracy. Did the Southern Democracy ever allow the Northern Democracy to manage? They never did and they never will. After the war was over the Republicans told the negro he was free, and he must be a citizen and have the ballot. The Democratic party voted against all these measures. Mr. Hendricks spoke in the United States Senate, and said there was no power in the people to change the constitution and make the slave free. He to-day believes these persons were unlawfully deprived of their property, and he will vote to pay them for their property.


RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE HARD TIMES.

It is some trouble to get up a Republican. You've got to build school-houses. If you want to make Democrats, tear them down. If you want to make a Democrat, appeal to prejudices or appeal to hard times. A Democrat in Illinois thinks the chinch-bug comes of the Republican administration. Who made the times hard? Who made it necessary for the United States to borrow money? The Democratic party, North and South. And now they say we ought to have whipped for less. Hard times! You will see what hard times mean if you get the Democratic party into power. We've got down to hard-pan.

And we are already in the light of the dawn of a revived business. Why? Because the Republican party is bent on seeing a gold dollar and in resuming specie payment at the appointed time. The Republican party, I say, will pay the debt, and protect all men. The Democratic party can find no flaw in the

RECORD OF MR. HAYES.

He will carry out the doctrines of the Republican party. If Tilden is elected, he will be controlled by the Democratic party. Which party will you trust? I tell you gentlemen, you must stand by the Republican party. What was Mr. Tilden doing when Mr. Hayes was fighting for his country? Mr. Tilden was resolving the war a failure.



What is Mr. Tilden to-day? An attorney-at-law; an old bachelor, There is no more flesh and blood on him

than an old umbrella. He is one of those oily attorneys you see depicted on the stage. He is a demurrer.



[Great laughter.] He never courted a woman because women can't vote. Lately he has adopted a rag-baby that really belongs to Hendricks. [Prolonged laughter.] He is now spending his time explaining how he adopted it.

PLAIN TRUTHS FOR THE DEMOCRATS.

I know the State in which an audience like this can collect can never elect a Democrat for Governor. I know you will re-elect Governor Connor by a rousing majority. There is not a State prison in this country but votes for Tilden and Hendricks. In the State prison of Maine last year there was but one convict who ever voted anything but the Democratic ticket, and I'll bet a thousand dollars he was wrongfully sent up. [Loud

laughter and applause.] The weeds will grow even in the streets, but the corn needs care. The weeds are hard to kill. And it's hard to kill the Democrats. They can only be exterminated by education and thought. When a man begins to grow continental in thought and have sympathy, then he says he will give every other man the same chance in the world that he asks for himself. Nature has made inequalities enough. Some people are born with few brains—some of them you can find in the Democratic party by close inspection. Why should men add artificial inequalities? All men are of the same race. All men who are for other men must stand together. Governments should be for all, and should protect white and black alike. Now, don't forget to tell the Democrats the whole truth—tell them in a Christian spirit, just as I do. When they tell you let by-gones be by-gones, don't do it. They have copied our platform, but don't trust it; it hasn't the right signature. It makes all the difference whether a bankrupt or a banker signs a note. The Republican party has done what it could. Tell the Democrats the truth. I'm afraid you will forget it. The Republican party will pay the debt and protect all men. Remember that, too. I want every man here to recollect Tilden is half a man, half a pair of scissors. Where would we have been if we'd all been old bachelors? [Loud laughter and applause.] I am glad that we have a party on whose brow is the eternal sunrise; that we have a party of freedom, pledged to the progress and elevation of the human race, and pledged to stand by the divine rights of men.



OUR COUNTRY.

Ingersoll's Speeen at Lewiston, Me., Sept. 10,
1880.

LADIES AND GENTLEMPN:—This is, in my opinion, the grandest and best country in the world. And when I speak of "Our country," I mean the North, East and West. There are parts of this country that are not yet civilized. There are parts of this country in which the people do not believe in the great principle of self-government. In other words, they don't believe in being governed at all. The question we must settle is, whether our country shall be preserved or not. That is the question for us. And the North must decide it! The Republicans, Democrats and Greenbackers of the North when they understand it as I understand it, will all unite and overwhelm the solidity of barbarism with the solidity of civilization. I do not pretend that the Republican party is perfectly good, and I do not pretend that the

Democratic party is perfectly bad. I admit that there are thousands of good Democrats, men whom I like. And I cheerfully admit, with a mixture of regret, that there are many Republicans whom I do not like. But there are thousands of only bad Democrats, and there are thousands of only good Republicans.

Now I think this is a good country. If so, I am bound to do all I can to preserve it; and I am bound to do all I can to make it better. Man is the providence of man. As long as I live (whatever party may be in power and have the handling of the offices) I mean to talk on the side of human liberty.

The reason why I admire a good government is because the people are made happy. What's the good of a government unless the people are happy; unless they have plenty to eat and to wear? Now I believe that in

OUR COUNTRY

we've got more kind husbands, more good women; that we wear better clothes, and that our clothes fit us better on an average than in any other country on the globe. We've got more information. We know more things about more things. We've got more charity and a fuller sense of justice than any other people on the face of the globe. Now how is it we've got a good Government? We've taken the failures of all other Nations! We've taken the paupers of all other countries! And of their paupers we've made grander men than the nobility they've left behind them in their old countries.

I believe in a country where every man has an equal chance. That's the reason why I work for the Republi-

can party. Now, if there's anything that's dear to an American citizen it's the right of free speech! The grand reason is that every human being has a right to the public ear. If a man can not speak, others can not hear. And a man that don't allow another man the right of free speech is a barbarian. What is the use of free speech, if all the results of free speech are to be reversed by fraud? What's the use for the counsel on one side of a case to address a jury, if, before he commences, the jury has been bought? What's the use to try a man, if, after he's tried, he's taken out and hung by a mob?

This is a Government of liberty regulated by law. This is a Government founded on reason. This is a country where the people have honest thought on every subject. The man who has these privileges himself and is not willing to accord them to others is a barbarian. I believe it. So do you. I am not going to say a word to exclude my Democratic hearers. They believe it as well as I do, It makes no matter what they say with their mouths. Inside they'll swear to it. When a man hears what he knows to be true, he feels it, no matter what he says. I'm not going to say a word that a Democrat will dispute. Is there a Democrat who denies the common right of free speech? He dare not say it! Is there a Democrat who denies the right to talk and breathe in common air? He dare not say it!

Now, if that liberty is to be preserved, whom will you have preserve it? Honor bright, now! Will you appoint the South to keep that treasure? Will you leave it to Alabama? Is there a Democrat here who doesn't know that a man stands no chance for the right of free speech in Alabama? I'm not going there! I'm not go-

ing to put myself in the hands of a State where there is no law. I'm going further off, and the longer the lever the more I can lift! Maine is a good place in which to begin. Let a Republican try it in Alabama and see how soon he'll get Ku-Kluxed. Let a Greenbacker try it and see how soon he'll get mobbed for attempting to draw votes away from the Democratic party!

I'll admit there are thousands of good men in

THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY,

but those men are not in the ascendant. They don't hold the power. There are many honest men in the party; but their voice has been lost. I'd rather trust Maine with my right to free speech than Louisiana. I'd rather entrust Massachusetts than Louisiana. In order to preserve this right, the North must keep in power. There is an aristocracy in the South, based on a trade in human beings. They are men who believed that lashes were a legal tender for a human being. That is the kind of aristocracy there is in the South. I sometimes feel like finding fault with the North because she isn't proud enough. I want the time to come when a Northern man will be as proud because his father was an honest man, as a Southern man is proud because his father was a slaveholder. I want the time to come when he will be as proud of breaking the chains of the slave as they were of forging them.

In this country we have our sovereign, our King—one power. That is the legally expressed will of a majority of the people. That's our King. Every solitary voter has a certain amount of King! Any man that will throw an illegal vote; any man that will count votes illegally

after they have been thrown is a traitor to the great principles of our Government. He is a traitor to the only King we have. He deserves the punishment of a traitor, too.

Now who are you going to have count your votes and protect your ballot-box for you? [A voice, "Garfield,"] And he'll do it, too. Are you going to have the South protect your ballot-box for you? In the South elections are a farce. It is there that Bulldozing holds the election, Dishonesty counts their votes, and Fraud declares the result! Now it is a fact, my friends, that since the Rebellion the South has killed more men, in time of profound peace, than our country lost in the two wars with Great Britian! Are they the men you will have to protect your ballot-box? Do you want to leave it with the masked man that shoots fathers, mothers and children? Oh, Mr. Honest Greenbacker and Democrat! 'way down in your soul I know you say 'No!' no matter what you say outside. Do you want the Chalmers, the Hampsons, and the murderers of Coushatta to hold your ballot-box? I guess not!

MR. CHALMERS

comes here to Maine, and the people of Maine regard it as an honor to themselves that they allow him to waste their air without opposition! Let a Republican go down into the Shoestring District in Mississippi, and try to express his sentiments and see how long he can stay there!

We want an honest vote, and after an honest vote we want an honest count. Come a little nearer home, now! Do you want the Democrats of Maine to count your votes for local affairs? Of course, I dont know much

about your local affairs. I know enough to make me blush to think that Maine had men that were guilty of the great treason of last winter. I know enough to know that they ought to have been sent to the penitentiary! I know enough to know that that great crime has made the cheeks red with the hectic flush of shame.

The only way to wipe it off is to give Governor Davis at least 10,000 or 15,000 majority in September.

You must tell the whole country that Maine is a State of law abiding people, and that no great crime can go unpunished. You must declare to the world that in your State every vote shall be honestly counted and honestly declared. You must do that much to save the honor of your State. Honest Greenbackers and Democrats, you must vote the Republican ticket this fall, for the honor of your State! No use for you to vote for your man, he won't be elected.

There are thousands of Democrats who wouldn't steal a ballot-box. There are thousands of Democrats who wouldn't rob a henroost, who wouldn't steal the shroud that covered a dead man. • Mr. Good Democrat if you have any self respect, teach your leaders that you follow nowhere where virtue does not lead.

I learn that the Democratic party has had cheek enough to pass a resolution declaring that the right to vote is the right preservative of all rights! Can you believe that is the same party that stuffs ballot-boxes and carries elections by bulldozing? The same party that believes being a Republican is a crime?

•“Oh,” you ask me, “are you never going to forgive the Democratic party?”

No! I'm not going to forgive them until I can speak

as freely in one part of the land as another, protected by the old flag? And I ought not to! The men who tried to repeal the constitutional amendments; the men who tried to keep the negro in the chains of slavery! Is it possible that this is the same party who now passes a resolution about the "right preservative of all rights?" I guess it is the same old party.

That reminds me of the story about the man who wanted to buy a family horse. He went into a Boston stable, and the keeper showed him a handsome bay.

"Oh, that one won't do for me. I want one that's handsome, spirited and safe," said the man.

The dealer brought out another horse.

"Oh, he is too logy," said the man.

Then they came along to a handsome grey.

"There," said the dealer, "is a horse I wouldn't part with. I keep it for my wife. She thinks more of him than she does of me! You know General Banks has a steel engraving of the horse that George Washington rode. Well, horsemen who have seen that picture say that this horse looks exactly like that one."

"Yes," said the man, looking at the horse's teeth, "I'll be ——— if I don't believe it's the same horse."

So I find it is the same party, precisely. I can't trust it. Why? Because I want free speech. I want an honest ballot. And what else? I know the history of that party.

REVENUE.

What else have we got to have in this country? We have got to have a revenue to pay our bills with. Can you trust the Democratic party to raise our revenue?

That's the question. Let me tell you how it is in the South. We get a large proportion of our revenue by a tax on high-wines, whisky and tobacco.

It is a fact that the collectors of revenue in the Southern States have to be armed as though they were going to war. There is not one but who goes armed with a breech-loading gun! It is necessary when the Democrats have complete control. Let's be honest about it.

Do you want them to get rid of paying their taxes? Do we want the people where the soil is rich to have their taxes paid by the people where the soil is poor? How many illicit distilleries have been found in the South? Just guess. I'll tell you. In the last four years, in the Southern States, 3,874 illicit distilleries have been uncovered. They're the gentlemen whom you wish to trust with the collection of your revenue. If you trust them, you'll be like the minister.

Two ministers were holding a revival in a certain place. After the services one of them passed around the hat. The congregation threw in a lot of old nails and sticks, but no money. The minister turned his hat up, and out came the old nails! He couldn't find a cent of money.

"Well," said the other minister, "let us thank God."

"What for?" asked the first minister.

"Because we've got the hat back.

You depend on the Southern people for your revenue, and you'll be fortunate if you can thank God you've got your hat back!

How many men, in the Southern States, do you suppose have been arrested for stealing revenue? Seven thous-

and and seventy-eight have been arrested and indicted! Think of that! They're the gentlemen whom the Democrats of Maine want to have collect their revenue. They're the gentlemen that Greenbackers have joined the Democrats to help along! Twenty-five collectors of revenue have been shot in the South by ambushed Democrats. Twenty-five by men who hid in the bush to shoot officers of the United States, and make widows and orphans of their wives and children! They're the men! What has been done with them? They've been defended by the State authorities. What more did they do? They have wounded fifty-five more!

And still we've got to pay interest on over \$1,900,000,000 of bonds. Are we going to let them collect it? Of course not. No sensible man would!

MONEY.

Another thing. We've got to make our money. On this point I differ with some Republicans. I am in favor of a double standard, because this is the greatest silver-producing country on the earth. We want a National money. I want to say a few words to Greenbackers. They have done a great deal of good. They have opened the way to our examination of the whole question. The Greenbackers made resumption possible. They went into every school district in the country, and stuck to it that the greenback was the best money in the world. And they convinced so many of it that, when they were offered gold they said:

“No, we want greenbacks.”

If we all had demanded gold our resumption would have been impossible. But we preferred greenbacks. I

want to thank the greenbackers for that much! Having accomplished that I think their mission is ended.

No man can calculate the grandeur of this country from '73 to resumption. Oh, my friends, it's a great deed to die for one's country. There's no glory in growing potatoes. You don't wear a uniform when you're picking up stones. You can't have a band of music when you dig potatoes! In 1873 came the great crash. We staggered over the desert of bankruptcy. No one can estimate the anguish of that time. Millionaires found themselves paupers. Palaces were exchanged for hovels. The aged man who had spent his life in hard labor, and thought he had accumulated enough to support himself in his old age, and leave a little something to his children and grand-children. found they were all beggars. The highways were filled with tramps.

REPUDIATION.

Then it was that the serpent of temptation whispered in the ear of want that dreadful word "Repudiation." An effort was made to repudiate. They appealed to want, to misery, to threatened financial ruin, to the bare hearth stones, to the army of beggars. We had grandeur enough to say, "No; we'll settle fair if we don't pay a cent!" And we'll pay it. 'Twas grandeur! Is there a Democrat now who wishes we had taken the advice of Bayard to scale the bonds? Is there an American, a Democrat here, who is not glad we escaped the stench and shame of repudiation, and did not take Democratic advice? Is there a Greenbacker here who is not glad we didn't? He may say he is, but he isn't. We then had to pay

seven per cent. interest on our bonds. Now we only pay four. Our greenbacks were then at ten per cent. discount. Now they are at par. How would an American feel to be in Germany or France and hear it said that the United States repudiated? We have found out that money is something that cannot be made. We have found out that money is a product of Nature. When a nation gets hard up it is right and proper to give its notes; and it should pay them. We have found out that it is better to trust for payment to the miserly cleft of the rocks than to any Congress blown about by the wind of demagogues. We want our money good in every civilized nation. Yes, we want it good in Central Africa! And when a naked Hottentot sees a United States greenback blown about by the wind, he will pick it up as eagerly as if it were a lump of gold. They say even now that money is a device to facilitate exchange. 'Tisn't so. Gold is not a device. Silver is not a device. You might as well attempt to make fiat suns and stars as a fiat dollar.

WHAT MONEY ISN'T.

Again they say that money is a measure of value. 'Tisn't so! A bushel doesn't measure values. It measures diamonds as well as potatoes. If it measured values, a bushel of potatoes would be worth as much as a bushel of diamonds. A yardstick doesn't measure values. They used to say, "There is no use in having a gold yardstick." That was right. You don't buy the yardstick. If money bore the same relation to trade as yardstick or half-bushel, you would have the same money when you got through trading as you had when you be-

gun. A man don't sell half-bushels. He sells corn. All we want is a little sense about these things.

I don't blame the man who wanted inflation. I don't blame him for praying for another period of inflation. "When it comes," said the man who had a lot of shrunken property on his hands, "blame me, if I don't unload, you may shoot me." It's a good deal like the game of poker! I don't suppose any of you know anything about that game! Along toward morning the fellow who is ahead always wants another deal. The fellow that is behind says his wife's sick, and he must go home. You ought to hear that fellow descant on domestic virtue. And the other fellow accuses him of being a coward and wanting to jump the game. A man whose dead wood is hung up on the shore in a dry time wants the water to rise once more and float it out into the middle of the stream.

We were in trouble. The thing was discussed. Some said there wasn't enough money, That's so; I know what that means myself. They said if we had more money we'd be more prosperous. The truth is, if we were more prosperous we'd have more money. They said more money would facilitate business.

A GREASE STORY.

Now, suppose a shareholder in a railroad that had earned \$18,000 the past year should look over the books and find that in that year the railroad had used \$12,000 worth of grease. The next year, suppose the earnings should fall off \$5,000, and the man, in looking over the accounts, should learn that in that year the road had used only \$500 worth of grease!

Supposing the man should say - "The trouble is, we

want more grease," What would you think of a man if he discharged the superintendent for not using more grease? Here we come to a ferryman with his boat hauled up on the sand, and the river dry. "How's business?" we ask him. He says business is rather dull.



We say, "You need more boats." I guess he'd tell us, "All I ask for is more water for this one."

I said years ago that resumption would come only by prosperity, and the only way to pay debts was by labor. I knew that every man who raised a bushel of corn helped resumption. It was a question of crops, a question of industry.

REPUBLICAN HONESTY.

Now then, honor bright, don't you believe you're better off than if you hadn't resumed? I don't care what you say! I know what you mean. The Republicans have made mistakes. There are good and bad men in parties. We have collected in the year past \$468,000,000 of revenue. And we have collected it cheaper than it could have been collected in any other country in the world. It cost us, I believe, $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. to collect it. And of the whole amount not a dollar has been lost. Can the Democrats equal that? Do you now wish your bonds had been repudiated? I guess not! Do you now wish you had adopted the Democratic policy? I want to ask you, Democrats, one question. Which had you rather own, a bond of Maine or a bond of Tennessee? a Southern promise or a Northern performance? Southern words or Northern gold? You decide the question for yourselves.

Every man of us is an agent of the United States of America. Each man of us has a part to perform. In him depends, in part, whether we shall have true Government or not! That's why I want you to think carefully on these things.

THE BEST PEOPLE.

Another thing. We want to trust the Government to the best people. Now, the best State in the South is Georgia. In that State criminals are rented out to taskmasters, like slaves, for \$10 or \$11 apiece. They have overseers. They have the power of life and death over those men. They can shoot them down. They violate the laws of decency. They chain men and women together. The death rate in the prisons of the North is

about one per cent. per annum. There's something that I like in the North. It's a monument to Northern charity and honesty. In one of those Georgia camps the death rate was thirty per cent. In another forty per cent. In one of them it reached fifty per cent. In another it run up to ten per cent. per month. Those are the kind of people the Northern Democrats will get on their knees to please in power. Robert Allston, as good a man as ever breathed, brought their atrocities to light. He went back to Georgia and was assassinated.

They're the kind of men honest Democrats want to support, that the Greenbackers want to tie to. And Georgia is the best State in the South. Her bonds are worth the most. I ask whether they're the people to be trusted with this Government?

THE SOUTHERN CHURCH

has no respect for men's rights. Good Northern men and women have gone South and taken letters from Northern churches. In the House of God they have been refused the Sacramental bread. Recollect it ! There's not anybody in the South who will admit that there ever was a Northern gentleman or lady. Why? They won't admit that labor is honorable. I like the North because it respects its industry. There's only one way to make them respect us, and that is to respect ourselves. There's only one way to overcome the South. That is to hold fast to our own principles.

Now, then, whom will you trust? There's still another important thing we have got to overcome. We can't overcome it without killing it, either. You *can* convince a man without killing him, but you *can't* kill him

without convincing him ! The South is honest in one thing, and that is their belief in the doctrine of State sovereignty. They are ready to fight for it.

The truth is, the confederation idea has been outgrown. They talked about it for the sake of slavery. They never would have done it but for slavery. And you know it. They pretend that the difference in climate forbade their working and made slavery necessary. The idea that justice isn't the same in all climates. If that was so, you'd have to have two sets of justice in Maine—one for winter and one for summer. The Northern Democrats become slaves for the South, and so did the Whigs.

The old Democratic party followed the South and ate dirt for years, and they seem to like the diet. Another thing they wanted. They wanted to keep the slave-trade a going until 1880. They did it. And they kept the Fugitive-Slave law in force. It was so a man in the North was obliged to pursue a fugitive slave woman no matter if she was within one step of Canadian soil, and send her back to slavery. Ain't you ashamed of it ? I am. We never would have been out of it but for the Republican party. Splendid, splendid party !


The next time the South appealed to State sovereignty was when she wanted slavery to extend over the West. Next, she used it to defend treason and secession. And so I've made up my mind that, when I hear a man taking up the doctrine of State sovereignty, he wants to steal something from somebody; somewhere.

I'm not afraid of

CENTRALIZATION.

I want the power where somebody can use it. As long

as a man is responsible to the people there is no fear of despotism. There's no reigning family in this country. We are all of us kings. We are the reigning family. And when any man talks about despotism, you may be sure he wants to steal or be up to devilment. If we have any sense, we have got to have localization of brain.' If we have any power, we must have centralization. Carry out the Democratic doctrine, and you'll scatter your



brains all over you. We want centralization of the right kind. The man we choose for our head wants the army in one hand and the navy in the other, and to execute the supreme will of the supreme people

But you say you will cross a State line. I hope so. When the Democratic party was in power, and wanted to pursue a human slave, there was no State line. When we want to save a human being, the State line arises up like a Chinese wall. I believe when one party can cross a State line to put a chain on, another can cross it to

take a chain off. "Why," you say, "you want the Federal Government to interfere with the rights of a State?" Yes, I do, if necessary. I want the ear of the Government acute enough and arms long enough to reach a wrong man in any State. A government that will not protect its protectors is no government. Its flag is a dirty rag. That is not my government. I want a government that will protect its citizens at home. The Democratic doctrine is that a government can only protect its citizens abroad. If a father can't protect his children at home, depend upon it, that old gentleman can't do much for them when they are abroad.

Think of it! Here's a war. They come to me in Illinois and draft me. They tell me I must go. I go through the war and come home safe. Afterward that State finds a way to trample on me. I say to the Federal Government, "You told me I owed my first allegiance to you, and I had to go to war. Now I say to you, you owe your first allegiance to me, and I want you to protect me!" The Federal Government says, "Oh, you must ask your State to request it." I say, "That's just what they won't do!" Such a condition of things is perfectly horrible!

If so with a man who was drafted, what will you say of a volunteer? Yet that's the Democratic doctrine of Federal Government. It won't do. And you know it! There's not a Democrat or a Greenbacker who believes it. Not one. You hate to admit you were wrong. You hate to eat your words. You'd rather remain in the hell you've made for yourselves than eat all your words. It's a hard thing to do. You had almost rather be with the damned. But you've got to do it. And you will do it.

THE TEWKSBURY ILLUSTRATION.

You're like the old woman in the Tewksbury, Mass., poor house. She used to be well off, and didn't like her quarters. You Greenbackers have left your father's house of many mansions and have fed on shucks about long enough. The supervisor came into the poor house one day and asked the old lady how she liked it. She said she didn't like the company, and asked him what he would advise her to do under similar circumstances.

"Oh, you'd better stay. You're prejudiced," said he.

"Do you think anybody is ever prejudiced in their sleep?" asked the old lady. "I had a dream the other night. I dreamed I died and went to heaven. Lots of nice people were there. A nice man came to me and asked me where I was from. Says I, 'From Tewksbury, Mass.' He looked in his book and said, 'You can't stay here.' I asked what he would advise me to do under similar circumstances. 'Well,' he said, 'there's Hell down there; you might try that!'

"Well, I went down there, and the man told me my name wasn't on the book, and I couldn't stay there. 'Well,' said I 'what would you advise me to do under similar circumstances?' Said he, 'You'll have to go back to Tewksbury.'" And, Greenbackers, when you remember what you once were, you must feel now, when you are forced to join the Democratic party, as bad as the old lady who had to go back to Tewksbury. I want to tell you what kind of company you're in. I want you to know that every man who thinks the State is greater than the Nation is a Democrat. Every man that defended slavery was a Democrat. Every man that signed an or-

dinance of secession was a Democrat. Every man that lowered our flag from the skies was a Democrat. Every man that bred bloodhounds was a Democrat. Every preacher that said slavery was a divine institution was a Democrat. Recollect it! Every man that shot a Union soldier was a Democrat. Every wound borne by you, Union soldiers, is a souvenir of a Democrat. You got your crutches from Democrats. Every man that starved a Union soldier was a Democrat. Every man that shot the emaciated maniac who happened to totter across the death line, with a hellish grin on his face, was a Democrat. Nice company you're in! The keepers of Andersonville and Libby, those two wings that will bear the Confederacy to eternal infamy, were all Democrats. There were lots of

SPLENDID DEMOCRATS.

I mean the war Democrats. I never will bear hard feelings against a man who bared his breast in his country's defense. The men who attempted to spread yellow fever in our Northern cities were all Democrats. The men who proposed to give our Northern cities to the flames were all Democrats. Just think of it! Think what company you're in! Recollect it! The men who wanted to assassinate Northern Governors were Democrats.

Now all I ask you to do is what you believe to be right. If you really think liberty of speech, the ballot box, the revenue are safer with the South than with the North, then vote the Democratic ticket, early and often. If you believe it is better to trust the men who fought against the country than the men who fought to preserve it; if you have more confidence in Chalmers than in Blaine;

if you have more confidence in Hampton than your own men; if you have a greater trust in the solvency of Mississippi than in Massachusetts, then vote the Democratic ticket. But there's not a Democrat in Maine who believes it!

THE CANDIDATES.

I've got a little while to talk about candidates. I haven't much against Hancock. The most I have against him is that he was a creature of Andy Johnson. I would as soon vote for Andy Johnson as vote for him. What are his opinions on finance? What are his opinions on State rights? I don't know nor anybody else. The Democrats now have both Houses of Congress. If they get the Executive they'll have the whole; they'll annul the legislation of the war. They'd make Unionism disreputable. They'd make a Union soldier ashamed to own he lost a leg on the field of glory and make him say he lost it in a threshing machine. I don't want to see them have that pleasure. The rebel possessions and claims don't amount to anything in dollars and cents. Liberty is cheap at any price. I want my Government to be proud and free. Liberty is a thing wherein extravagance is economy.

Now comes the Republican party. Who is at its head? Thousands of men say to me, "How can you support Garfield? He is a Christian; he's a Campbellite." I support him because I am not a bigot; I support him because he is not a bigot; I support him because there's no man better acquainted with the civil affairs of the country; I support him because he's a politician in the best sense. We want no land-lubbers on our ship. Garfield is as good a soldier as Hancock. I've got nothing against the

regular army; but a man who, in a time of profound peace, determines to make killing folks his regular business, who, when there's no sound of war, longs for the din of shot and shell—is no better, in my opinion, than



GEN. JAMES A. GARFIELD.

than the man who hates war, but, when he is called upon, puts his sword on, and goes into the field of battle! That's my man.

DEMOCRATIC CHARGES.


They say he's dishonest. Who says it? The Solid South and the counting out conspirators of Maine! That won't do. Garfield has been in a position where he could have reaped millions by selling his influence for good. Yet he's a poor man. Put a Maine Democrat in his place and see how long he'll remain poor! I know Garfield. You know him! I want you in Maine to know that your vote in September will elect him, that as Maine goes so goes the Union. I want the Democrats to know it, so they can help do it. The honor of Maine must be reclaimed. I understand that there's a man here who has voted the Democratic ticket for forty-nine years, and who now intends to put a blossom on the half-century of his life by voting the Republican ticket next September!

(Voice—"Who is he?" "Trot him out!")

Ingersoll—It's J. M. Crooker of Waterville! (Cheers and great enthusiasm.) Time fails me, but I want to impress on your minds that we must hand over to our country a legacy of power and glory. (Rousing cheers.)

Col. Ingersoll here left the stand and took a special train for Portland.





INGERSOLL ON AMERICAN NATIONALITY.

**Speech Delivered at Gloucester, Mass., Aug. 12,
1880.**

Everything in this world that is good for anything has to be defended. Everything that is good has to be taken care of. Everything that is bad will take care of itself. There is the same difference between virtue and vice, between truth and falsehood, as there is between grain and

weeds. We have to plow the land, we have to sow the seed, and we have, with great labor and infinite patience, to guard the crops against anything that might injure; while weeds and dog-fennel, sown by chance and cared for by accident, will grow in the common highway. And exactly so it is with everything of account in this world. The battle is never over; the battle for the right is never won; fight as long as you may, and the argument will not be finished. After four years of war in the United States the questions that we endeavored to settle by the sword are as open, as unsettled, as they were in 1859. These questions must be settled, not only by the bayonet, but by argument. There is no argument in war, no logic in the sword. All that war settles is, who is the stronger of the contestants. War makes them stop and listen. War gives the successful party the floor, in order to present his argument, and the result is to be argued, not fought out. So, to-day, we are arguing on this side, in the defense of which millions of men risked their lives, and the question is just as open and unsettled to-day as it was then. We have got a country which is, in my opinion, the best in this world. I hold all forms of government in sublime contempt, except the republican form of government. I utterly detest every system of government that is not founded on the legally expressed will of a majority of the people. I look upon Kings and Princes and Noblemen as men in the livery of larceny wearing the insignia of robbery. I am proud I am an American, and that I live in a civilized country. When I speak of a free country, I confine myself to the Northern and Western States of this great Republic.

This is in my opinion the best government in the world

simply because it gives the best chance to every human being. It is the best country simply because there is more liberty here than there is anywhere else; simply because life, liberty, and property are better secured in the Northern and Western States of this Union than in any other portion of the habitable globe.

EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR ALL.

I love this country because it gives to the lowest equal opportunity with the greatest. The avenues of distinction are open to all. We have taken the failures of other countries; we have taken the men who could not succeed in England; we have taken the men who have been robbed and trampled upon—we have taken them into this country, and the second generation are superior to the nobility of the country from which their fathers emigrated; We have taken the Irishmen, robbed; we have taken the foreigner from the almshouse, and we have turned their rags into robes; we have transformed their hovels and huts into palaces; out of their paupers we have made patriotic, splendid men. That is what we have done in this country. We have given to everybody in the Union, in the States to which I have referred, equal opportunities to get a home, equal opportunities to attain distinction. That is the reason I like this country.

BEST COUNTRY FOR THE POOR

I like this country because the honest and industrious man is a nobleman. I like it because a man, no matter how poor he may be, whether a merchant or clerk, can go home at night, take his tow-headed boy on his knee, and say to him: "John, the public schools and every avenue of distinction are open to you. Your father may

be ignorant; he may not be good at figures; but you may rise to the highest office within the gift of civilized people." We don't know how good this country is. Do you know that we have more to eat here than any other nation of the globe has? And that is quite an item. We have better clothes, and they come dearer fitting us. There is more general information among our people, and it is better distributed than in any other country.

REPUBLICAN FAMILIES.

But really the greatest thing about our country is that there is no other country where women and children are treated as well as they are in the United States. Let me tell you why: In other countries the family is patterned after the form of government. In other countries, where there is a monarch, the head of the family is a monarch; in countries where the head of the government is a despot, the head of the family is a despot. Here in this country our families are Republican; every man sitting by the fireside has a vote. These are a few of the reasons why I like this country. I like it because it gave me a chance. I like it because a man in the lowest walks of life can have the same chance. I like it because a boy who had worked on a canal, a boy who has driven a mule on the towpath, a boy who has cut wood at twenty-five cents a cord—I like it because such a boy is going to be the next president of the United States. (Applause.) What a magnificent compliment they pay to our system of government! what a splendid compliment they pay to the good heart of our people, by making prominent in this canvass the fact that the boy was poor, that the boy was compelled to work! What in other countries would

be a work of disgrace, in this country is transfigured into the wings of honor and of fame. Now, as I have said, this is a good country, but there are certain perils against which we must carefully guard. As I told you in first place, you have got to fight for everything that is good, and the work is never done. There are always some who fall in the rear. In the clearest waters there will always be settlings, and just so it is in politics.

THE PERIL OF STATE RIGHTS.

There are certain perils that menace this Government; and let us be honest about it. I tell you to-night that I have no favors to ask of any political parties in this world. The first peril, in my judgment, is the doctrine of State rights. The doctrine that a part is greater than the whole; the doctrine that the General Government is born in the States, when everybody knows that the States were born of the General Government, and that before that time they were colonies on their knees to George III, and they were not raised from their degradation into the majesty of States until the Continental Congress resolved that they were free and independent States. That heresy is, in my judgment, one of the great perils that menace this Republic at the present time. It was not settled by the war; it has not been beaten out of the Democratic leaders; and let me assure you that it is as strongly intrenched in the hearts of these men at the present time, as it ever was in the history of the Government. The doctrine of State rights was appealed to, to perpetuate human slavery; it was appealed to to keep the slave trade open until the year 1808; it was appealed to to justify Secession and Rebellion. It is appealed to now

in order that the Southern States may deny to the black people their rights. By this you will see that the doctrine of State Rights has never been appealed to in the history of this country except when somebody wanted to steal something from somebody else. (Applause.) I detest the doctrine. I abhor it in every drop of my blood. This is not a Confederacy; this is a Nation. I have the same right to speak here in Massachusetts that I have in Illinois; not because the flag of Massachusetts floats over me—because I would not know it if I should see it—it is because the right is guaranteed to me by the flag of the Republic. (Cheers.)

* * * * *

The doctrine has never been appealed to except to justify some kind of rascality, and would never have been dreamed except that the South wanted

TO PRESERVE SLAVERY.

It was appealed to to keep the slave trade open, and then to make Northern men slave catchers, then to justify secession, and now to allow the people of the Southern States to deny the negroes the right of citizenship. We have always heard about the rights of South Carolina, but we never hear of the rights of New York and Pennsylvania and any State of importance. Wherever the State fails to give its protection to the people the General Government must step in and give them the protection they require. Wade Hampton recently said that the principles of the Democratic party are to-day the same for which Lee and Stonewall Jackson fought, and, from the bottom of my heart, I believe him.

REPUDIATION.


Whether we shall pay our debts is the great question

and with State sovereignty, the Southern States would repudiate their debts by issuing currency to be redeemed eventually by the National Government. As long as there is a greenback in circulation, it is an earnest advocate that the Democratic party shall not come into power. People say now that the country is prosperous and that repudiation is not to be feared; but let us have bad crops for one or two years, and a depression of business, and demagogues would rise by the thousands and advocate it. With honest money we may become a commercial nation, but we can never become so with mere promises to pay.

Another peril is fraudulent voting, and this can be overcome by extending the required time of residence of voters, identifying them thoroughly with the place before they can cast a ballot in it.

COL. INGERSOLL

concluded with a comparison of the two platforms and the letters of the two candidates, showing the shallowness and exposing the glittering generalities of Hancock and his party. He was frequently interrupted by generous applause.





THE TWO PARTIES.

Ingersoll's Speech at Rockford, Ill., Sept. 28,
1880.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:—In the first place I wish to admit that Democrats and Republicans have an equal interest in this country; that it belongs to us all, and that they are as deeply interested in the preservation of this form of government as we can be.

I admit, too, that most of them are honest in their convictions; and I do not wish to address myself to a Democrat who is not honestly one. There is no reason in wasting reasons upon a man who is dishonest, not the slightest. Neither do I believe that it is possible to make a vote in any civilized country by misrepresenting the facts. Neither do I believe it is possible to influence a solitary man who has got any sense, by slander or vituperation.

That time has gone by, and I do not intend to say one word that every Democrat present will not be willing to say is true. I do not intend to-day to express a solitary sentiment that every Democrat will not give three cheers

for in his heart. We are all, I say, equally interested—Democrats and Republicans, and Greenbackers alike. We all want a good Government. If we do not, we should have none. We all want to live in a land where the law is supreme. We desire to live beneath a flag that will protect every citizen beneath its fold. We desire to be citizens of a Government so great and so grand that it will command the respect of the civilized world. Most of us are convinced that our Government is the best upon this earth. It is the only Government where manhood, and manhood alone, is not made simply a condition of citizenship, but where manhood, and manhood alone; permits its possessor to have an equal share in the control of the Government.

It is the only country in the world where poverty is upon an exact equality with wealth, so far as controlling the destinies of the Republic is concerned. It is the only Nation where a man clothed in a rag stands upon an equality with the one wearing purple. It is the only Government in the world where, politically, the hut is upon an equality with the palace.

MANLY VOTING,

For that reason every poor man should stand by that Government, and every poor man who does not is a traitor to the best interests of his children; every poor man who does not is willing that his children should bear the badge of political inferiority; and the only way to make this Government a complete and perfect success, is for the poorest man to think as much of his manhood as the millionaire does of his wealth.

A man does not vote in this country simply because he

is rich; he does not vote in this country simply because he has an education; he does not vote simply because he has talent or genius; we say that he votes because he is a man, and that he has his manhood to support; and we admit in this country that nothing can be more valuable to any human being than his manhood.

And for that reason we put poverty on an equality with wealth. We say in this country manhood is worth more than gold. We say in this country that without liberty the Nation is not worth preserving. Now, I appeal to every poor man; I appeal to-day to every laboring man, and I ask him: Is there another country on this globe where you can have your equal rights with others?

Now, then, in every country, no matter how good it is, and no matter how bad it is—in every country there is something worth preserving, and there is something that ought to be destroyed. Now, recollect that every voter is in his own right a king; every voter in this country wears a crown; every voter in this country has in his own hands a scepter of authority; and every voter, poor and rich, wears the purple of authority alike.

Recollect it; and the man that will sell his vote is the man that abdicates the American throne. The man that sells his vote strips himself of the imperial purple, throws away his scepter and admits that he is less than a man. More than that, the man that will sell his vote for prejudice or for hatred, the man that will be lied out of his vote, that will be fooled out of his vote, is not worthy to be an American citizen.

Let us endeavor to do what is right; let us say this country is good—we will make it better; let us say, if

our children do not live in a republic it shall not be our fault.

THE GREAT PARTIES

are asking for the control of this country, and it is your business and mine, first, to inquire into the history of these parties. We want to know their character, and, recollect, you cannot make a reputation by passing a resolution. If you could, you could reform every penitentiary in fifteen minutes in the United States. The question is: What have these parties been doing? Not, what do they say now? That may help to make them a character twenty years hence; but what have they been doing for the last twenty years, and let us be honest,—honor bright?


THE DEMOCRATIC RECORD.

In 1860 the Democratic party had power. There was a Democratic President of the United States. Every Cabinet officer was a Democrat; every Federal Officer was a Democrat, every one; because that party would never allow anyone but a Democrat to be in office, no matter how small. In 1860 and 1861 a few of the Southern States said:

“We will no longer remain in this Union.”

What did the Democratic party do? James Buchanan, with Judge Black for his legal adviser, solemnly declared that not only the United States could not coerce State, but solemnly decided that the Federal Government could not even protect its own property. That was the decision of the highest officer in that administration. In other words that Democratic administration said the United States of America are dissolved; the great Federal Government is dead forever; the ex-

periment of our fathers has failed; the blood of the Revolution was shed in vain; and here in 1861, on the jag-



ged rocks of secession, the Ship of State must go down forever.


This is what that party said then. Does anybody wish that party had remained in power? Does anybody wish to-day that the advice of James Buchanan had been followed? Does anybody wish that we at that time had allowed the flag of our fathers to have been forever torn from heaven?

A WAR COMMENCED.

The Republican party said:

“The Union must and shall be maintained.”

Hundreds and thousands of Democrats also said the same thing. I honor them for it, and I never, while I live, will say a word against any man who fought for our



flag in the sky—never. And I admit to-day, . and I

cheerfully admit, that hundreds and thousands of Democrats were better than the party to which they belonged. I admit that the salt of the party left it. I admit the good, brave young men—men with blood in their veins—said:

“James Buchanan is a traitor.”

Good Democrats said:

“The flag must be preserved, and we will help preserve it.”

And I am willing to admit to-day, had it not been for these Democrats, the probability is we could never have put down the Rebellion. I want to be honest about this thing. What, though, did the Democratic party do after the decent men had left it? When these men who believed in the preservation of the Union had enlisted, when they had gone down to the fields of death and glory, what did the Democrats they had left at home do in 1864?

This Democratic party left at home, just before the dawn of universal victory, met in National Convention and announced that war for the restoration of the Union was a failure; that is what they did. What did they do in Indiana? They assassinated Federal officers, they shot down Union men, they entered into conspiracies for the purpose of releasing Rebel soldiers; they were supplied with money from Canada. I know it, and the evidence is to-day among the Rebel archives at Washington that leaders of the Southern Confederacy furnished money to the Democrats of Illinois and Indiana to hold public meetings for the purpose of influencing public opinion against the Republican party. That is what they did; remember it; do not forget it. When the war

was over, what did the Democrats do? Now, I will try to tell the exact truth.

EVERY MAN WHO ADVOCATED SECESSION WAS A DEMOCRAT.

Every man who drew a secession ordinance was a Democrat; every man who swore that this great and splendid Government was but a "Confederacy bound together by ropes of sand," by chains of mist, was a Democrat; every one who wished to tear the old flag out of the sky was a Democrat; every one who wished to preserve the institution of slavery so that babes could be sold from their mother's breasts; every one who wished to make a slave by robbing the cradle; every one who wished to breed bloodhounds to pursue fugitive slaves; every one who wanted Northern freemen to become dogs to hunt slaves, every one who believed that a lash upon a naked back was legal tender for labor performed; every one was a Democrat.

Every one who wished to create a fire in the rear; all who wanted to release Rebel prisoners in the North, that they might burn down the homes of soldiers in the front; every one who wanted to scatter disease and pestilence in the Northern cities; every one who wished to inflict our homes with yellow fever; every one who wished to set fires to the great cities of the North, knowing that the serpents of flame would destroy women and babes; every one who tried to fire boats upon our rivers; every one was a Democrat, and you know it.

Every one who shot our soldiers; every man who shot a Union soldier was a Democrat, every wound that a Union soldier has is a souvenir of the Democratic party,

and you know it. Every one who fed our men taken prisoners with

A CRUST THAT THE WORMS HAD EATEN BEFORE

was a Democrat; every man who shot down our men when they happened to step an inch beyond the dead line, every one was a Democrat; and when some poor, emancipated Union patriot, driven to insanity by famine saw at home in his dreams the face of his mother, and she seemed to beckon him to come to her, and he, following that dream, stepped one inch beyond the dead line, the wretch who put a bullet through his throbbing, loving heart was a Democrat.

We should never forget these things. Every man who wept over the corpse of slavery; every man who was sorry when the chains fell from four millions of people; every man who regretted to see the shackles drop from men, women and children, every one was a Democrat. In the House of Representatives and in the Senate the resolution was submitted to amend the Constitution so that every man treading the soil of the Republic should be forever free, and every man who voted against it was a Democrat. Every man who swore that greenbacks would never be worth any more than withered leaves, every man who swore he would never pay our bonds, every man who slandered our credit and prophesied defeat was a Democrat. Now, recollect it. Do not forget it.

If there is any young man here who is this fall to cast his first vote, I beg of him, I beseech him, not to join that party whose history for the last twenty years has been a disgrace to this country.

THE REPUBLICAN RECORD.

Now, on the other hand, what has the Republican party been doing all this time? Aided and assisted by good Democrats, aided and assisted by honest men, aided and assisted by the spirit of patriotism in this country, what has the Republican party been doing? In the first place our party preserved this Government. Had it not been for the Republican party the United States of America would not still glorify and enrich the map of the world. Had it not been for the Republican party the banner of

STARS AND STRIPES

would not now be floating in heaven. The Republican party issued the money; the Republican party swore it was good, and the Republican party swore it should be paid. The Republicans issued the bonds made necessary by the Democracy, and the Republicans not only said, "We will whip you," but "We will pay the costs ourselves."

It cost at least six thousand millions of dollars, a pile of gold in the presence of which even extravagance would stand amazed.

Six thousand million of dollars, and 400,000 lives!

What for? Is it possible we did all that to put the very party in power that it cost six thousand million of dollars and 400,000 lives to prevent their destroying this Government? Think of it. Remember it. Let me ask any Democrat, looked at in the light of history of twenty years, which of these parties has the better reputation? Which has the better reputation for patriotism? Which has the better reputation for truth and veracity?

DEMOCRATIC BLUNDERING.

What has the Democratic party done in the last twenty years that has been a success? Gov. Morton once said:

“The Democratic party is like a man riding on the cars backwards; he never sees anything until he has passed it.”

What has the Democratic party advocated in the twenty years that has been a success? Now and then they have advocated a good thing, but that has only been when they have adopted some Republican idea. I admit that the Republican party has done some wrong things. I admit the great, splendid Republican party endeavoring to do right, has now and then, by mistake, done wrong; I admit that the great Democratic party, endeavoring to do wrong, has now and then blundered into the right. Which of these parties are the people of this splendid country of Northern Illinois willing to risk the Government with? Well, of course, it is owing to what you want. It is owing to what you want to preserve; it is owing to what you wish to destroy.

A CHANGE.

Some people tell me, we want a change. What for?

“Well, we want a change.”

What for? There never was a time in the history of this country that it was as prosperous as it is to-day. Do you want a change? This is not the only country in the world, but we have good houses, we have got more to eat, have got better clothes, and we have got more sense, on the average, than any other people on this

globe. When I say "country" I mean the Northern, Western and Eastern States; that is what I mean.

There is no country wherein education is so much thought of as in the United States. There is no country where a man will help another as quickly. There is no country in which there is as much generosity, on the average, as in the United States. Now we have to preserve something. We do not wish to change for the sake of a change. There should never be a change until a better party than the Republican asks to take the scepter of authority. When the Democracy, in sack-cloth and ashes, will admit that they have been wrong for twenty years; when the Democratic party will say, beating the meantime upon its hollow breast, "I have sinned and wish an opportunity to show that I have sincerely repented," it will be time enough to trust them then.

THE SOLID SOUTH.

Now, the question arises, which section of this country had you rather trust? The South or the North? ("The North every time.") Of course. What is the Democratic party to-day without the Solid South? The Solid South is the Democratic party. The Democratics of the North are the tools of the Solid South. There are some things in this country that we wish to preserve. Of course when a man has got nothing he need not be very particular about making his will, and if he does make his will he need not make any fuss about who shall be the administrators.

We think there are things to be preserved in the American Republic. Now, what must we preserve? What do you want preserved?

FREE SPEECH.

First of all, you believe that in a Republic there should be an absolute freedom of opinion; you believe that in a Republic there should be absolute free speech; you believe that every individual tongue has the right to the general ear; you believe that the Government should rest upon the intelligence, upon the patriotism, and upon the morality of the people, and you believe that every citizen of this Republic has a right to tell the rest of the citizens of this Republic what he believes. Of what use can free speech be if it is afterwards to be defeated by force or fraud? Of what use is it to allow the attorney for the defendant to argue before the jury, if, upon the jury bringing a verdict of "Not guilty," the defendant is to be hanged by a mob?

We believe, then, in free speech; we believe free speech to be the gem of the human brain. Speech is



the wing of thought, and if you will not allow free speech you are not a civilized people. In what part of this

country has the right of free speech been preserved, in the South or the North? If you want free speech preserved in this country the North must do it. We must do it and we must not put in power the people who do not believe in that sacred right. The South never favored free speech, never. Why? They had there an institution called slavery. If they allowed free speech they knew that slavery could not endure, and the consequence was they closed the lips of reason. In other words, for every chain they put upon the limbs of slaves they put a corresponding manacle upon the brain of the white man. In order to enslave others they enslaved themselves, and they finally came face to face with one of the great principles of nature.

Man cannot enslave another without trampling on his own manhood; no man can be unjust to another without robbing yourself. I believe, then in free speech. I want the lips of thought to be forever free, and for that reason I am with the North, because the North will protect that sacred right. That is one thing I want, and I go with the people that are going farthest my way when I want anything.

I belong to no party. I simply act with the party that comes nearest my views. I am the property of nobody. No human being has a mortgage upon my brain. I will say my say in spite of principalities and as long as I live; and I will say what I think.

A FREE BALLOT-BOX.

We not only wish to preserve free speech, but we wish also to preserve the products of free speech. After you have thought, after everybody has had his say, and

thereupon the people of the United States deposit their will in the ballot-box, we want to feel certain that every vote that goes in there is honest; we want to feel certain that every vote that comes out of there is counted is a legal vote. That is what we want. Of what use is free speech if fraud is to hold in its slimy hand the ballot-box of this Nation? There is in this country one king, there is under our flag one emperor, one czar, one supreme power, and that is the legally-expressed will of a majority of our people.

That is the king, and any man who will poison the source of authority, any man who will put an illegal vote in the ballot-box, any man who will count an illegal vote after it is put in, any man who will throw out a legal vote after it is put in, is a traitor to the principal upon which this Government is founded. And the time ought to come when we will hold in supreme detestation, execration and contempt, any man who would put in the ballot-box an illegal vote. Every American citizen should keep his hands pure; every American citizen should say:

“I am willing to abide by the decision of the majority.”

And when we say that, then we will have a Republic that will endure for countless years. We have got to do something in this country. We are upon the edge, to-day, of Mexicanization; we are upon the edge of chaos.

FRAUD IN ELECTIONS.

The people are beginning to lose confidence in elections; they are beginning to say, “Fraud controls, rascallity elects,” and the moment that suspicion is well lodged in the minds of the people then they will have no

regard for the laws made by men elected by fraud. They will have no respect for the decisions made by judges when they believed the judges were elected by fraud; and then comes the dissolution of our form of Government; and then comes the destruction of human liberty for a hundred years.

Every Republican should make up his mind to be a perpetual sentinel of the ballot-box; every Republican should make up his mind that, so far as was in his power, an illegal vote should never be cast in this country. We fell into it; it took a long time but we got there. In the first place, in the cities no man was allowed to vote who came from a foreign country until he had been here five years. They began allowing them to vote when they had been here four, and if the Democratic party did, probably the Whig party would have done it if the foreigners would have voted the Whig ticket. But they wouldn't.

After awhile they allowed them to vote in three years, in two years, and it was not long until they met them at Castle Garden and marched them directly from the ship to the polls.

All over our country we have had a contest with regard to the removal of county seats, when all the people at one side of the county were for removal, and all the people on the other side were against removal, and the north side would hear that the south side was going to cheat, and the south side would hear that the north side was going to cheat, and as a result both cheated. And thus, day by day, little by little, the sanctity of the ballot-box has been destroyed, and that party was considered the smartest party that could get in the most il-

legal votes and get them counted. All that must be stopped or this country cannot endure, and it is the mission of the Republican party to stop it, and that is another reason

WHY I AM A REPUBLICAN.

That party has thrown every safeguard around the ballot-box in every State in the Union where any safeguard has been thrown. That party has always been in favor of registration; the Democratic party has always opposed it. That party—the Republican party—has done all it possibly could do to secure an honest expression of the great will of the people. Every man here who is in favor of an honest ballot-box ought to vote the Republican ticket; every man here in favor of free speech ought to vote the Republican ticket. Free speech is the brain of this Republic, and an honest vote is its life-blood. There are two reasons, then, why I am a Republican: First, I believe in free speech; secondly, I want an honest vote.

SOUTHERN TISSUE-BALLOTS AND SHOTGUNS.

Can you trust the people of the South with the ballot-box? Are you willing to let Alabama keep that sacred treasure—Alabama, that cast in 1876, about 103,000 votes for Tilden, but only a little while ago cast a Democratic majority of 92,000? Alabama is to-day is a Republican State if every man was freely allowed to vote his sentiments; and you know it.

Mississippi is to-day a Republican State; North Carolina is a Republican State; South Carolina is a Republican State; Florida is a Republican State; and anybody

who knows anything knows what I say is true- How are they kept in the Democratic ranks? Are they kept there by the men who are trying to protect the ballot box? They are kept there by the shotgun; they are kept there by the tissue-ballot; they are kept by force and fraud. Masked murderers in the dead of night ride to the cabin of the freedman and shoot him down regardless of the shrieking of his wife and the tears of his babes. That is the way the Southern States are kept solidly Democratic. Ah, but they say to me:

“Are you willing that the black people should control the South?”

If the black people are in favor of liberty, and the white people are opposed, then I want the black people to control.

If the black people believe that this is a Nation, and the white people there say this is a simple Confederacy, then I want the black people to control the South. If the black people are in favor of our lowest vote, if the black people are in favor of freedom of speech, if the black people are in favor of absolutely guarding the ballot-box from fraud, and if the white people are on the other side of these questions, then I say

LET THE BLACK PEOPLE RULE

that country. I think more of a black friend than I do of a white enemy. I think more of a black man who loves liberty than I do of a white man who hates it. I think more of a black man who upheld our flag in war than of any white man who has tried to tear it down. That is my doctrine. I think more of the man trampled down than of the trampler. I think more of the man

stolen from than I do of the thief. (Applause, and cries of "Give it to them, Bob.")

DEMOCRACY THE GREATEST LUXURY.

There is another thing. We have not only got to have free speech, not only got to have an honest ballot, but we have got to raise a revenue in this country.

We owe to-day one billion nine million dollars—a Democratic debt. Democracy is the greatest luxury we ever afforded. We have got to pay that debt. Why? If we don't we will be eternally disgraced in the eyes of the civilized world. When our money is only worth 80 cents on the dollar every American falls 20 per cent. below par. When our money is at par, we are. When we cannot pay our bonds, we feel that we are a dishonored people, but when our bonds bearing only 4 per cent., and are worth 119 in the market, we feel proud; and when we go to another country and see one of those bonds, that bond certifies that an American is an honest man. Who are you going to trust to pay this debt? That is the question. Whom are you willing to trust with the honor of the United States? The men who defended her flag will defend her honor. The men who tried to tear her flag down will trample America's honor beneath their feet. Who is going to pay? The Democrats solemnly swore that we would never pay? In the year of grace, 1878, standing in the center of truth and knowledge, the Democratic party in every solitary State, with exception of two or three in the New England States, in which it held a convention, solemnly resolved that the United States could not resume

SPECIE PAYMENTS.

Well, we did. (A voice: "They lied.") We did.

They resolved that the war was a failure, and immediately thereafter we succeeded, and the old flag was carried in glory over every inch of the United States. They have never made a prophesy that was fulfilled. Their prophesies and their promises are exactly alike. Whom can we trust to pay this debt? Whom can we trust to give us good money? A greenback to-day is as good as gold. Who made it so? The Democrats in their conventions solemnly resolved it would never be good. Well, they helped a little, I have no doubt, because everybody knew that what they resolved would not be true. All you have to do is to copper a Democratic resolution. Now in order to pay this debt, and I will come to the money question, after which we have got to have revenue, it has got to be collected. Will you trust to collect the North or South, the Republican or the Democratic party? Recollect the Democratic party has been fasting for twenty years. It has suffered all the agonies of official famine. Not a bite for twenty years. The Democratic party to-day is

A VAST AGGREGATE OFFICIAL APPETITE.

Who are you going to trust? Will we trust the Southern States to collect the revenues of the Union? In four years, with the Internal Revenue Department, we have collected of internal tax, \$460,000,000 at a cost of about 3 per cent. This in four years. During four years we have captured, destroyed and libeled 3,874 illicit distilleries in Southern States. Remember it; we have captured and indicted 7,084 Democrats in Southern States, charged with defrauding the revenue of the country. The Southern people resisting the collectors of Federal tax in the last four years, have shot and killed twenty-five

revenue officials, and have wounded fifty-five; and now in the Southern States—there is, in many of them—every revenue collector, every officer connected with that branch of Government is provided by the Internal Revenue Department

WITH A BREECH-LOADING RIFLE

and a pair of revolvers. Are they the gentlemen to collect our revenue? Will you depend upon them to pay the interest on \$1,400,000,000 and the current expenses of this Government? It won't do. I heard a story of a couple of Methodist ministers who had been holding a camp-meeting, and after they had preached a week one said to the other: "Let's take up a subscription." "Good," said he. So he passed his hat, gave it to a brother, and he passed it around, and finally came back and handed it to the preacher, and he turned it over on the pulpit, and there was a lot of old nails, matches, toothpicks, buttons, and not one solitary cent; and the other preacher said, looking at it: "Let us thank God," and the owner of the hat said, "What for?" and the other replied, "Because you got your hat back." (Great laughter.) If we depend upon the Southern States to collect the revenue of this country we won't get our hat back.

Now, then, my friends, if you want free speech, if you want an honest ballot. if you want the revenues of the country collected, vote the Republican ticket.

HONEST MONEY.

Then there is another thing we want; we want good money; we want honest money. I know there have been a great many theories on money, and I never knew a

man that had not a dollar himself who had not a scheme to make somebody else rich. These theories were produced, of course, by the circumstances we went through—the war. We had as they say, plenty of money that is to say no money; plenty of promises, but no money; plenty of notes, but no cash; and while we were sailing on a credit, we sailed well, and as long as I can buy all I want on a credit, my family shall not suffer. (Laughter.) We were going into debt, and as a rule it is an exceedingly prosperous time in a man's life when he is getting into debt. As a rule it is an exceedingly hard time when he is paying this debt. Millions and millions of promises were issued. The result was prices went up just in proportion as the value of the promises went down, and that was at the

EXPENSE OF THE CREDITOR CLASS.

Expansion is always at the expense of creditors, and when the wheel of fortune takes a turn, and contraction comes, that is always at the expense of the debtor. At the same time, people claimed absolute justice would be done; but the trouble is, creditors do not mean the same. The very man who is a creditor, and at whose expense the inflation came, when contraction comes may be a debtor, and consequently suffer both ways. We had vast and splendid schemes for the future. We began to buy lots, twenty miles from Chicago, that the frogs had held undisputed sway over since the morning stars sang together. On paper we laid this land out into squares, avenues, boulevards, and were selling what cost \$10 an acre for \$10 a foot and \$50 a foot, and all at once, in 1873, the crash came and all these lots resumed. (Great laughter.) A fellow who had bought on credit, paying

two-thirds down, found that the lots would not pay the other third. Hundreds of thousands of men were ruined, and all at once they said, "What we want is another inflation; we want more money," and I never heard one who was caught speaking on the subject who did not say, "If there ever comes another inflation you may shoot me if I don't unload." When

CONTRACTION

came, certain men were left with the bags to hold, and they were the men who got up new financial theories, and I do not blame them. It is precisely the same as it is in a game of cards, where men have been playing poker all night. I do not believe there is a man here that will understand this campaign. Along toward morning the fellow who is ahead has got to go home; his wife is not very well. The other fellow who is behind says, "No; nobody but a coward will jump the game; let us get another candle, and we will have another deal." And so it was that the Greenback theory started. We want another deal. We have been left high and dry in the brush, miles from the channels. If water can only come once more, if we do not float off it will not be our fault.

HARD TIMES AND "REPUDIATION."

No man can imagine, all the languages of the world cannot express what the people of the United States suffered from 1873 to 1879. Men who considered themselves millionaires found that they were beggars; men living in palaces, supposing they had enough to give sunshine to the winter of their age, supposing they had enough to have all they loved in affluence and comfort, suddenly found that they were mendicants with bonds,

stocks, mortgages, all turned to ashes in their trembling hands. The chimneys grew cold, the fires in furnaces went out, the poor families were turned adrift, and the highways of the United States were crowded with tramps. Into the home of the poor crept the serpent of temptation and whispered the terrible word, "Repudiation." I want to tell you that you cannot conceive of what the American people suffered as they staggered over the desert of bankruptcy from 1873 to 1879. We are too near now to know how grand we were. The poor mechanic said, "No;" the ruined manufacturer said "No;" the once millionaire said, "No; we will settle fair, we will agree to pay whether we ever pay or not, and we will never soil the American name with the infamous word 'repudiation.'" Are you not glad? What is the talk? Are you not glad that our flag is covered all over with financial honors? The stars shine and gleam now because they represent an honest Nation. They said during that time, "We must have more paper," and the Republican party said, "Let us pay what we have." I am in favor of having that as money which no human being can create. I believe in gold and silver; I believe in silver because that is one of the great productions of our country, and when you add a use to a thing, you add a value to that thing, and I want silver money; but I want a silver dollar big enough to be worth a gold dollar, if you have to have it three feet in diameter.

HONEST MONEY.

Nothing is ever made by rascality. I do not want it understood that we are a Nation of coin clippers. I want honest dollars; honest dollars will make honest people;

that is to say, honest people will make an honest dollar every time. I only want money that is a product of nature. Now listen: No civilized nation, no tribe, however ignorant, ever used anything as money that man could make. They had always used for money a production of nature. Some may say, "Have not some uncivilized tribes used beads for money, something that civilized people could make?" Yes, but the savage tribe could not make the beads. The savage tribes supposed them to be a product either of nature or of something else that they could not imitate. Nothing has ever been considered money among any people of this globe that those people could make.

GREENBACKS.

What is a greenback! The greenbacks are a promise, not money. The greenbacks are the Nation's note, not money. You cannot make a fiat dollar any more than you can make a fiat store. You can make a promise, and that promise may be made by such a splendid man that it will pass among all who know him as a dollar; but it is not a dollar. You might as well tell me that a bill of fare is a dinner. The greenback is only good now because you can get gold for it. If you could not get gold for it, it would not be worth any more than a ticket for dinner after the fellow who issued the ticket had quit keeping the hotel. A dollar must be made of something that nature has produced. When I die, if I have a dollar left I want it to be a good one. I do not want a dollar that will turn into ashes in the hands of widowhood, or in the possession of the orphan. Take a coin of the Roman Empire—a little piece of gold—and it is just as good to-day as though Julius Cæsar still stood at the

head of the Roman legions. I do not wish to trust the wealth of this Nation with the demagogues of the Nation. I do not wish to trust the wealth of the country to every blast of public opinion. I want money as solid as the earth on which we tread, as bright as the stars that shine above us. (Applause.)

THE GREENBACKERS.

Now, then, we had such good luck given our notes; we had so much to eat and drink and wear that some Greenback gentleman said; "Why not keep it up?" I want to-day to pay a debt to the Greenback party. I endeavor to do equal and exact justice, and I believe to-day that if it had not been for the Greenback party we could not have resumed, and I will tell you why. The Greenbackers went into every school-house in the State, except the Southern States, where they would not allow them to speak, they went onto every stump, and they told the people, "The greenback is the best money the world has ever seen." They talked and they argued until millions of people began to despise the look of silver; they absolutely hated the color of gold; they said after all the talk, "The greenback is the money of civilization." Finally, when we said, "We will resume," the Greenback party had gotten the people into such a state of mind, had got them so in love with the greenback, that they did not ask for gold. If they had asked for gold, we would not have had enough. So to-day I want to thank the Greenback party for what they have done; but allow me in this connection to say the day of your usefulness is past. Thousands of men gave wrong definitions of money, and they helped to mislead thousands of people. They said, "Money is a measure of value;" they

said, "Money is a device to facilitate exchanges." Well that is calculated to mislead anybody. The Greenbackers said, "If it is only a device to facilitate exchanges, why is not a paper device just as good as a gold device?" You could not answer it; nobody can answer it. The trouble is that the first statement is untrue. Money is not "a device to facilitate exchanges," but the coining of money is a device to facilitate exchanges. Recollect the word, "coining." The only reason that coining was necessary was the Government had to tell how much there was, or else every man had to carry a pair of scales and be a chemist. So the coining of money is "a device to facilitate exchanges," but the money itself is gold and silver, the product of Nature herself. (Applause.)

HALF BUSHELS AND YARDSTICKS.

Then they said, "Money measures value as a half bushel measures corn, or as a yardstick measures cloth." That is not so. If it had been so, the Greenbackers would have been right, because if "money measures value as a half bushel, or as a yardstick," of course it makes no difference whether a half bushel or a yardstick is made of gold, silver or paper; but the statement is not true. Money does not measure values as a half bushel or as a yardstick, and why? The half bushel does not measure value; the yardstick does not measure value. The yardstick measures length, not value; it measures lace worth \$200 a yard precisely as it does cent tape, and you know it. A half bushel does not measure value; it measures quantity; and the half bushel would measure gold, and diamonds, and pearls precisely as it does oats and corn. There is another trouble about it. The reason it does

not make any difference whether a yardstick, or half bushel, or gold, or silver, or paper, is that you do not buy the half bushel or the yardstick. The man who owned the half bushel at the commencement of the trade, keeps it after the trade is over. The gentleman in possession of the yardstick before the purchase is made, keeps the yardstick after the purchase is done. If it were so with money, then it would not make any difference.

MONEY DOES NOT MAKE PROSPERITY.

Now, then, my friends, if there is a solitary Greenbacker here, now in the Democratic party, that once belonged to the Republican party, I ask him to come out. I ask him to admit that to-day we have got money enough. I want him to admit that an amount of money does not make prosperity, but prosperity makes the money. I want him to admit that when the country is prosperous then every man trusts his neighbor, but if you buy a pound of sugar on credit then you inflate the currency. If you give your note for a horse, then you inflate the currency; if you give a mortgage or deed of trust, you inflate the currency; and every fellow that says, "Charge it," inflates the currency. (Laughter, and a voice, "That's so.") So that in times of prosperity—that is to say, that in times of general confidence—we have all the money we want.

Suppose you should go to a man that owned a ferry-boat, and there had been no rain for six months, and the river was entirely dry, and the ferry-boat was upon the sand, with seams gaping open like your average Democrat hearing a speech that he does not understand—I might say in connection a speech about the Constitution

—and suppose you should ask that man, “How is business?” and he should say, “Dull;” and suppose you tell him, “Now, what you want is more boats.” He would be apt to answer, “I can get along with this one if I only had a little more water.” (Great laughter.) I want every man to think, and get that heresy out of his head, that a Government can make money; and I will ask each one this question—and I have never seen any man who could answer it—now, honor bright, if the Government can make money, why should it collect taxes? Just think about that. (A voice, “Who does make the money?”) Sir, Nature makes all the gold and all the silver, and the Nation coins the gold and coins the silver so that each man who sees it may know what it is worth. (Applause.)

PAPER NOT MONEY.

That is what I understand by money, and all paper that takes the place of money is simply a promise to pay that money. You cannot make money by resolving; you cannot make money by law any more than you can make oats and corn by resolution in a political meeting. Lord! Lord! I wish you could! I wish this Government could make money. What a rich Nation we would be. If the Government can make money, why does it collect taxes? Why should the sun borrow a candle? Here is a poor man working upon his farm the whole year, through rain and shine and storm, day and night, and at the end of the year people come to him and want \$125 taxes. If the Government can make a \$1,000 bill in a second, why should it follow up that poor man? I wish the Government could make money, and that I could get my share now. I regret that the Aladdin palace made by the

Greenback party consisted only of glorified mist. I am sorry that its dome was only a rainbow of hope. I wish it had been a reality. I wish the Government could make money out of paper, so that the luxuries of the world would be at American feet. I wish we could make money so that we could put every poor man in a palace. I wish we could make money, so that our life should be a continual and perpetual feast. But the trouble is, we can't; that is the trouble.

MONEY GOOD EVERYWHERE IN THE WORLD.

Suppose a man had bought a farm for \$10,000, and given his note for it, and he had bought a carriage and span of horses, and sent John to college, and bought Mary a piano; and gave his notes; and at the end of the year, when the interest became due, he gave his note, and the next year the holders came and said, "You must settle," and he said to them, "I never had a better time in my life than while I have been giving these notes; we have had more to eat than we ever had before; the house has been filled with music and dancing; I have ridden in a carriage; I have good clothes; now, why not let this thing go on. I am willing to renew my notes until Gabriel's trumpet stops the business." (Great laughter.) Upon my word I am sorry that can't be done, but it can't. We have got to work; we have got to dig in the ground to raise oats and corn. So far as I am concerned I had rather trust the miserly crevices of honest rocks for the money of this world than to leave it to any Congress ever assembled on earth.

The gold won't cheat you; it is own redeemer. The silver won't fool you; there it is, and when you have got

it, you know how much you are worth. We are a commercial Nation, and I hope the time will come when the American flag will float in every part of the world; and when that time comes we want money that will go the world around. Probably it will be paper, but behind every dollar of paper I want a dollar in silver or gold. I want American money to be so good that when you take it out of your pocket. no matter if it is in Central Africa, no matter if it is in the furthestmost isles of the Pacific Sea, that when a barbarian sees it, its rustle will sound to him like the clink of gold. I want money that we can be proud of the world over, and so do you. I don't want the honesty of this country to be represented by any irredeemable rag, and you don't if you will think about it a little while.

FINANCIAL HONOR.

Now, I beg evry Greenbacker that was ever in the Republican party to come back, and vote where he belongs. You are in bad company. Come baek. Now, what else do you want? We want free speech; don't forget it. We want an honest ballot; remember it. We want to collect a revenue to support our Government, and we want honest money. What else do we want? We want a Government wherein the law is supreme. We want States that will pay their debts. Whom can we trust? The South or North? (A voice, "The North all the time," and applause.) Had you rather have a bond of Alabama or Illinois? (A voice, "That's it.") Will you take the promise of Arkansas or of Massachusetts? Think about it. Will you invest in the securities of Tennessee or of Pennsylvania? Think about it. Who are you go-

ing to trust? All this debt has got to be paid; every acre of our land is mortgaged; we have mortgaged honor and industry and children. Who will you trust? The financial honor of the United States; think about it. Who can we trust? We believe in a Government of law; we believe in civilization. Which section of this country believes in law? Which section of this country believes in protecting the innocent, and in the punishment of the guilty? What part of the Nation should control? That part that believes in education; that part that regards the school house as a temple; that part that believes in justice; that believes a court house, where justice is done between man and man, is one of the holy places on this earth; that believes in argument, in reason, in moral suasion, and that believes in liberty? Or will you allow a section of this country to control that does not believe in a government of law? That is the question for you to answer. For one, I say to-day, that I stand with the great, splendid, patriotic, enormous North, and I expect to as long as I live. (Applause.)

INTELLIGENCE NOT THE DOCTRINE OF HATRED.

But they say to me, "You are preaching the doctrine of hatred." It is not true, I believe in passing the same laws for the South that we do for the North? The law that is good for the North is good for the South, no matter how hot it is. A law that is good for the North is good for the South; climate has no influence upon justice. The mercury can not rise high enough to make wrong right. If climate affected law, we ought to have two sets of laws in this country—one for the winter and one for the summer. I would give to them the same laws

that we have; I would improve their rivers; I would build up their commerce; I would improve their harbors; I would treat them in every respect precisely as though every man voted the Republican ticket. Then, if that is hatred, that is the doctrine I preach; I know they are as they have to be; I know they are as their institutions made them. Every Southern man and every Northern man is a result of an infinite number of forces behind. They are what they are because they have to be, and there is only one lever capable of rising them, and that is intelligence. And I propose to keep them out of power until they have the intelligence. I do not hate them. They probably did as well under the circumstances, as well as we would have done under the same circumstances. But as long as they are wrong I do not wish to see them in power. That is all the hatred I have.

STATE SOVEREIGNTY.

Now there is one other thing, and nothing can by any possibility, in this country, be more important. The great difference to-day between the Democratic and Republican party is that the Democratic party believes this is a simple confederation. The Democratic party believes in what we call State sovereignty, and the Republican party proclaims this country to be a Nation, one and indivisible. There is the difference. The South believe this is a mere confederacy, and they are honest; they were willing to fight for it; they are willing to fight for it now; they are willing to commit frauds for it; they are willing to use the shot gun to uphold it; they are willing to use tissue ballots to substantiate it, and they believe it. Now the question with us is, whether we will put a party in power, knowing as we do know, that the

principal part of that party absolutely believe in the doctrine of State sovereignty. They believe in the sacredness of a State line. In old times, in the year of grace 1860, if a man wished the army of the United States to pursue a fugitive slave, then the army could cross the State line. Whenever it became necessary to deprive some human being of a right, then we had a right to cross State lines; but whenever we wished to strike the shackles of slavery from a human being, we had no right to cross a State line. In other words, when you want to do a mean thing you can step over the line, but if your object is a good one you shall not do it. This doctrine of State sovereignty is the meanest doctrine ever lodged in the American mind. It is political poison, and if this country is destroyed that doctrine will have done as much toward it as any other one thing. I believe the Union one absolutely.

NATIONAL PROTECTION.

The Democrats tell me that when I am away from home the Government will protect me; but when I am home, when I am sitting around the family fireside of the Nation, then the Government can not protect me, that I must leave if I want protection. Now, I denounce that doctrine. For instance, we are at war with another country, and the American Nation comes to me and says: "We want you." I say: "I won't go." They draft me, put some names in a wheel, and a man turns it and another man pulls out a paper, and my name is on it, and he says, "Come." So I go, and I fight for the flag. When the war is over, I go back to my State. Now, let us admit that the war had been unpopular, and

that when I got to the State, the people of that State wished to trample upon my rights, and I cried out to my Government: "Come and defend me; you made me defend you." What ought the Government to do? I only owe that Government allegiance that owes me my protection. Protection is the other side of the bargain; that is what it must be. And if a Government ought to protect even the man that it drafts, what ought it do for the volunteer, the man who holds his wife for a moment in a tremulous embrace, and kisses his children, wets their cheeks with his tears, shoulders his musket, goes to the field, and says, "Here I am to uphold my flag." (Applause.) A Nation that will not protect such a protector is a disgrace to mankind, and its flag a dirty rag that contaminates the air in which it waves. I believe in a Government with an arm long enough to reach the collar of any rascal beneath its flag. I want it with an arm long enough, and a sword sharp enough, to strike down tyranny wherever it may rise its snaky head. I want a Nation that can hear the faintest cries of its humblest citizen. I want a Nation that will protect a freedman standing in the sun by his little cabin, just as quick as it would protect Vanderbilt in a palace of marble and gold. (Applause.) I believe in a Government that can cross a State line on an errand of mercy. I believe in a Government that can cross a State line when it wishes to do justice. I do not believe that the sword turns to air at a State line. I want a Government that will protect me. I am here to-day—do I stand here because the flag of Illinois is above me? I want no flag of Illinois, and if I were to see it I should not know it—I am here to-day under the folds of the flag of my country, for

which more good, blessed blood has been shed than for any other flag that waves in this world. I have as much right to speak here as if I had been born here. That is the country in which I believe; that is the Nation that commands my respect, that protects all. This doctrine of State sovereignty has to be done away with; we have got to stamp it out. Let me tell you its history: The first time it appeared was when they wished to keep the slave trade alive until 1808. The first resort to this doctrine was for the protection of piracy and murder, and the next time they appealed to it was to keep the slave trade alive, so that a man in Virginia could sell the very woman who nursed him, to the rice fields of the South. It was done so that they could raise mankind as a crop. It was a crop that they could thrash the year around. The next time that they appealed to the doctrine was in favor of the Fugitive Slave Law, so that every white man in the North was to become a hound, to bay upon the track of the fugitive slave. Under that law the North agreed to catch women and give them back to the blood-hounds of the South. Under that infamy men and women were held and were kidnapped under the shadow of the dome of the National Capitol. If the Democratic party had remained in power it would be so now. The South said: "Be friends with us; all we want is to steal labor; be friends with us; all we want of you is to have you catch our slaves; be friends with us; all we want of you is to be in partnership in the business of slavery, and we are to take all the money, and you are to have the disgrace and dishonor for your share." The dividend didn't suit me. (Laughter.)

The next time they appealed to the doctrine of State

rights was that they might extend the area of human slavery; it was that they might desecrate the fair fields of Kansas. The next time they appealed to this infamous doctrine was, in secession and treason; so now, when I hear any man advocate this doctrine, I know that he is not a friend of my country; he is not a friend of humanity, of liberty or progress.

There is another reason why I am opposed to the Democratic party. We have not only got parties to trust, we have got sections of the country to trust. They say, 'Are we never to be friends of the South?' Yes, when the South admits that they were wrong. When they get up to that point, they will know that whoever is conquered by right is after all the victor; they will know that every man that was whipped by freedom remains a conqueror upon the field; every man trampled down by right rises like a god; and when they get great enough to understand this philosophy, they will be glad they didn't succeed; they will know that defeat was their only possible road to success. (Applause.) We, having saved them from the crime of slavery, have made it possible for them to go abreast with us with the great march of human progress, and the time will come when the South will rejoice we succeeded, because the right was victorious.

GENERAL HANCOCK.

Now, we not only have to choose between sections, and between parties, but also between men. The Democratic party has nominated General Hancock for President and Mr. English for Vice President. For several years last past the Democratic party has been doing all in its power, or pretending to do all in its power, to de-

stroy the army and the National banks, and in order to show that it is sincere it nominates for President a Major-General in that very army, and also nominates for the second place on the ticket a President of a National Bank. Now you know they are honest. I have not one word to say against General Hancock. No doubt he was a good, brave, splendid soldier; but if he was right at Gettysburg, he is wrong now; if he believed in State rights then, he had no right to trample that right between the hoofs of his horse. The South

WENT AT GETTYSBURG

believing in State sovereignty. Lee believed in it. Jackson fought for it, and Hampton swears that the cause of Democracy to-day is the same cause that Lee and Jackson fought for. Hampton, an honorable man, told the truth. Who has changed since the battle of Gettysburg, Hancock or the South, The South remains where it was, firm as ever; the men who shot at him there wish to vote for him now. They have not changed. Who has? Hancock is a soldier, I know, but a few of his ideas in regard to government—all I know I get from Order No. 40, from his letter of acceptance, which is in general terms an approval of the constitution (laughter), and from two or three letters and telegrams that he has written and sent since his nomination. They say that by Order No. 49 General Hancock showed that he was in favor of exalting the civil power above the military. That order did no such thing; that order tells the General that he must not interfere unless for the purpose of keeping order. Who under that order would decide whether there was order, the General or the civil power? Under that

order the General was to decide whether there was order or disorder. From his decision there was no appeal, and Order No 49 puts the civil power beneath the feet of the military authorities, and everybody knows it that has sense to read. General Hancock, too, the other day had the kindness to certify that if his party did wrong he would not. He tells the American people in substance: "Of course you cannot trust the Democracy, but you can rely on me. (Great laughter.) If my party passes a law to pay the Southern claims, I now give you my honor that I will defeat that party that exalts me to power." (Laughter and applause.) In other words, he agrees to veto the bill in advance; he agrees even before he is elected President. He swears how he will use a certain discretionary power vested in him by the Constitution, and he cannot foresee what the circumstances will be; yet in advance he solemnly swears what his better judgment will be then. He knows exactly how discreet he will be. (Laughter.) He certifies to the American people that he will veto any law that the party may pass for the

PAYMENT OF SOUTHERN CLAIMS.

How did he ever come to suspect that his party would pass such a law? (Laughter and prolonged applause.) Garfield has written no letter that he will veto a law to pay Southern claims. Is it not a little strange that the candidate has to certify to his party? As a rule, in this country, the party has always certified to the candidate. If General Garfield would certify that he would veto a certain law if it was passed by the Republican party, the whole party would feel insulted. We would say to him: "We will take care of ourselves; when you become Presi-

dent, exercise your power as in your discretion you believe you ought, but do not certify to the moral character of the Republican party." Why did Hancock think it necessary to certify to their character? Because he knew it is bad. He really thought the American people had more confidence in him than in the Democratic party; for that reason he steps to the front and says to the country: "I will not allow these ragamuffins behind me—I will not allow these rebels who placed me in power—I will not allow them to pass a law that I don't want.

He says, "I admit they are bad; look at them. I admit you cannot trust them, but between the hungry horde and the American people, I promise to throw the shield of my veto." He says, "Ladies and gentlemen, I will protect you from this party. All I want of these men is to make me President, and then I will protect you and let them go to the Devil." General Hancock might die; Death might veto him. From the grave he could not carry out his promise, and who comes in then? Mr. English. Death has never yet elected a good President in the United States, yet Death has always made a frightful mistake. Read the letter of acceptance made by Mr. English, and tell me whether you are willing to trust that man. Read his history. A man who has done nothing but loan money, take deeds of trust on the "life, liberty and pursuit of happiness" of the people, and then foreclose the deed, and yet, after nominating that man the Democratic party passes a resolution that they will save the people from

THE CORMORANTS.

It won't do; we don't want him. I had rather trust a

party than any man; so would you—you had rather trust the Republican party than simply General Hancock. He says: "I am a shepherd; I will take care of the sheep; I admit that my followers are wolves." Well, I say, rather than have the wolves we will dispense with you. (Applause and laughter.) What are the ideas of this soldier? What are his ideas about money! He was a hard-money man they tell me. Mr. Bayard, the representative of hard money, a man who once in the Senate voted to pay the bonds in depreciated money, and to pay them at the same price at which they were originally sold, that man now says: "As fast as we redeem a greenback let us burn it up; let us put the greenback out of the country; when he knows the greenback bears no interest; when he knows it is gold. What are the opinions, I say, of General Hancock? I say he is for hard money, and yet when a Greenbacker carried Maine, he congratulated him. Why should he do that if he is a believer in hard money? Why should he be delighted because a believer in paper money carried the State of Maine? I don't know. Maybe, after all, he was not so glad that the Greenbackers carried the State as that the Republicans lost it. What does that man believe in? Does he believe in free trade? I don't know. What kind of a tariff does he want? I don't know. What is his opinion about things of interest to every man here? I don't know. You do not know. I would like to hear from him. I wish we had heard from him years and years ago. In 1868 he was opposed to all legislation that has made the negro a citizen. In 1868 he was opposed to all the

LEGISLATION GROWING OUT OF THE WAR.

Only a little while ago he was in favor of soft money;

only a little while ago he said that we never could redeem; only a little while ago he was a Democrat of that school; and now we are told he is a hard-money man. Now we are told he is in favor of the constitutional amendments. Now we are told he is in favor of an honest vote everywhere. It won't do.

GARFIELD.

On the other hand, we have a man who is a trained statesman, who has discussed those questions time and time again, and whose opinions are well known to all the intelligent people of this Union. He was as good a soldier as Hancock was. (A voice, "A volunteer," and applause.) The man who makes up his mind in a time of profound peace to make war the business of his life; the man who is adopted by the Government; the man who makes war his profession, is, in my judgment, no better than the man who in time of peace would rather follow the avocations of peace, and who, when war comes, when the blast of conflict blows in his ears, buckles on his sword and fights for his native land, and, when the war is over, goes back to the avocations of peace. (Applause.) I say that Garfield was as good a soldier as Hancock, and I say that Garfield took away from the field of Chickamauga as much honor as one man can carry. He is a trained statesman. He knows what he is talking about, and he talks about it well. I have known him for years. I know him as well as I know any other man, and I tell you that he has more brains, more education, wider and more splendid views, than any other man who has been nominated for the Presidency since I was born. (Applause.)

GARFIELD NOT A BIGOT.

Some people say to me: "How can you vote for Garfield, when he is a Christian and a preacher?" I tell them I have two reasons; one is I am not a bigot, and the other is, General Garfield is not a bigot. He does not agree with me; I do not agree with him on thousands of things; but on the great luminous principle that every man must give to every other man every right that he claims for himself we do absolutely agree. (Applause.) I would despise myself if I would vote against a man simply because we differed about what is known as religion. I will vote for a liberal Catholic, a liberal Presbyterian, a liberal Methodist, a liberal anything, ten thousand times quicker than I would vote for an illiberal free-thinker. (Applause.) I believe in the right. I believe in doing to other people in these matters as I would like to have them do to me.

General Garfield is an honest man every way; intellectual every way. He is a poor man; he is rich in honor, in integrity he is wealthy, and in brains he is a millionaire. I know him, and if the people of Illinois knew him as well as I do, he would not lose 100 votes in this State. He is a great, good, broad, kind, tender man, and he will do, if elected President, what he believes to be right. (Applause.) I like him, too, because he is a certificate of the splendid form of our Government. I like him because, under our institutions, he came from abject poverty to occupy the position he now does before the American people. He will make Hope the tailor of every ragged boy. He will make every boy think it possible, no matter how poor he is, no matter how hungry he may be, he will make every one of those boys believe

that there is in their horizon some one beckoning them to glory and to honor. (Applause.) That is the reason I like this country, because

EVERYBODY HAS A CHANCE.

I like it because the poorest man can live hoping his boy may occupy the highest place. That is the reason I like this country. That is one of the reasons I want to see General Garfield elected. He believes in honor, he believes in liberty; he believes in an honest ballot; he believes in collecting the revenues; he believes in good money; he believes in a Government of law; he believes that this is absolutely a Nation, and not a Confederacy, and I believe in him. —(Applause.) Throwing aside, throwing to the winds, all prejudice, all partizanship, all hatreds, I beg of every one who hears me to conscientiously decide for himself what, under the circumstances, as a man, as a patriot, as a lover of justice, he ought to do. That is all I want you to do. Be honor bright. Do not be led away by the appeals of gentlemen who once belonged to the Republican party. Vote to sustain the greatest possible cause—human liberty. I know and appreciate what our liberty has cost. We are reaping to-day the benefits of the sufferings of every hero who ever died. We are to-day a great, a united, and a splendid people, simply because somebody was great and good enough to die that we might live. Now, do you believe if the dead could rise from their graves—the men fallen on all the battlefields of the war—could they rise from the unknown graves that make this continent sacred, how would they vote next November? Think of it. Let us be true to the memory of every man that ever died for us. (Applause.)

VOTING WITH REBELS.

Let me ask you another question. How do the men who wished to destroy the Government wish you to vote now? How would every rebel in the South, could he have come to the North, have voted in 1864? How would every rebel in the South, if he could have visited the North, how would he have voted in 1868, in 1872, in 1876? How would Jefferson Davis vote if he were in the North to-day? How would the men that starved our prisoners at Andersonville and Libby—and Andersonville and Libby are the mighty, mighty wings that will bear the memory of the Confederacy to eternal infamy (applause)—how would the men who starved our brave boys their vote if they were in Illinois now? Every one of them would hurrah for Hancock.

HOW TO VOTE.

Let us be honest. We are reaping the reward of all these great and glorious actions, and every good man who has ever lived in the country, no matter whether he has been persecuted or not, has made the world better.

The other night I happened to notice a sunset. The sun went down and the West was full of light and fire, and I said: "There, there is the perfect death of a great man; that sun, dying, leaves a legacy of glory to the very clouds that obstruct its path. (Applause.) That sun, like a great man, dying, leaves a legacy of glory even to the ones who persecuted him, and the world is glorious only because there have been men great enough and grand enough to die for the right." (Applause.) Will any man, can any man, afford to die for this country? Then we can afford to vote for it. If a man can afford to

fight for it and die for it, I can afford to speak for it.

And now I beg of you, every man and woman, no matter in what country born—if you are an Irishman, recollect that this country has done more for your race than all other countries under heaven (applause); if you are a German, recollect that this country is kinder to you than your own fatherland—no matter what country you come from, remember that this country is an asylum, and vote as in your conscience you believe you ought to vote to keep this flag in heaven. I beg every American to stand with that part of the country that believes in law, in freedom of speech, in an honest vote, in civilization, in progress, in human liberty, and in universal justice.





THE NORTH AND SOUTH.

Ingersoll's Speech at New York. Oct. 23,
1880.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:—Years ago I made up my mind that there is no particular argument in slander. I made up my mind that for parties as well as individuals honesty in the long run is the best policy. I made up my mind that the people were entitled to know a man's honest thoughts, and I propose to-night to tell you exactly what I think.

And it may be well enough, in the first place, for me to say that no party has a mortgage upon me. I am the sole proprietor of myself. No party, no organization has any deed of trust on what little brains I have, and as long as I can get my part of the common air I am going to tell my honest thoughts. One man in the right will finally get to be a majority. I am not going to say a word to-night that every Democrat here will not know is true. and whatever he may say with his mouth, I will compel him in his heart to give three cheers.

In the first place, I wish to admit that if it had not been for the War Democrats of the North, we never

would have put down the Rebellion. Let us be honest. I further admit that had it not been for other than War Democrats there never would have been a Rebellion to put down. War Democrats! Why did they call them war Democrats? Did you ever hear anybody talk about a War Republican? We spoke of War Democrats to those Democrats who were in favor of peace upon any terms.

I also wish to admit that the Republican party is not absolutely perfect. While I believe that it is the best party that ever existed, while I believe that it has within its organization more heart, more brain, more patriotism than any other organization that ever existed beneath the sun, I still admit that it is not entirely perfect. I admit, in its great things, in its splendid efforts to preserve this Nation, in its grand effort to keep our flag in heaven, in its magnificent effort to free four million of slaves, in its great and sublime effort to save the financial honor of this Nation, I admit that it has made some mistakes.

In its great effort to do right it has sometimes by mistake done wrong. And I also wish to admit that the great Democratic party, in its effort to get office, has sometimes by mistake done right. You see that I am inclined to be perfectly fair.

I am going with the Republican party, because it is going my way; but if it ever turns to the right or left, I intend to go straight ahead.

In every Government there is something that ought to be preserved; in every Government there are many things that ought to be destroyed. Every good man, every patriot, every lover of the human race wishes to

preserve the good and destroy the bad; and every one in this audience who wishes to preserve the good, will go with that section of our common country—with that party in our country that honestly believes will preserve the good and destroy the bad.

It takes a great deal of trouble to raise a good Republican. It is a vast deal of labor. The Republican party is the fruit of all ages—of self sacrifice and devotion. The Republican party is born of every good thing that was ever done in this world. The Republican party is the result of all martyrdom, of all heroic blood shed for the right. It is the blossom and fruit of the world's best endeavor. In order to make a Republican you have got to have schoolhouses. You have got to have newspapers and magazines. A good Republican is the best fruit of



civilization, of all there is of intelligence, of art, of music and of song. If you want to make Democrats let them

alone. The Democratic party is the settlings of this country. Nobody hoes weeds. Nobody takes especial pains to raise dog fennel, and yet it grows under the very hoof of travel. The seeds are sown by accident and gathered by chance. But if you want to raise wheat and corn you must plow the ground. You must defend and you must harvest the crop with infinite patience and toil. It is precisely that way—if you want to raise a good Republican you must work. If you wish to raise a Democrat give him wholesome neglect.

The Democratic party flatters the vices of mankind. That party says to the ignorant man:

“You know enough.”

It says to the vicious man:

“You are good enough.”

The Republican party says:

“You must be better next year than this.”

A man is a Republican because he loves something. Most men are Democrats because they hate something. A Republican takes a man, as it were, by the collar and says:

“You must do your best, you must climb the hill of infinite progress as long as you live.”

Now and then one gets tired. He says:

“I have climbed enough, and so much better than I expected to do that I don't wish to travel any further.”

Now and then one gets tired and lets go all hold, and he rolls down to the very bottom, and as he strikes the mud he springs upon his feet transfigured and says “Hurrah for Hancock.”

NO FREE SPEECH IN THE SOUTH.

There are things in this Government that I wish to

preserve, and there are things that I wish to destroy; and in order to convince you that you ought to go the same way that I am going, it is only fair that I give you my reasons:

„This is a Republic founded upon the intelligence and the patriotism of the people; and in every Republic it is absolutely necessary that there should be free speech. Free speech is the gem of the human soul. Words are the bodies of thought, and liberty gives to these words



wings, and the whole intellectual heavens are filled with thought. In a Republic every individual tongue has as right to the general ear. In a Republic every man has the right to give his reasons for the course he pursues to his fellow citizens, and when you say that a man shall not speak, you also say that others shall not hear.

When you say a man shall not express his honest thought you say his fellow citizens shall be deprived of honest thoughts; for of what use is it to allow the attorney for the defendant to address the jury if the jury has been bought? Of what use is it to allow the jury, if they bring in the verdict of "not guilty," if the defendant is to be hung by a mob? I ask you to-night, is not every solitary man here in favor of free speech? Is there a solitary Democrat here who dare say he is not in favor of free speech?

In what part of the country are the lips of thought free—in the South or in the North? What section of our country can you trust the inestimable gem of free speech with? Can you trust it with the gentlemen of Mississippi, or to the gentlemen of Massachusetts? Can you trust it to Alabama or to New York? Can you trust it to the South or can you trust it to the great and splendid North? Honor bright. Honor bright, is there any freedom of speech in the South? There never was and there is none to-night—and let me tell you why:

They had the institution of human slavery in the South which could not be defended at the bar of public reason. It was an institution that could not be defended in the high forum of human conscience. No man could stand there and defend the right to rob the cradle—none to defend the right to sell the babe from the breast of the agonized mother—none to defend the claim that lashes on a bare back are a legal tender for labor performed. Every man that lived upon the unpaid labor of others knew in his heart that he was a thief. And for that reason they did not wish to discuss that question. There upon the institution of slavery said, "You shall not speak; you

shall not reason," and the lips of free though were manacled. Every Democrat knows it as well as every Republican. There never was free speech in the South.

And what has been the result? And allow me to admit right here, because I want to be fair, there are thousands and thousands of most excellent people in the South—thousands of them. There are hundreds and hundreds of thousands there who would like to vote the Republican ticket. And whenever there is free speech there and whenever there is a free ballot there, they will vote the Republican ticket.

I say again, there are hundreds of thousands of good people in the South; but the institution of slavery prevented free speech, and it is a splendid fact in nature that you cannot put chains upon the limbs of others without putting corresponding manacles upon your own brain. When the South enslaved the negro, it also enslaved itself, and the result is an intellectual desert. No book has been produced, with one exception, that has added to the knowledge of mankind; no paper no magazine, no poet, no philosopher, no philanthropist, was ever raised in that desert. Now and then some one protested against that infamous institution, and he came as near being a philosopher as the society in which he lived permitted. Why is it that New England, a rock-clad land, blossoms like roses? Why is it that New York is the great Empire State of the Union? I will tell you. Because you have been permitted to trade in ideas. Because the lips of speech have been absolutely free for twenty years. We never had free speech in any State in this Union until the Republican party was born. That party has rocked the cradle of intellectual liberty, and

that is the reason I say it is the best party that ever existed in the wide, wide world.

I want to preserve free speech, and, as an honest man, I look about me:

“How can I best preserve it?”

By giving it to the North or South; to the Democracy or to the Republican party? And I am bound, as an honest man, to say free speech is safest with its earliest defenders. Where is there such a thing as a Republican mob to prevent the expression of an honest thought—where. The people of the South are allowed to come to the North; they are allowed to come to express their sentiments upon every stump in the great East, the great West, and the great Middle States; they go to Maine, to Vermont, and to all our States, and they are allowed to speak, and we give them a respectful hearing, and the meanest thing we do is to answer their argument.

I say to-night that we ought to have the same liberty to discuss these questions in the South that Southerners have in the North. And I say more than that, the Democrats of the North ought to compel the Democrats of the South to treat the Republicans of the South as well as the Republicans of the North treat them. We treat the Democrats well in the North. We treat them like gentlemen in the North; and yet they go into partnership with the Democracy of the South, knowing that the Democracy of the South will not treat Republicans in that section with fairness. A Democrat ought to be ashamed of that. If my friends will not treat other people as well as the friends of the other people treat me, I'll swap friends.

First, then, I am in favor of free speech, and I am going with that section of my country that believes in free speech. I am going with that party that has always upheld that sacred right. When you stop free speech, when you say that thought shall die in the womb of the brain—why, it would have the same effect upon the intellectual world that to stop springs at their sources would have upon the physical world. Stop the springs at their sources and they cease to gurgle, the streams cease to murmur, and the great rivers cease rushing to the embrace of the sea. So you stop thought. Stop thought in the brain in which it is born and theory dies; and the great ocean of knowledge to which all should be permitted to contribute, and from which all should be allowed to draw, becomes a vast desert of ignorance.

I have always said, and I say again, that the more liberty given away the more you have. There is room in this world for us all; and there is room enough for all of our thoughts; out upon the intellectual sea there is room for every sail, and in the intellectual air there is space for every wing. A man that exercises a right that he will not give to others is a barbarian. A State that does not allow free speech is uncivilized, and is a disgrace to the American Union.

THE PARTY OF AN HONEST BALLOT.

I am not only in favor of free speech, but I am also in favor of an absolutely honest ballot. There is one king in this country, there is one supreme Czar; and that is the legally expressed will of a majority of the people. The man who casts an illegal vote, the man who refuses to count a legal vote, poisons the fountain of power,

poisons the springs of justice, and is a traitor to the only king in this land.

The Government is upon the edge of Mexicanization through fraudulent voting.

The ballot-box is the throne of America; the ballot-box is the ark of the covenant. Unless we see to it that every man who has a right to vote votes, and unless we see to it that every honest vote is counted, the days of this republic are numbered.

When you suspect that a Congressman is not elected; when you suspect that a judge upon the bench holds his place by fraud, then the people will hold the law in contempt and will laugh at the decisions of courts, and then comes revolution and chaos. It is the duty of every good man to see to it that the ballot-box is kept absolutely pure. It is the duty of every patriot, whether he is Democrat or Republican—and I want to further admit that I believe a large majority of Democrats are honest in their opinions, and I know that all Republicans must be honest in their opinions.

It is the duty, then, of all honest men of both parties to see to it that only honest votes are cast and counted. Now, honor bright, which section of this Union can you trust the ballot-box with? Honor bright, can you trust it with the masked murderers who rode in the darkness of night to the hut of the freedman and shot him down, notwithstanding the supplication of his wife and the tears of his child? Can you trust it to the men who since the close of our war have killed more men simply because those men wished to vote, simply because they wished to exercise a right with which they had been clothed by the sublime heroism of the North—who have

killed more men than were killed on both sides during the war of 1812; than were killed on both sides in both wars? Can you trust them?

Can you trust the gentlemen who invented the tissue-ballot? Do you wish to put the ballot-box in the keeping of the shotgun, of the White Liners, of the Ku Klux? Do you wish to put the ballot-box in the keeping of men who openly swear they will not be ruled by a majority of American citizens if a portion of that majority is made of black men?

And I want to tell you right here, I like a black man who loves this country better than a white man who hates it. I think more of a black man who fought for our flag than for any white man who endeavored to tear it out of heaven. I like black friends better than white enemies. And I think more of a man black outside and white inside, than I do of one white outside and black inside.

I say, can you trust the ballot-box to the Democratic party? Read the history of the State of New York! Read the history of this great and beautiful city—the Queen of the Atlantic—read her history and tell us if you can implicitly trust Democratic returns? Honor bright.

I am not only, then, for free speech, but I am for an honest ballot; and in order that you may have no doubt left upon your mind as to which party is in favor of an honest vote I will call your attention to this striking fact. Every law that has been passed in every State in this Union for twenty long years, the object of which was to guard the American ballot-box, has been passed by the Republican party, and in every State where the Republican party has introduced such a bill for the purpose of mak-

it a law, in every State where such a bill has been defeated by the Democratic party. That ought to satisfy any reasonable man to satiety.

WHO SHALL COLLECT THE REVENUE?

I am not only in favor of free speech and an honest ballot, but I am in favor of collecting and disbursing the revenues of the United States. I want plenty of money to collect and pay the interest on our debt. I want plenty of money to pay our debt and preserve the financial honor of the United States. I want money enough to be collected to pay pensions to widows and orphans and to wounded soldiers. And the question is what section in this country can you trust to collect and disburse that revenue? Let us be honest about it. What section can you trust? In the last four years we have collected \$467,000 of the internal revenue taxes. We have collected principally from taxes upon high wines and tobacco, \$468,000,000, and in those four years we have seized, libeled and destroyed in the Southern States 3,875 illicit distilleries. And during the same time the Southern people have shot to death twenty-five revenue officers and wounded fifty-five others, and the only offense that the wounded and dead committed was an honest effort to collect the revenue of this country. Recollect it—don't you forget it. And in several Southern States to-day every revenue collector or officer connected with the revenue is furnished by the Internal Revenue Department with a breech-loading rifle and a pair of revolvers, simply for the purpose of collecting the revenue. I don't feel like trusting such people to collect the revenue of my Government.

During the same four years we have arrested and have indicted 7,084 Southern Democrats for endeavoring to defraud the revenue of the United States. Recollect—3,874 distilleries seized, 25 revenue officers killed, 55 wounded, and 7,084 Democrats arrested. Can we trust them?

The State of Alabama in its last Democratic Convention passed a resolution that no man should be tried in a Federal Court for a violation of the revenue law—that should be tried in a State Court! Think of it—he should be tried in a State Court! Let me tell you how it will come out if we trust the Southern States to collect this revenue.



A couple of Methodist ministers had been holding a revival for a few weeks; one said to the other that he thought it was time to take up a collection. When the hat was returned he found in it pieces of slate pencils and nails and buttons, but not a single solitary cent—not one—and his brother minister got up and looked at the contribution, and he said:

“Let us thank God!

And the owner of the hat said:

“What for?”

The brother replied:

“Because you have got the hat back.”


If we trust the South we won't get our hat back.

HONEST MONEY AND AN HONEST NATION.

I am next in favor of honest money. I am in favor of gold and silver; and paper with gold and silver behind it. I believe in silver, because it is one of the greatest of American products, and I am in favor of anything that will add to the value of American product. But I want a silver dollar worth a gold dollar, even if you make it or have to make it four feet in diameter. No Government can afford to be a clipper of coin. A great Republic cannot afford to stamp a lie upon silver or gold. Honest money, an honest people, an honest Nation. When our money is worth only 80 cents on the dollar, we feel 20 per cent. below par. When our money is good we feel good. When our money is at par that is where we are. I am a profound believer in the doctrine that for Nations, as well as men, honesty is the best, always, everywhere and forever.

What section of this country, what party will give us honest money—honor bright—honor bright? I have been told that during the war we had plenty of money. I never saw it. I lived years without seeing a dollar. I saw promises of dollars, but not dollars. And the green-back, unless you have gold behind it, is no more a dollar than a bill of fare is a dinner. You cannot make a paper dollar without taking a dollar's worth of paper. We must have paper that represents money. I want it issu-

ed by the Government, and I want behind every one of these dollars, either a gold or silver dollar, so that every



greenback under the sun can lift up its hand and swear, "I know that my redeemer liveth.

When we were running into debt, thousands of people mistook that for prosperity, and when we were paying they regarded it as adversity. Of course we had plenty when we bought on credit. No man has ever starved when his credit was good, if there was no famine

in that country. As long as we buy on credit we shall have enough. The trouble commences when the pay-day arrives. And I do not wonder that after the war thousands of people said:

"Let us have another inflation."

What party said, "No, we must pay the promise made in war?" Honor bright! The Democratic party had once been a hard money party, but it drifted from its metallic moorings and drifted off in the ocean of inflation. I understand it. A man, say, bought a piece of land for \$6,000; paid \$5,000 on it; gave a mortgage for \$1,000, and suddenly, in 1873, found that the land would not pay the other thousand. The land had resumed. And then he said, looking lugubriously at his note and mortgage:

"I want another inflation."

And I never heard a man call for it that he did not also say:

"If it ever comes, and I don't unload, you may shoot me."

It was very much as it is sometimes in playing poker, and I make this comparison, knowing that hardly a person here will understand it. I have been told that along toward morning the man that is ahead suddenly says:

"I have got to go home. The fact is, my wife is not well."

And the fellow who is behind says:

"Let us have another deal. I have my opinion of a fellow that will jump the game."

And so it was in the hard times of 1873. They said:

"Give us another deal; let us get our driftwood back into the center of the stream,"

And they cried out for more money. But the Republican party said:

"We do want more money, but no more promises. We have got to pay this first, and if we start out again upon that wide sea of promise we may never touch the shore."

THE FALLACY AND FOLLY OF FIAT DOLLARS.


A thousand theories were born of want; a thousand theories were born of the fertile brain of trouble; and these people said after all:

What is money? Why it is only a measure of value, just the same as a half bushel or yardstick."

True. And consequently it makes no difference whether your half-bushel is of wood, or gold, or silver, or paper; and it makes no difference whether your yardstick is gold or paper. But the trouble about the statement is this: A half-bushel is not a measure of value; it is a measure of quantity, and it measures rubies, diamonds and pearls precisely the same as corn and wheat. The yardstick is not a measure of value; it is a measure of length, and it measures lace, worth \$100 a yard, precisely as it does cent tape. And another reason why it makes no difference to the purchaser whether the half-bushel is gold or silver, or whether the yardstick is gold or paper, you don't buy the yard-stick; you don't get the half bushel in trade.

And if it was so with money—if the people that had the money at the start of the trade, kept it after the consummation of the bargain—then it wouldn't make any difference what your money was made of. But the trouble is the money changes hands. And let me say to-

night, money is a thing—it is a product of nature—and you can no more make a “fiat” dollar than you can a fiat star. I am in favor of honest money. Free speech is the brain of the Republic; an honest ballot is the breath of its life, and honest money is the blood that courses through its veins.



If I am fortunate enough to have a dollar when I die, I want it to be a good one; I do not wish to have it turn to ashes in the hands of widowhood, or become a Dem-

ocratic broken promise in the pocket of the orphan; I want it money. I saw not long ago a piece of gold bearing the stamp of the Roman Empire. The empire is dust, and over it has been thrown the mantle of oblivion, but that piece of gold is as good as though Juilius Cæsar were still riding at the head of the Roman Legion. I want money that will outlive the Democratic party. They told us—and they were honest about it—they said:

“When we have plenty of money we are prosperous.”

And I said:

When we are prosperous then we have credit, and credit inflates the currency.”

Whenever a man buys a pound of sugar and says, “Charge it,” he inflates the currency; whenever he gives his note he inflates the currency; whenever his word takes the place of money he inflates the currency. The consequence is that when we are prosperous credit takes the place of money, and we have what we call “plenty.” But you cannot increase prosperity simply by using promises to pay.

But I want to be fair, and I wish to-night to return my thanks to the Democratic party. You did a great and splendid work. You went all over the United States and you said upon every stump that a greenback was better than gold. You said:

“We have at last found the money of the poor man. Gold loves the rich; gold haunts banks and safes and vaults; but we have got money that will go around inquiring for a man that is broke. We have finally found money that will stay in a pocket with holes in it.”

But, after all, do you know that money is the most social thing in the world? If a fellow has got one dollar

in his pocket, and he meets another with two, do you know that dollar is actually homesick until he gets where the other two are? And yet the Greenbackers told us that they had finally invented money that would be the poor man's friend. They said:

"It is better than gold, better than silver," and they got so many men to believe it that when we resumed and said, "Here is your gold for your greenback," the fellow who had the greenback said, "We don't want it, the greenbacks are good enough for us."



Do you know, if they had wanted it we could not have given it to them. And so I return my thanks to the Greenback party. But allow me to say in this connection the days of their usefulness have passed forever.

Now I am not foolish enough to claim that the Republican party resumed. I am not silly enough to say that John Sherman resumed. But I will tell you what I do say. I say that every man who raised a bushel of corn or a bushel of wheat or a pound of beef or pork helped

to resume, I say that the gentle rain and the loving dew helped to resume. The soil of the United States impregnated by the loving sun helped to resume. The men that dug the coal and iron and the silver and the copper and the gold helped to resume. And the men upon whose foreheads fell the light of furnaces helped to resume. And the sailors who fought with the waves of the seas helped to resume.

I admit to-night that the Democrats earned their share of the money to resume with. All I claim in God's world is that the Republican party furnished the honesty to pay it over. That is what I claim; and the Republican party set the day. and the Republican party worked to fill the promise. That is what I say. And had it not been for the republican party this Nation would have been financially dishonored. I am for honest money, and I am for the payment of every dollar of our debt, and so is every Democrat now, I take it. But what did you say a little while ago? Did you say we could resume? No; you swore we could not, and you swore our bonds would be as worthless as the withered leaves of winter. And now when a Democrat goes to England and sees an American four per cent. quoted at 110 he kind of swells up, and says:

“That's the kind of a man I am.”

In that country he pretends that he was a Republican in this. And I don't blame him. And I don't begrudge him respectability when away from home. The Republican party is entitled to the credit of keeping this Nation grandly and splendidly honest. I say the Republican party is entitled to the credit of preserving the honor of this Nation.

THE STRUGGLE AFTER THE PANIC.

In 1873 came the crash, and all the languages of the world cannot describe the agonies suffered by the American people from 1873 to 1879. A man who thought he was a millionaire came to poverty, he found his stocks and bonds ashes in the paralytic hand of old age. Men who expected to live all their lives in the sunshine of joy found themselves beggars and paupers. The great factories were closed, the workman were demoralized, and the roads of the United States were filled with tramps. In the hovel of the poor and the palace of the rich came the serpent of temptation and whispered in the American ear the terrible word "Repudiation." But the Republican party said, "No, we have started toward the shining goal of resumption, and we never will turn back."

And the Republican party struggled until it had the happiness of seeing upon the broad, shining forehead of American labor the words "Financial Honor."

The Republican party struggled until every paper promise was as good as gold. And the moment we got back to gold then we commenced to rise again. We could not jump up until our feet touched something that they pressed against. And from that moment to this we have been going, going higher and higher, more prosperous every hour. And now they say, "Let us have a change."

When I am sick I want a change; and if I were a Democrat I would have a personal change. We are prosperous to-day, and we must keep so. We are back to gold and silver. Let us stay there, and let us stay with the party that brought us there.

A NATION, NOT A CONFEDERACY.

Now, I am not only in favor of free speech and an honest ballot-box and an honest collection of the revenue of the United States, and an honest money, but I am in favor of the idea of the great and splendid truth that this is a Nation one and indivisible. I deny that we are a confederacy bound together by ropes of cloud and chains of mist. This is a Nation, and every man in it owes his first allegiance to the grand old flag for which more brave blood was shed than for any other flag that waves in the sight of heaven.

The Southern people say this is a confederacy and they are honest in it. They fought for it, they believed it. They believe in the doctrine of State Sovereignty, and many Democrats of the North believe the same doctrine. No less a man than Horatio Seymour—standing, it may be, at the head of the Democratic statesman—said, if he has been correctly reported, only the other day, that he despised the word “Nation.” I bless that word. I owe my first allegiance to that Nation, and it owes its first protection to me. I am talking here to-night, not because I am protected by the flag of New York. I would not know the flag if I should see it. I am talking here and have the right to talk here because the flag of my country is above us. I have the same right as though I had been born upon this very platform. I am proud of New York because it is part of my country. I am proud of my country because it has got such a State as New York in it, and I will be prouder of New York on a week from next Tuesday, than ever before in my life. I despise the doctrine of State Sovereignty. I believe in the

rights of the States, but not in the sovereignty of the States. States are political conveniences. Rising above States as the Alps above valleys are the rights of man. Rising above the Government even in this Nation are the sublime rights of the people. Governments are good only so long as they protect human rights. But the rights of man should never be sacrificed upon the altar of the State or upon the altar of the Nation.

STATE SOVEREIGNTY AND HUMAN SLAVERY.


Let me tell you a few objections that I have got to State Sovereignty. That doctrine has never been appealed to for any good. The first time it was appealed to was when our Constitution was made. And the object then was to keep the slave trade open until the year 1808. The object then was to make the sea the highway of piracy—the object then was to allow Americans to go into the business of selling men and women and children, and feed their cargo to the sharks of the sea, and the sharks of the sea were as merciful as they. That was the first time that the appeal to the doctrine of State Sovereignty was made, and the next time was for the purpose of keeping alive the inter-state of slave trade, so that a gentleman in Virginia could sell his slaves to the rice and cotton plantations of the South. Think of it! It was made so they could rob the cradle in the name of the law. Think of it! Think of it! And the next time they appealed to the doctrine of State Sovereignty was in favor of the Fugitive Slave Law—a law that made a bloodhound of every Northern man; that made charity a crime. A law that made love a State prison offense; that branded the forehead of charity as if it were a felon.

Think of it! A law that, if a woman ninety-nine one hundredths white had escaped slavery, had traversed forests, had been torn by briars, had crossed rivers, had traveled at night and in darkness, and had finally got within one step of free soil with the whole light of the North star shining in her tear-filled eyes, with her little babe upon her withered bosom—a law that declared it the duty of the Northern men to clutch that woman and turn her back to the domination of the hound and lash. I have no respect for the man living or dead that voted for that law, I have no respect for any man who would carry it out. I never had.

The next time they appealed to the doctrine of State Sovereignty was to increase the area of human slavery, so that the bloodhound, with clots of blood dripping from his loose and hanging jaws, might traverse the billowy plains of Kansas. Think of it! The Democratic party then said the Federal Government then had a right to cross the State line. And the next time they appealed to that infamous doctrine was in defense of secession and treason—a doctrine that cost us six millions of dollars—a doctrine that cost four hundred thousand lives; a doctrine that filled our country with widows, our homes with orphans. And I tell you the doctrine of State Sovereignty is the viper in the bosom of this Republic, and if we do not kill this viper it will kill us.

The Democrats tell us that in the olden time the Federal Government had a right to cross a State line to put the shackles upon the limbs of men. It had a right to cross a State line to trample upon the rights of human beings, but now it has no right to cross those lines upon an errand of mercy or justice. We are told that now,

when the Federal Government wishes to protect a citi-



zen, a State line rises like a Chinese wall, and the sword of Federal power turns to air the moment it touches one of those lines. I deny it, and I despise, abhor and execrate the Doctrine of State Sovereignty.

The Democrats tell us that if we wish to be protected by the Federal Government we must leave home, I wish they would try it for about ten days. They say the Federal Government can defend a citizen in England, France, Spain, or Germany, but cannot defend a child of the Republic sitting around the family hearth. I deny it. A Government that cannot protect its citizens at home is unfit to be called a Government. I want a Government with an arm long enough and a sword sharp enough to cut down treason wherever it may raise its serpent head. I want a Government that will protect a freedman standing by his little log hut, with the same efficiency that it would protect Vanderbilt living in a palace of marble and gold.

Humanity is a sacred thing, and manhood is a thing to be preserved. Let us look at it. For instance, here is war, and the Federal Government says to a man, "We want you," and he says, "No I don't want to go," and then they put a lot of pieces in a wheel and on one of those pieces is his name and another man turns the crank and then they pull it out and there is his name, and they say "Come," and so he goes.

And they stand him in front of the brazen throated guns; they make him fight for his native land, and when the war is over he goes home and he finds the war has been unpopular in his neighborhood, and they tramp upon his rights, and he says to the Federal Government, "Protect me." And he says to that Government, "I owe my allegiance to you. You must protect me." What will you say of that Government if it says to him, "You must look to your State for protection." "Ah, but," he says, "my State is the very power trampling upon me," and, of course, the robber is not going to send for the police. It is the duty of the Government to defend even its drafted men; and if that is the duty of the Government, what shall I say to the volunteer, who for one moment holds his wife in a tremulous and agonized embrace, kisses his children, shoulders his musket goes to the field, and says, "Here I am, ready to die for my native land." A nation that will not defend its volunteer defenders is a disgrace to the man of this world. A flag that will not protect its protectors is a dirty rag that contaminates the air in which it waves This is a Nation. Free speech is the brain of the Republic; an honest ballot is the breath of its life; honest money is the blood of its veins; and the idea of nationality is its great beating, throbbing

heart. I am for a Nation. And yet the Democrats tell me that it is dangerous to have centralized power. How would you have it? I believe in the localization of power; I believe in having enough of it localized in one place to be effectively used; I believe in a localization of brain. I suppose Democrats would like to have it spread all over your body, and they act as though theirs was.

PROTECTING AMERICAN LABOR.

There is another thing in which I believe—I believe in the protection of American labor. The hand that holds Aladdin's lamp must be the hand of toil. This Nation rests upon the shoulders of its workers, and I want the American laboring man to have enough to wear; I want him to have enough to eat; I want him to have something for the ordinary misfortunes of life; I want him to have the pleasure of seeing his wife well dressed; I want him to see a few blue ribbons fluttering about his children, I want him to see the flags of health flying in their beautiful cheeks; I want him to feel that this is his country, and the shield of protection is above his labor.

And I will tell you why I am for protection, too. If we were all farmers we would be stupid. If we were all shoemakers we would be stupid. If we all followed one business, no matter what it was, we would become stupid. Protection to American labor diversifies American industry, and to have it diversified touches and develops every part of the human brain. Protection protects integrity; it protects intelligence; and protection raises sense, and by protection we have greater men and better looking women and healthier children. Free Trade means that our laborer is upon an equality with the

poorest paid labor of this world. And allow me to tell you that for an empty stomach, "Hurrah for Hancock" is a poor consolation. I do not think much of a government where the people do not have enough to eat. I am a materialist to that extent—I want something to eat. I have been in countries where the laboring man had meat once a year; sometimes twice—Christmas and Easter. And I have seen women carrying upon their heads a burden that no man in the audience could carry, and at the same time knitting busily with both hands, and those women lived without meat; and when I thought of the American laborer, I said to myself, "After all, my country is the best in the world." And when I came back to the sea and saw the old flag flying in the air, it seemed to me as though the air from pure joy had burst into blossom.

Labor has more to eat and more to wear in the United States than in any other land of this earth. I want America to produce everything that Americans need. I want it so if the whole world should declare war against us, so if we were surrounded by walls of cannons and bayonets and swords, we could supply all our human wants in and of ourselves. I want to live to see the American woman dressed in American silk; the American man in everything from hat to boots produced in America, by the cunning hand of the American toiler. I want to see workingmen have a good house, painted white, grass in the front yard, carpets on its floor, pictures on the wall. I want to see him a man feeling that he is a king by the divine right of living in the Republic. And every man here is just a little bit a king, you know. Every man here is a part of the sovereign power. Every man

wears a little of purple, every man has a little of crown and a little of scepter; and every man that will sell his vote for money or be ruled by prejudice is unfit to be an American citizen,

A believe in American labor, and I tell you why. The other day a man told me that we had produced in the United States of America one million tons of rails. How much are they worth? Sixty dollars a ton. In other words, the million tons are worth \$60,000,000. How much is a ton of iron worth in the ground? Twenty-five cents. American labor takes twenty-five cents' worth of iron in the ground and adds to it \$59.75. One million tons of rails, and the raw material not worth \$24,000. We built a ship in the United States worth \$500,000, and the value of the ore in the earth, of the trees in the great forest, of all that enters into the composition of that ship bringing \$500,000 in gold, is only \$20,000; \$480,000 by American labor, American muscle, coined into gold; American brains made a legal tender the world around.

SOURCE OF THE FREE TRADE DOCTRINE.

I propose to stand by the Nation, I want the furnaces keps hot. I want the sky to be filled with the smoke of American industry, and upon that cloud of smoke will rest forever the bow of perpetual promise. That is what I am for. (A voice, "So are we all.") Yes, sir. Where did this doctrine of a tariff for revenue come from? From the South. The South would like to stab the prosperity of the North. They had rather trade with Old England than with New England. They had rather trade with the people who were willing to help them in war than those who conquered the rebellion. They knew

what gave us our strength in war. They knew that all the brooks and creeks and rivers of New England were putting down the rebellion. They knew that every wheel that turned, every spindle that revolved, was a soldier in the army of human progress. It won't do. They were so lured by the greed of office that they were willing to trade upon the misfortunes of a Nation. It won't do. I don't wish to belong to a party that succeeds only when my country falls. I don't wish to belong to a party whose banner went up with the banner of rebellion. I don't wish to belong to a party that was in partnership with defeat and disaster. I don't. And there isn't a Democrat here but what knows that a failure of the crops this year would have helped his party. You know that an early frost would have been a God-send to them. You know that the potato-bug could have done them more good than all their speakers.

I wish to belong to that party which is prosperous when the country is prosperous. I belong to that party which is not poor when the golden billows are running over the seas of wheat. I belong to that party that is prosperous when there are oceans of corn, and when the cattle are upon the thousand hills. I belong to that party which is prosperous when the furnaces are aflame; and when you dig coal and iron and silver; when everybody has enough to eat; when everybody is happy; when the children are all going to school; and when joy covers my Nation as with a garment. That party which is prosperous, then, that is my party.

Now, then, I have been telling you what I am for—I am for free speech, and so ought you to be. I am for an honest ballot, and if you are not you ought to be. I

am for the collection of revenue. I am for honest money. I am for the idea that this is a Nation forever. I believe in protecting American labor. I want the shield of my country above every anvil, above every furnace, above every cunning head and above every deft of American labor.

Now, then, what section of this country will be the more apt to carry these ideas into execution? What party will be the more apt to achieve these grand and splendid things? Honor bright! Now we have not only to choose between sections of the country—we have to choose between parties: Here is the Democccatic party—and I admit that there are thousands of good Democrats who went to the war, and some of those that stayed at home were good men—and I want to ask you, and I want you to tell me in reply, what that party did during the war when the war Democrats were away from home. What did they do? That is the question. I say to you that every man who tried to tread our flag out of heaven was a Democrat. The men who wrote the ordinances of secession, who fired upon Fort Sumter; the men who starved our soldiers, who fed them with the crumbs that the worms had devoured before—they were Democrats. The keepers of Libby, the keepers of Andersonville, were Democrats—Libby and Andersonville, the two mighty wings that will bear the memory of the confederacy to eternal infamy. And when some poor, emaciated Union patriot, driven to insanity by famine, saw in an insane dream the face of his mother, and she beckeded him and he followed, hoping to press her lips once again against his fevered face, and when he stepped one step beyond the dead line, the wretch that

put the bullet through his loving, throbbing heart was a Democrat. The men who wished to scatter yellow fever in the North, and who tried to fire the great cities of the North, knowing that the serpents of flame would devour the women and babes—they were all Democrats. He who said that the greenback never would be paid, and he who slandered sixty cents out of every dollar of the Nation's promises, were Democrats. Who were joyful when your brothers and your sons and fathers lay dead on the field of battle that the country has lost? They were Democrats. The men who wept when the old flag floated in triumph above the ramparts of rebellion—they were Democrats. You know it. The men who wept when slavery was destroyed, who believed slavery to be a Divine institution, who regarded bloodhounds as apostles and missionaries, and who wept at the funeral of that infernal institution—they were Democrats. Bad company—bad company.

And let me implore all the young men here not to join that party. Do not give new blood to that institution. The Democratic party has a yellow passport. On one side it says "dangerous." They imagine they have not changed, and that is because they have not intellectual growth. That party was once the enemy of my country, was once the enemy of our flag, and more than that it was once the enemy of human liberty, and that party tonight is not willing that the citizens of the Republic should exercise all their right irrespective of their color. And allow me to say right here that I am opposed to that party.

CANDIDATES OF THE TWO PARTIES.

We have not only to choose between parties, but to

choose between candidates. The Democracy have put forward as the bearers of their standard General Hancock and William H. English. (Hisses, "No, no, no.") They will soon be beyond hissing. But let us treat them respectfully. When I am by the side of the dying, I never throw up their crimes. I feel to-night as though standing by the open grave of the Democratic party, and allow me to say, that I feel as well as could be expected.

That party has nominated General Winfield S. Hancock, and I am told that he is a good soldier. I admit it. I don't know whether he is or not. I admit it. That was his reputation before he was nominated, and I am willing to let him have the advantage of all he had before he was nominated. He had a conversation with Gen. Grant. It was a time when he had been appointed at the head of the Department of the Gulf. In that conversation he stated to General Grant that he was opposed to "nigger domination." Grant said to him, "We must obey the laws of Congress. We are soldiers." And that meant, the military is not above the civil authority. And I tell you to-night that the army and the navy are the right and the left hands of the civil power. Grant said to him: "Three or four million ex-slaves, without property and without education, cannot dominate over thirty or forty millions of white people, with education and with property." General Hancock replied to that: "I am opposed to 'nigger domination.'" Allow me to say that I do not believe any man fit for the Presidency of this great Republic, who is capable of insulting a down-trodden race. I never meet a negro that I do not feel like asking his forgiveness for the wrongs that my race has inflicted on his. I remember that from the white

man he received for 200 years agony and tears, I remember that my race sold a child from the agonized breast of a mother; I remember that my race trampled with the feet of greed upon all the holy relations of life; and I do not feel like insulting the colored man; I feel rather like asking the forgiveness of his race for the crimes that my race have put upon him. "Nigger domination." What a fine scabbard that makes for the sword of Gettysburg It won't do.

What is General Hancock for, besides the Presidency? How does he stand upon the great questions affecting American prosperity? He told us the other day that the tariff is a local question. The tariff affects every man and woman that has a back to be covered or a stomach to be filled, and yet he says it is a local question. So is death. He also told us that he heard the question discussed once in Pennsylvania. He must have been "eaves-dropping." And he tells us that his doctrine of the tariff will continue as long as Nature lasts. Then Senator Randolph wrote him a letter. I don't know whether Senator Randolph answered it or not; but that answer was worse than the first interview, and I understand now that another letter is going through a period of incubation at Governor's Island, upon the great subject of tariff. It won't do.

They say one thing they are sure of—he is opposed to paying Southern pensions and Southern claims. He says that a man that fought against this Government has no right to a pension. Good! I say a man that fought against this Government has no right to office. If a man cannot earn a pension by tearing our flag out of the sky, he cannot earn power. (A voice, "How about Long-

street ?") Longstreet has repented of what he did. Longstreet admits that he was wrong. And there was no braver officer in the Southern Confederacy. Every man of the South who will say, "I made a mistake," I don't want him to say that he knew he was wrong—all I ask him to say is that he now thinks he was wrong, and every man of the South to-day who says he was wrong, and who says from this day forward, henceforth and forever, he is for this being a Nation, I will take him by the hand. But while he is attempting to do at the ballot-box what he failed to accomplish upon the field of battle, I am against him; while he uses a Northern General to bait a Southern trap I won't bite. I will forgive men when they deserve to be forgiven; but while they insist that they were right, while they insist that State Sovereignty is the proper doctrine, I am opposed to their climbing into power.

Hancock says that he will not pay these claims; he agrees to veto a bill that his party may pass; he agrees in advance that he will defeat a party that he expects will elect him. he, in effect, says to the people, "You can't trust that party, but you can trust me." He says, "Look at them; I admit they are a hungry lot; I admit that they haven't had a bite in twenty years; I admit that an ordinary famine is satiety compared to the hunger they feel. But between that vast appetite known as the Democratic party, and the public treasury I will throw the shield of my veto." No man has a right to say in advance what he will veto, any more than a judge has a right to say in advance how he will decide a case. The veto power is a distinction with which the Constitution has clothed the Executive, and no President has a right

to say that he will veto until he has heard both sides of the question. But he agrees in advance.

I would rather trust a party than a man. Death may veto Hancock, and death has not been a successful politician in the United States, Tyler, Fillmore, Andy Johnson—I don't wish Death to elect any more Presidents; and if he does, and if Hancock is elected, William H. English becomes President of the United States. (Hisses, "No, no, no!") All I need to say about him is simply to pronounce his name; that is all. You don't want him. Whether the many stories that have been told about him are true or not I don't know, and I will not give currency to a solitary word against the reputation of an American citizen unless I know it to be true. What I have got against him is what he has done in public life. When Charles Sumner, that great and splendid publicist; Charles Sumner, the great philanthropist, one who spoke to the conscience of the time and to the history of the future; when he stood up in the United States Senate and made a great and glorious plea for human liberty, there crept into the Senate a villain and struck him down as though he had been a wild beast. That man was a member of Congress, and when a resolution was introduced in the House to expel that man William H. English voted "No." All the stories in the world could not add to the infamy of that public act. That is enough for me, and whatever his private life may be, let it be that of an angel, uever, never, never will I vote for a man that would defend the assassin of free speech. General Hancock, they tell me, is a statesman; that what little time he has to spare from war he has given to the tariff, and what little time he could spare from the

tariff he has given to the Constitution of his country; showing under what circumstances a Major-General can put at defiance the Congress of the United States. It won't do. But while I am upon that subject it may be well for me to state that he never will be President of the United States.

Now, I say that a man who in time of peace prefers peace, and prefers the avocations of peace; a man who in the time of peace would rather look at the corn in the air of June, rather listen to the hum of bees, rather sit by his door with his wife and children, the man who in time of peace loves peace, and yet when the blast of war flows in his ears should the musket and goes to the field of war to defend his country, and when the war is over goes home and again pursues the avocation of peace—that man is just as good, to say the least of him, as a man who in a time of profound peace makes up his mind that he would like to make his living killing other folks. To say the least of it, he is as good.

THE REPUBLICAN STANDARD BEARERS.

The Republicans have named as their standard bearers James A. Garfield (tremendous cheers, again and again renewed, the men standing up, waving their hats and the ladies their handkerchiefs)—James A. Garfield and Chester A. Arthur (great cheers and applause.) James A. Garfield was a volunteer soldier, and he took away from the field of Chickamauga as much glory as any man could carry. He is not a soldier; he is a statesman. He has studied and discussed all the great questions that affect the prosperity and well-being of the American people. His opinions are well known, and I say to you to-

night that there is not in this Nation, there is not in this Republic, a man with a greater brain and greater heart than James A. Garfield. I know him and like him. I know him as well as any other public man, and I like him. The Democratic party say that he is not honest. I have been reading some Democratic papers to-day, and you would say that every one of their editors had a private sewer of his own into which had been emptied for a hundred years the slops of hell. They tell me that James A. Garfield is not honest. Are you a Democrat? Your party tried to steal nearly half this country. Your party stole the armament of a nation. Your party was willing to live upon the unpaid labor of four millions of people. You have no right to the floor for the purpose of making a motion of honesty. Sit down. James A. Garfield has been at the head of the most important committee of Congress; he is a member of the most important one of the whole House. He has no peer in the Congress of the United States. And you know it. He is the leader of the House. With one wave of his hand he can take millions from the pocket of one industry and put it into the pocket of another; with a motion of his hand he could have made himself a man of wealth, but he is to-night a poor man. But he is rich in honor, in integrity he is wealthy, and in brain he is a millionaire. I know him and I like him. He is as genial as May and he is as generous as Autumn. And the men for whom he has done unnumbered favors, the men whom he had pity enough not to destroy with an argument, the men who, with his great generosity, he has allowed, intellectually, to live, are now throwing filth at the reputation of that great and splendid man.

Several ladies and gentlemen were passing a muddy place around which were gathered ragged and wretched urchins. And these little wretches began to throw mud at them; and one gentleman said, "If you don't stop I will throw it back at you." And a little fellow said, "You can't do it without dirtying your hands. And it doesn't hurt us, anyway."

I never was more profoundly happy than on the night of the 12th day of October when I found that between an honest and a kingly man and his maligners, two great States had thrown their shining shields. When Ohio said, "Garfield is my greatest son, and there never has been raised in the cabins of Ohio a grander man;" and when Indiana—and when Indiana held up her hands and said, "Allow me to endorse that verdict," I was profoundly happy, because that said to me, "Garfield will carry every Northern State," that said to me, "The Solid South will be confronted by a great and splendid North."

I know Garfield. I like him. Some people have said, "How is it that you support Garfield when he was a minister?" "How is it that you support Garfield when he is a Christian?" I will tell you. There are two reasons. The first is, I am not a beggar; and secondly, James A. Garfield is not a beggar. He believes in giving to every other human being every right he claims for himself. He believes in an absolute divorce between Church and State. He believes that every religion should rest upon its morality, upon its reason, upon its persuasion, upon its goodness, upon its charity, and that love should never appeal to the sword of civil war. He disagrees with me in many things, but in the one thing, that the air is free for all, we do agree. I want to do equal and exact jus-

tice everywhere. I want the world of thought to be without a chain, without a wall. James A. Garfield, believing with me as he does, disagreeing with me as he does, is perfectly satisfactory to me. I know him, and I like him.

Men are to-day blackening his reputation, who are not fit to blacken his shoes, He is a man of brain. Since his nomination he must have made forty or fifty speeches, and every one has been full of manhood and genius. He has not said a word that has not strengthened him with the American people. He is the first candidate who has been free to express himself and who has never made a mistake. I will tell you why he don't make a mistake; because he spoke from the inside out. Because he was guided by the glittering Northern star of principle. Lie after lie has been told about him. Slander after slander has been hatched and put in the air with its little short wings, to fly its dirty day, and the last lie is a forgery.

A FORGERY.

I saw to-day the fac-simile of a letter that they pretended he wrote upon the Chinese question. I know his writing; I know his signature; I am acquainted with his writing; I know handwriting, and I tell you to-night that letter and that signature are forgeries. A forgery for the benefit of the Pacific States; a forgery for the purpose of convincing the American workingmen that Garfield is without heart. I tell you, my fellow-citizens, that cannot take from him a vote. But Ohio pierced their cencer and Indiana rolled up both flanks and the rebel line cannot reform with a forgery for a standard. They are gone.

NOT PREACHING A GOSPEL OF HATE.

Now some people say to me, "How long are you going to preach the doctrine of hate?" I never did preach it. In many States of this Union it is a crime to be a Republican. I am going to preach my doctrine until every American citizen is permitted to express his opinion and vote as he may desire in every State of the Union. I am going to preach my doctrine until this is a civilized country. That is all. I will treat the gentlemen of the South precisely as we do the gentlemen of the North. I want to treat every section of the country precisely as we do ours, I want to improve their rivers and their harbors; I want to fill their land with commerce; I want them to prosper; I want them to build school houses; I want them to open the lands to immigration to all people who desire to settle upon their soil. I want to be friends with them; I want to let the past be buried forever; I want to let bygones be bygones, but only upon the basis that we are now in favor of absolute liberty and eternal justice. I am not willing to bury nationality or free speech in the grave for the purpose of being friends. Let us stand by our colors! let the old Republican party that has made this a Nation—the old Republican party that has saved the financial honor of this party—let that party stand by its colors.

Let that party say, "Free speech forever!" Let that party say, "An honest ballot forever!" Let that party say, "Honest money forever; the Nation and the flag forever!" And let that party stand by the great men carrying her banner, James A. Garfield and Chester A. Arthur. I had rather trust a party than a man. If General Garfield dies, the Republican party lives. If

General Garfield dies, General Arthur will take his place—a brave and honest and intelligent gentleman, upon whom every Republican can rely. And if he dies, the Republican party lives, and as long as the Republican party does not die, the great Republic will live. As long as the Republican party lives this will be the asylum of the world. Let me tell you, Mr. Irishman, this is the only country on the earth where Irishmen have had enough to eat. Let me tell you Mr. German, that you have more liberty here than you had in the Fatherland. Let me tell you, all men, that this is the land of humanity.

Oh! I love the old Republic, bound by the seas, walled by the wide air, domed by heaven's blue, and lit with the eternal stars. I love the Republic; I love it because I love liberty. Liberty is my religion, and at its altar I worship and will worship. (Long continued applause.)





PROTECTION AND PROSPERITY.

Ingersoll's Speech at New York, October 28, 1880.

FELLOW-CITIZENS OF THE GREAT CITY OF NEW YORK:—This is the grandest audience I ever saw. This audience certifies that Gen. James A. Garfield (tremendous cheers)—that James A. Garfield is to be the next President of the United States.

This audience certifies that a Republican is to be the next mayor of the city of New York. This audience certifies that the business men of New York are not going to let the country be controlled by the Rebel South and the Rebel North.

In 1860 the Democratic party appealed to force, now it appeals to fraud. In 1860 the Democratic party appealed to the sword, now it appeals to the pen. It was treason then; it is forgery now. The Democratic party cannot be trusted with the property or with the honor of the people of the United States. The city of New

York owes a great debt to the country. Every man that has cleared a farm has helped to build New York; every man who helped to build a railway helped to build up the palaces of this city. Where I am now speaking are the termini of all the railways in the United States. They all come here. New York has been built up by the labor of the country, and New York owes it to the country to protect the best interest of the country. (Applause.)

The farmers of Illinois depend upon the merchants, the brokers and bankers, upon the gentlemen of New York, to beat the rabble of New York. You owe to yourselves, you owe to the Republic, and this city that does the business of a hemisphere,—this city that will in ten years be the financial center of the world, owes it to itself to be true to the great principles that have allowed it to exist and flourish. (Great applause.)

The Republicans of New York ought to say this shall forever be a free country. The Republicans of New York ought to say that free speech shall forever be held sacred in the United States. The Republicans of New York ought to see that the party that defended the Nation shall still remain in power. The Republicans of New York should see that flag is safely held by the hands that defended it in war. (Applause.) The Republicans of New York know that the prosperity of the country depends upon good government, and they also know that good government means protection to the people, rich and poor, black and white. The Republicans know that a black friend is better than a white enemy. They know that a negro while fighting for the Government is better than any white man who will fight

against it. (Great cheers.) The Republicans of New York know that the colored party in the South, which allows every man to vote as he pleases, is better than any white man who is opposed to allowing a negro to cast his honest vote. A black man in favor of liberty is better than a white man in favor of slavery. (Applause.) The Republicans of New York must be true to their friends. This Government means to protect all its citizens, at home and abroad, or it becomes a by-word in the mouths of the nations of the world.

Now what do we want to do? (A voice, "vote for Garfield." Great cheers and laughter,) Of course. We are going to have an election next Tuesday, and every Republican knows why he is going to vote the Republican ticket; while every Democrat votes his without knowing why. A Republican is a Republican because he loves something; a Democrat is a Demoorat because he hates something. A Republican believes in progress; a Democrat in retrogression. A Democrat is a "has been." He is a "used to be." The Republican party lives on hope; the Democratic on memory. The Democrat keeps his back to the sun and imagines himself a great man because he casts a great shadow. (Laughter.)

Now, there are certain things we want to preserve,—that the business men of New York want to preserve,—and, in the first place, we want an honest ballot. And where the Democratic party has power there has never been an honest ballot. You take the worst ward in this city and there you will find the largest Democratic majority. You know it, and so do I. There is not a university in the North, East or West that has not in it a

Republican majority. (Applause.) There is not a penitentiary in the United States (tremendous laughter and cheers; cries of "Good! Good!")—how do you know what I am going to say? (great cheers and laughter)—there is not a penitentiary, I say, in the United States that has not in it a Democratic majority,—and they know it. Two years ago about 283 convicts were in the penitentiary of Maine. Out of that whole number there was one Republican, and only one. (A voice, "Who was the man?") Well, I don't know, but he broke out. He said he didn't mind being in the penitentiary, but the company was more than he could stand. (Renewed laughter.)

THE PARTY THAT NEEDS THE "CHANGE."

You cannot rely upon that party for an honest ballot. Every law that has been passed in this country, in the last twenty years, to throw a safeguard around the ballot-box, has been passed by the Republican party. Every law that has been defeated, has been defeated by the Democratic party. And you know it. Unless we have an honest ballot the days of the Republic are numbered, and the only way to get an honest ballot is to beat the Democratic party forever.

And that is what we are going to do. That party can never carry its record; that party is loaded down with the infamies of twenty years; yes, that party is loaded down with the infamies of fifty years. It will never elect a President in this world. I give notice to the Democratic party to-day that it has got to change its name before the people of the United States will change the Administration. (Cheers.)

You will have to change your names; you will have to change your personnel; and you will have to get enough Republicans to join you and tell you how to run a campaign. If you want an honest ballot—and every honest man does—then you will vote to keep the Republican party in power. What else do you want? You want honest money, and I say to the merchants and to the brokers and to the bankers, the only party that will give you honest money is the party that resumed specie payments. The only party that will give you honest money is the party that has said the greenback is a broken promise until it is redeemed with gold. You can only trust the party that has been honest in disaster. (Applause) .

From 1863 to 1879—sixteen long years—the Republican party was the party of honor and principal, and the Republican party saved the honor of the United States. And you know it. During that time the Democratic party did what it could to destroy our credit at home and abroad.

We are not only in favor of free speech and an honest ballot, and honest money, but we go in for law and order. What part of this country believes in free speech—the South or the North? The South would never give free speech to the country; there was no free speech in the city of New York until the Republican party got into power. The Democratic party has not got intelligence to know that free speech is the germ of this Republic. The Democratic party cares little for free speech because it has no argument to make. No reasons to offer. Its entire argument is summed up and ended in three words, "Hurrah for Haacock."

The Republican party believes in free speech because it has something to say; because it believes in argument; because it believes in moral suasion; because it believes in education. (Great applause.) Any man that does not believe in free speech is a barbarian. Any State that does not support it is not a civilized State. (Great applause.)

WHAT REPUBLICANISM MEANS.

I have a right to express my opinions and the right in common with every other human being, and I am willing to give every other human being the right that I claim for myself. (Applause.)

Republicanism says, out upon the great intellectual sea there is room for every sail; Republicanism says that in the intellectual air there is room enough for every wing. (Applause.) Republicanism means justice in politics. Republicanism means progress in civilization. Republicanism means that every man shall be an educated patriot and a gentleman. And I want to say to you to-day that the Republican party is the best that ever existed. I want to say to you to-day that it is an honor to belong to it. It is an honor to have belonged to it for twenty years; it is an honor to belong to the party that elected Abraham Lincoln President. And let me say to you that Lincoln was the greatest, the best; the purest, the kindest man that ever sat in the Presidential chair. (Great applause.) It is an honor to belong to the Republican party that gave 4,000,000 of men the rights of freemen; it is an honor to belong to the party that broke the shackles from 4,000,000 of men, women and children. It is an honor to belong to the party that de-

clared that bloodhounds were not missionaries of civilization. It is an honor to belong to a party that said it was a crime to steal a babe from a mother's breast, It is an honor to belong to the party that swore that this is a Nation forever, one and indivisible. It is an honor to belong to the party that elected U. S. Grant President of the United States. (Cheers.) It is an honor to belong to a party that issued thousands and thousands of millions of dollars in promises—that issued promises until they became as thick as the withered leaves of winter; an honor to belong to the party that issued them to put down a rebellion; an honor to belong to the party that put it down; an honor to belong to the party that had the moral courage and honesty to make every promises made in war, in peace, as good as shining, glittering gold. And I tell you that if there is another life, if there is a day of judgment, all you need to say upon that solemn occasion is:

“I was in my life, and in my death a good, square Republican.”

THE DOCTRINE OF STATE RIGHTS.

I hate the doctrine of State sovereignty because it fostered State pride; because it fostered the idea that it is more to be the citizen of a State than a citizen of this glorious country. I love the whole country. I like New York because it is a part of the country; and I like the country because it has got New York in it. I am not standing here to-day because the flag of New York floats over my head, but because that flag for which more heroic blood has been shed than for any other flag that is kissed by the air of heaven waves forever over my head. (Great applause.)

That is the reason I am here. The doctrine of State sovereignty was appealed to in defense of the slave trade; the next time in defense of the slave trade as between the States; the next time in favor of the Fugitive Slave law; and, if there is a Democrat in favor of the Fugitive Slave law, he should be ashamed—if not of himself—of the ignorance of the time in which he lived. That Fugitive Slave law was a compromise. so that we might be friends of the South. They said in 1850-52: "If you catch the slave we will be your friend;" and they tell us now: "If you let us trample upon the rights of the black man in the South. we will be your friend."

I don't want their friendship on such terms. (Applause.) I am a friend of my friend and an enemy of my enemy. That is my doctrine. We might as well be honest about it. Under that doctrine of State rights, such men as I see before me—bankers, brokers, gentlemen—were expected to turn themselves into hounds and chase the poor fugitive that had been lured by the love of liberty and guided by the glittering North star. (Applause.)

The Democratic party wanted you to keep your trade with the South, no matter to what depths of degradation you had to sink, and the Democratic party to-day says, if you want to sell your goods to the Southern people, you must throw your honor and manhood into the streets. The patronage of the splendid North is enough to support the city of New York. (Applause.)

IN FAVOR OF PROTECTION.

There is another thing. Why is this city here filled with palaces covered with wealth? Because American

labor has been protected everywhere. I am in favor of protecting American brain and muscle; I am in favor of giving scope to American ingenuity and American skill. We want a market at home, and the only way to have it is to have mechanics at home, and the only way to have mechanics is to have protection; and the only way to have protection is to vote the Republican ticket. You business men in New York know that General Garfield understands these great—(A voice, "Three cheers for General Garfield." They were given with a vigor). I was going to say that he knows what the tariff means; he understands the best interests, not only of New York, but the entire country.

And you want to stand by the men who will stand by you. What does a simple soldier know about the wants of the city of New York? What does he know about the wants of this great and splendid country? If he does not know more about them than he does the tariff, he doesn't know much. I don't like to hit the dead. My hatred stops with the grave, and we are going to bury the Democratic party next Tuesday. The pulse is feeble now, and if that party proposes to take advantage of the last hour, it is time that it goes into the repenting business. Nothing pleases me better than to see the condition of that party to-day. What do the Democrats know on the subject of the tariff? They are frightened; they are ratting. They swear their plank and platform meant nothing. They say in effect:

"When we put that in we lied; and now, having made that confession, we hope you will have perfect confidence in us from this out."

Hancock says the object of the party is, to get the tar-

iff out of politics. That is the reason, I suppose, why they have that plank in the platform, I presume he regards the tariff as a little local issue, but I tell you to-day that the great question of protecting American labor never will be taken out of politics. (Applause.) As long as men work, as long as the laboring man has a wife and family to support; just so long will he vote for the man that will protect his wages. And you can no more take it out of politics than you can take the question of Government out of politics. I want the people to settle these questions for themselves, and the people of this country are capable of doing it. If you don't believe it, read the returns from Ohio and Indiana. There are other persons who would take the question of office out of politics. Well, when we get the questions of tariff and office both out of politics, then, I presume, we will see two parties on the same side. It won't do. (Laughter.)

David A. Wells has come to the rescue of the Democratic party on the tariff, and shed a few pathetic tears over scrap iron. But it won't do. You can not run this country on scraps.

We believe in the tariff because it gives skilled labor good pay. We believe in the tariff because it gives the laboring man something to eat. We believe in the tariff because it keeps the hands of the producer close to the mouth of the devourer. We believe in the tariff because it developed American brain; because it builds up our towns and cities; because it makes Americans self-supporting; because it makes us an independent Nation. And we believe in the tariff because the Democratic party don't. That plank in the Democratic party was

intended for a dagger to assassinate the prosperity of the North. The Northern people have become aroused, and that is the plank that is broken in the Democratic platform; and that plank was wide enough when it broke to let even Hancock through.

DESPERATE RESORTS OF THE DEMOCRATS.

Gentlemen, they are gone. ("Honor bright?") They are gone—honor bright. (Laughter.) Look at the desperate means that have been resorted to by the Democratic party, driven to the madness of desperation. Not satisfied with having worn the tongue of slander to the very tonsils, not satisfied with attacking the private reputation of a splendid man—not satisfied with that, they have appealed to a crime; a deliberate and infamous forgery has been committed. That forgery has been upheld by some of the leaders of the Democratic party; that forgery has been defended by men calling themselves respectable. Leaders of the Democratic party said that they were acquainted with the handwriting of James A. Garfield, and that the handwriting in the forged letter was his; when they knew it was absolutely unlike his. They knew it, and no man that has certified it was the writing of James A. Garfield who did not know in his throat of throats it was a falsehood.

Every honest man in the city of New York ought to leave such a party if he belongs to it. ("Go for Hewitt.") Every honest man (repeated cries of "Go for Hewitt.") ought to refuse to belong to a party that did such an infamous crime. ("Go for Hewitt.") What is the use of going for Hewitt when all New York is going for Hewitt? And there is no man in this city who is going for Hewitt like Hewitt himself.

Senator Barnum, Chairman of the Democratic Committee has lost control. He is gone, and I will tell you what he puts me in mind of. There was an old fellow used to come into town every Saturday and get drunk. He had a little yoke of oxen, and the boys, out of pity, used to throw him into the wagon and start the oxen for home. Just before he got home they had to go down a long hill, and the oxen when they got to the brow of it commenced to run. Now and then the wagon struck a stone and gave the old fellow an awful jolt, and that would wake him up. After he had looked up and had one glance at the cattle, he would fall helplessly back to the bottom of the wagon, and always say, "Gee a little, if anything," (Laughter.)


And that is the only order Barnum has been able to give for two weeks—"Gee a little, if anything." (Laughter.)

I tell you now that forgery makes doubly sure the election of James A. Garfield. The people of the North believe in honest dealing, the people of the North believe in free speech and an honest ballot. The people of the North believe that this is an honest Nation; the people of the North hate treason; the people of the North hate forgery, the people of the North hate slander. The people of the North have made up their minds to give Gen. Garfield a vindication of which any American may be forever proud.

GEN. GARFIELD'S CAREER.

I will tell you why I am for Garfield. I know him, and I like him. No man has been nominated for the office since I was born, by either party, who had more

brains and more heart than James A. Garfield. He was a soldier, he is a statesman. In time of peace he pre-



ferred the avocations of peace; when the bugle of war blew in his ears he withdrew from his work and fought for the flag, and then he went back to the avocation of peace. And I say to day that a man who, in a time of profound peace, makes up his mind that he would like to kill folks for a living is no better, to say the least of it, than the man who loves peace in the time of peace, and

who, when his country is attacked rushes to the rescue of her flag. (Loud cheers.)

James A. Garfield is to-day a poor man, and you know there is not money enough in this magnificent street to buy the honor and manhood of James A. Garfield. Money cannot make such a man, and I will swear to you that money cannot buy him.

James A. Garfield to-day wears the robe of honest poverty. He is a poor man, but I like to say it here in Wall street; I like to say it surrounded by the millions of America; I like to say it in the midst of banks and bonds and stocks; I love to say it where gold is piled,—that, although a poor man, he is rich in honor, in integrity he is wealthy, and in brain he is a millionaire. I know him, and I like him. So do you all, gentlemen.

Garfield was a poor boy; he is a certificate of our splendid form of Government. Most of these magnificent buildings have been built by poor boys; most of the success of New York began almost in poverty. You know it. The kings of this street were once poor, and they may be again poor; and if they are fools enough to vote for Hancock they ought to be. (Loud laughter and cheers.)

Garfield is a certificate of the splendor of our Government, that says to every poor boy: "All the avenues of honor are open to you." I know him, and I like him, He is a scholar; he is a statesman; he is a soldier; he is a patriot; and above all, he is a magnificent man; and if every man in New York knew him as well as I do, Garfield would not lose a hundred votes in this city.

And yet this is the man against whom the Democratic party has been howling its filth; this is the great

and good man whom the Democrats have slandered from the day of his nomination until now; this, the statesman, the soldier, the scholar, the patriot is the man against whom the Democratic party is willing to commit the crime of forgery.


Compare him with Hancock, and then compare Gen. Arthur with William H. English. If there ever was a pure Republican in this world Gen. Arthur is one. Now gentlemen. there is no use in my talking about English. I have made up my mind to avoid unpleasant subjects. (Laughter.)

WHAT WOULD FOLLOW HANCOCK'S ELECTION.

You know in Wall street there are some men always prophesying disaster; there are some men always selling "short." That is what the Democratic party is doing to-day. You know as well as I do that if the Democratic party succeeds, every kind of property in the United States will depreciate. You know it. There is not a man on the street who, if he knew Hancock was to be elected, would not sell the stocks and bonds of every railroad in the United States "short." I dare any broker here to deny it. There is not a man in Broad or Wall streets, or in New York, but what knows the election of Hancock will depreciate every share of railroad stock, every railroad bond, every Government bond in the United States of America. And if you know that, I say it is a crime to vote for Hancock and English. . (Loud cheers.)

I belong to a party that is prosperous when the country is prosperous, That's me. I belong to the party that believes in good crops; that is glad when a fellow

finds a gold mine; that rejoices when there are forty bushels of wheat to the acre; that laughs when every railroad declares dividends; that claps both of its hands when every investment pays, when the rain falls for the farmer, when the dew lies lovingly upon the grass. I belong to the party that is happy when the people are happy; when the laboring man gets \$3 a day; when he has roast beef on his table; when he has a carpet on the floor; when he has a picture of Garfield on the wall. I belong to the party that is happy when everybody smiles; when we have plenty of money; good horses;



good carriages; when our wives are happy and our children feel glad. I belong to the country whose banner floats side by side with the great flag of the country; that does not grow fat on defeat.

The Democratic party is a party of famine; it is a good friend of an early frost; it believes in the Colorado beetle and in the weevil. When the crops are bad the Democratic mouth opens from ear to ear with smiles of joy; rags help it. I am on the other side. The Democratic party is the party of darkness. I belong to the party of sunshine. and to the party that even in darkness believes the stars are shining and waiting for us.

WHY THE REPUBLICAN PARTY SHOULD BE SUPPORTED.


Now, gentlemen, I have endeavored to give you a few reasons for voting the Republican ticket; and I have given enough to satisfy any reasonable man. And you know it. Don't you go with the Democratic party, young man.

If your father voted the Democratic ticket, that is disgrace enough for one family. Tell the old man that you can stand it no longer. Tell the old man that you have made up your mind to stand with the party of human progress; and if he asks you why you can not vote the Democratic ticket you tell him:

"Every man that tried to destroy the Government, every man that shot at the holy flag in heaven, every man that starved our soldiers, every keeper of Libby, Andersonville and Salisbury, every man that wanted to burn the negro, every one that wanted to scatter yellow fever in the North, every man that opposed human liberty, that regarded the auction block as an altar and the howling of the bloodhound as the music of the Union, every man who wept over the corpse of slavery, that thought lashes on the back was legal tender for labor performed, every one willing to rob a mother of her child—every solitary one was a Democrat."

Tell him you can not stand that party. Tell him you have to go with the Republican party, and if he asks you why. tell him it destroyed slavery; it preserved the Union; it paid the National debt; it made our credit as good as that of any Nation on earth. Tell him it makes a four per cent. bond worth \$1.10; that it satisfies the demand of the highest civilization; that it made it possi-

ble for every greenback to hold up its hand and swear, "I know that my redeemer liveth." Tell the old man that the Republican party preserved the honor of the Nation; that it believes in education; that it looks upon the



school house as a cathedral. (Applause) Tell him the Republican party believes in absolute intellectual liberty, in absolute religious freedom, in human rights, and that human rights rise above States. Tell him that the Republican party believes in humanity, justice, human equality, and that the Republican party believes this a Nation for ever and ever; that an honest ballot is the breath of the Republic's life; that honest money is the

blood of the Republic, and that Nationality is the great throbbing beat of the heart of the Republic. Tell him that and tell him that you are going to stand by the flag that the patriots North carried upon the battlefields of death. (Cheers.) Tell him that you are going to be true to the martyred dead; that you are going to vote exactly as Lincoln would have voted were he living. Tell him that every traitor dead, were he living now, there would issue from the lips of dust, "Hurrah for Hancock;" that could every patriot rise he would cry for Garfield and liberty, for union and for human progress everywhere. Tell him that the South seeks to secure by the ballot what it lost by the bayonet, to whip by the ballot those who fought in the field. But we saved the country and we have got the heart and brains to take care of it. I will tell you what we are going to do. We are going to treat them in the South just as well as we treat the people in the North. Victors cannot afford to have malice. The North is too magnanimous to have hatred. We will treat the South precisely as we treat the North. There are thousands of good people there. Let us give them money to improve their rivers and harbors; I want to see the sails of their commerce filled with the breeze of prosperity; their fences rebuilt; their houses painted. I want to see their towns prosperous, I want to see school houses in every town; I want to see books in the hands of every child, and papers and magazines in every house; I want to see all the rays of light of the civilization of the nineteenth century enter every home of the South; and in a little while you will see that country full of good Republicans. We can afford to be kind; we cannot afford to be unkind. I will shake hands cordially with

every believer in human liberty; I will shake hands with every believer in Nationality. I will shake hands with every friend of the human race. That is my doctrine. I believe in the great Republic, in this magnificent country of ours. I believe in the great people of the United States. I believe in the muscle and brain of America, in the prairies and forests. I believe in New York. I believe in the brain of your city. I believe that you know enough to vote the Republican ticket. (Applause.) I believe you are grand enough to stand by the country that has stood by you. But whatever you do, I shall never cease to thank you for the great honor you have conferred upon me this day. (Great and long continued cheering.)

————:O:————





FIAT MONEY.

A Talk to the Mechanics of Newark, N. J.

You can't make a dollar out of paper except by taking a dollar's worth of paper to do it. Did you ever hear of a fiat load of corn, or a fiat load of wheat? You can no more make a paper dollar a dollar than you can make a warehouse certificate a load of wheat. When resumption is an accomplished fact, confidence and credit take the place of gold and silver. I admit that the Democratic party raised their share of corn, and pork, and wheat, and enabled us to resume. They furnished their share of the money, and the Republicans furnished the honor to pay it over. The soft-money Democrats said that the greenback was the money of the poor man. Did any one ever hear before of money that sought out only the poor man, that was always hunting for fellows that were dead-broke, and that despised banks? (Applause and laughter.)

But the Democrats wanted to put the finances of the country into the hands of the Solid South, who had repudiated \$50,000,000 of their debts. Could such people be trusted with the honor of the country? But the Dem-

ocrats talked of centralization. Their theory was that the Government was bound by the most sacred obligations to protect its citizens in England or Spain, but not under its own flag. It had the right to drag a citizen from his home, to stand him up before a loaded battery, to make him food for cannon, to tax him to death, and yet, when in return for all this he asked to be protected from outrage and wrong, the Democrats cried to the Government: "Hands off, you mustn't interfere. It's unconstitutional." What a monstrous mockery it was!

A Government that couldn't protect its citizens wasn't fit to exist. A flag that couldn't defend its defenders was a dirty rag. (Storms of applause)

The speaker described the repudiation, brutality and folly of the "Solid South," and asked, "Are we going to trust the Government to these people?" A thundering "No" was the response. He was in favor of trusting them when they showed repentance and mended their ways, say about fifty years hence, and with a very few and unimportant offices at first. (Laughter and applause.) He cheerfully admitted that if it hadn't been for hundreds of thousands of Democrats we couldn't have put down the Rebellion, and if it hadn't been for Democrats we never would have had a Rebellion. (Cheers and laughter.) The Democrats were partners in our national misfortunes. Bankruptcy, hard times, and a few chilling frosts, that would ruin the crops, would be joy to them, for it would give them a chance to recover their lost power. They would be delighted with all or any of these disasters. Even the potato-bugs would be thankfully received. (Laughter and applause.)

Colonel Ingersoll indulged in delicious satire respecting

the Democratic candidates, referring to Hancock's celebrated "tariff" interview in a way that sent the audience into successive roars of laughter for minutes. Hancock had heard the tariff talked of "once" in his native State—in Pennsylvania! He must have been eavesdropping. (Laughter.) The tariff, according to General Hancock, was a purely "local" issue, with which it would be beneath the dignity of the President and Congress—in a word, the general Government—to intermeddle. Here was a pretty man to be President! He would probably consider the country itself a "local" issue. Of William H. English, Colonel Ingersoll would say this: "A man who voted against expelling the ruffians who all but murdered Charles Sumner was not fit to be Vice-President of hell, if there was such a place. To utter his name was the meanest thing one could say of him. "What is Hancock in favor of?" asked Colonel Ingersoll in conclusion. "You don't know, I don't know, he don't know." He says he will veto rebel claims. I tell you he won't have the chance to veto anything. Ohio vetoed him, and Indiana indorsed it. (Thunders of applause.)





A ELOQUENT PERORATION.

Ingersoll's Closing Words to the Jury in the Celebrated "Star Route" Law Suit.

In concluding his address Colonel Ingersoll said:

"You have nothing to do with the supposed desire of any man or supposed desire of any department (turning, and addressing his remarks to the Attorney-General) or the supposed desire of any Government, or the supposed desire of the public. You have nothing to do with these things. You have only to do with the evidence. Here all power is powerless except your own.

When asked to please the public, you should think of the lives you are asked to wreck, of the homes your verdict would darken, of the hearts it would desolate, of the cheeks it would wet with tears, of the characters it would destroy, of the wife it would worse than widow, and of the children it would worse than orphan. When asked to please the public think of these consequences.

Whoever does right clothes himself in a suit of armor which the arrows of prejudice could not penetrate; but whoever does wrong is responsible for the consequence to the last sigh, to the last tear.

You are told by Mr. Merrick that you should have no sympathy, that you should be like icicles, that you should be God-like. That is not my doctrine. The higher you get in the scale of being, the grander, the nobler, the tenderer you will become. Kindness is always an evidence of grandness. Malice is the property of a small soul, and whoever allows the feeling of brotherhood to die in his heart becomes a wild beast.

"Not the king's crown nor the deputed sword,
The marshal's truncheon nor the judge's robe,
Become them with one half so good a grace
As mercy does," -

And yet the only mercy we ask is the mercy of an honest verdict. I appeal to you for my clients, because the evidence shows they are honest men. I appeal to you for my client, Stephen W. Dorsey, because the evidence shows he is a man with an intellectual horizon and a mental sky, a man of genius, generous and honest. Yet this prosecution, this Government, these attorneys, representing the Republic, representing the only real Republic that ever existed, have asked you not only to violate the law of the land, but also the law of nature. They have maligned nature; they have laughed at mercy; they have trampled on the holiest human ties, and even made light because a wife in this trial has sat by her husband's side.

There is a painting in the Louvre—a painting of desolation, of despair and love. It represents the "Night of the Crucifixion." The world is wrapped in shadow, the stars are dead, and yet in the darkness is seen a kneeling form. It is Mary Magdalene, with loving lips and hands pressed against the bleeding feet of Christ. The skies

were never dark enough, nor starless enough, the storm was never fierce enough nor wild enough, the quick bolts of heaven were never loud enough, and the arrows of slander never flew thick enough to drive a noble woman from her husband's side (Applause), and so it is in all of human speech, the holiest word is "woman."

[While Mr. Ingersoll was delivering this speech several ladies burst into tears, and Mrs. Dorsey kept her handkerchief to her eyes for some minutes.]

Now, gentlemen, I have examined this testimony. I have examined every charge in the indictment, and every charge made outside of the indictment. I have shown you that the indictment is one thing and the evidence another. I have shown you that not a single charge is substantiated against S. W. Dorsey. I have demonstrated that not one charge has been established against J. W. Dorsey—not one. I have shown you there is no foundation for a verdict of guilty against any one particular defendant in this case.

I have spoken now, gentlemen, the last words that will be spoken in public for my clients, the last words that will be spoken in public for any of these defendants; the last words that will be heard in their favor until I hear from the lips of the foreman the two elegant words, "Not guilty." And now, thanking the court for many acts of personal kindness, and you, gentlemen of the jury, for your almost infinite patience, I leave my clients with all they have, with all they love, with all who love them, in your hands. (Applause.)



To all of us the future is as a sealed book, but if I can, by official act or administration or utterance, in any degree add to the prosperity of our beloved country and the comfort and well-being of our splendid citizenship, I will devote to it the best and most unselfish efforts of my life.

CANTON, O, March 1, 1897

*James Harrison
McKinley*

[Mr. McKinley was inaugurated President of the United States March 4, 1897.]

SOUND MONEY AND PROTECTION.

Speech Delivered in a Tent to 20,000 Listeners at Chicago October 8, 1896.

FELLOW-CITIZENS: Again we are on the field of battle where thought contends with thought—the field of battle where facts are bullets and our arguments are swords. Again we appeal to the good sense, to the conscience, to the patriotism of the American people.

This is our country, and we are responsible for the administration. We can not throw the responsibility on any monarch or on any party. This is our country, and we are responsible for what our country does. We are responsible for the policy adopted, and if our country is dishonest the brand of repudiation will be on every American brow. (Applause.) We are responsible for our country, and it is the duty of every American citizen, when about to exercise and use the prerogative of a king, to examine the questions presented. It is his duty to arrive at a conclusion without prejudice, without hatred, and then it is his duty to discharge that obligation according as he believes is for the best interests of the people of the United States.

All I wish is to appeal to your good sense. I want to appeal to the reason you have, not to your prejudices, not to your hatreds, but to your brains, to your consciences, to your patriotism, to your wisdom. I admit

that all the parties who disagree with me are honest. Large masses of mankind are always honest—the leaders not always. But the masses of the people do what they believe to be right. Consequently there is no argument in abuse, nothing calculated to convince in calumny. To be kind, to be candid, is far nobler, far better, and far more American.

We live in a democracy, and we admit that every other human being has the same right to think, the same right to express his thoughts, the same right to vote that we have (Applause); and I want every one who hears me to vote in exact accord with his sense, to cast his vote in accordance with his conscience. I want every one to do the best he can for a great Republic; and, no matter how he votes, if he is honest I shall find no fault.

But the great thing is to understand what you are going to do; the great thing is to use the little sense that we have. In most of us the capital is small, and it ought to be turned often. (Laughter and applause.) We ought to pay attention, we ought to listen to what is said—think, think for ourselves.

ISSUES OF THE CAMPAIGN.

Now, my friends, there are three great questions in this campaign submitted to the American people—three great questions that we are to decide. The first is the money question, the second the tariff, and the third whether this is a government of law or whether there is an appeal from the Supreme Court to a mob.

Then we have to make our choice. We have to choose between men; we have to choose between parties.

And, first, let us take up the money question; let us

begin at bed-rock. I am going to give you the ideas and facts that have influenced me, believing that what will influence me will influence an average American citizen. (Applause.) And the first thing to be right about, if you are a Republican, and the first thing to be wrong about, if you are a Democrat, is the definition of money. What is money? In the first place, money is the product of nature, money is a part of nature. No man ever made or created money. It is beyond the power of legislatures and congresses. It is beyond the power of the human race. Money is not made. It has to be found.

Now, remember that. Money is not something that man can create. It is something that man must find. It is a part of nature. And what else? Money is something that does not have to be redeemed. Money is the redeemer. (Applause.) Anything that has to be bolstered up with the promise of an individual or a nation is not money. Greenbacks are not money. They are promises to pay money. Nothing that a government can print—no matter what picture it may put upon it, no matter what words—"In God We Trust"—it is not money. It is simply a promise to pay.

And yet there are thousands and thousands of people who believe that a nation can create money. A nation can no more create money by law than it can create corn and wheat and barley by law (Applause), and the promise to pay money is no nearer money than a bill of fare is a dinner. (Continued laughter and applause.)

WHAT IS A DOLLAR?

Now, the next question is, What is a dollar? There are many statesmen going over the country, making

speeches from the tail end of trains (Laughter); and not one of them knows what money is. What is a dollar? I can tell you. (Laughter)

Twenty-three and twenty-two one-hundredths grains of pure gold make one dollar. That is the money today of the United States. That is the money today of the civilized world. Twenty-three and twenty-two one-hundredths grains of pure gold make a pure and honest dollar. (Applause.) Ah, but they say: "Can not you make dollars out of silver?" I say: "Yes. But when you make a dollar out of silver you have got to put a dollar's worth of silver in a silver dollar." (Applause.) If you make money out of something else, you have got to put a dollar's worth of something else into the dollar. It takes a dollar's worth of paper to make a good paper dollar. (Laughter.) It takes a dollar's worth of iron to make an iron dollar, and it takes a dollar's worth of silver to make a silver dollar.

And let me tell you another thing. You do not add to the value of gold by coining it any more than you add to the value of wheat by measuring it; any more than you add to the value of coal by weighing it. Why do you coin gold? Because every man can not take a chemist's outfit with him. (Laughter.) He can not carry a crucible and retort, scales and acids, and so the government coins it, simply to certify how much gold there is in the piece.

Then there is another thing about it. It does not make any difference who issues good money. It is just as good when Lazarus issues it as when Dives issues it. No matter whether the government is bankrupt that coins it, the

money is just as good. A piece of gold coined by the Romans, 2,500 years ago, is just as good today as when Julius Cæsar rode at the head of his legions. Since that time darkness has fallen over the Roman Empire, and the empire has passed into oblivion; but the gold coined shines as brightly now as in Rome's palmiest days. (Applause.) That is good money.

MONEY AS A COMMODITY.

But when you issue a bill or note you want some good person behind it. Money that is money needs no guarantor; needs no backer. It is always good. No matter how many nations go down to dust, good money remains forever the same. Now you know what a dollar is. Twenty-three and twenty-two one-hundredths grains of pure gold is one dollar—no more; no less.

What else is money? You see, this is quite a question. What is money? Money is a commodity. Wheat and corn are commodities. So is money, the peculiarity about money being that it is exchangeable for all commodities. But it is a commodity—nothing more; nothing less. When a man gives a bushel of wheat for a dollar in gold he buys a dollar in gold, and the dollar in gold buys the wheat. Both are commodities, and a commodity is something that can not be manufactured by law. (Applause.)

We want a little sense. There was a time when this government issued greenbacks, and people called them money, and still we were getting in debt. We had magnificent times. But when the time came for payment then people said: "Do not let us pay; let us issue more greenbacks. We had good times then; let us keep it up." (Laughter and applause.) But whenever you issue

your promise to pay the day of payment comes, and when that comes hard times come along with it.



ABRAHAM LINCOLN, THE RAIL SPLITTER.

Here is a man who buys a farm on a credit, buys a wagon on a credit, a piano on a credit, sends John and Mary to school on a credit. And all at once they say:

"We want pay." "Why," he says, "gentlemen, I never had better times in the world than when I was giving these notes, and I have got plenty more on hand, and if you only had the philanthropy to take them my family can be happy still." (Laughter and applause.) All these things have to be paid, and they have to be paid in money; and how are you going to get your money? Primarily, you have got to dig it out of the earth. Then how are you going to get it? You have got to swap your labor, your muscle, your mind, your property for that money.

GOOD MONEY ALWAYS GOOD.

Another thing: Good money is always good. Good money is always at par—never at a premium and never at a discount. When people buy good money with bad money they say the good money is at a premium. That is not so. The bad money is at a discount. That is what is the matter. (Applause.) Good money is always at par. And yet we have a man running for the Presidency on free silver platforms (Laughter), with two Vice-Presidents, that says money is the creature of law.

Well, as a man told me today, law is often the creature of money (Laughter); but money never was, and never will be, the creature of law. If you can make money by law, why shouldn't we be rich? If a hundred people should settle on an island and form a government and elect a legislature, they could make laws; and if money is the creature of law, there is no reason why they should not be as wealthy as Great Britain. (Laughter and applause.) Law is inexhaustible (Laughter), and if

you can turn it into money no nation has an excuse for being poor.

Then, there is another thing: If the government can make money by law—and I would like to have some good Bryan man answer the question—if the government can make money by law, why should the government collect taxes? (Laughter and applause.)


Let us be honest. Here is a poor man with a little yoke of cattle, cultivating forty acres of stony ground, working like a slave in the heat of summer, in the cold blasts of winter, and the government makes him pay \$10 taxes, when, according to these men, it could issue a \$100,000 bill in a second. Issue a bill and give the fellow with the cattle a rest. (Laughter) Is it possible for the mind to conceive anything more absurd than that the government can create money?

GOVERNMENT CREATES NOTHING.

Let me tell you why: The government creates nothing. The government does not support the people; the people have to support the government. (Applause.) The government is a perpetual pauper. It raises no corn—no wheat; it digs no gold. It collects taxes—alms—and we have to support the government. We give a part of what we create by our intelligence, by our strength, and the government produces nothing—never did, and never will. We can not depend on the government for bread. We have to feed the government.

Withdraw our support, and where would the gentlemen, the officials, be? What would become of your Presidents and Congresses, were it not for the taxes paid

by the people? We—and remember it—we have to support the government. Government can not support us, and the idea that the government can create money, in politics, in finance, is just as absurd as the doctrine of



CONTINENTAL MONEY.

perpetual motion is in mechanics; just as idiotic as the philosopher's stone; just as absurd as the fountain of eternal youth (Applause); just as idiotic as the transmutation of metals. Any man capable of believing that money can be created by law is incapable of reasoning on any subject whatever. (Applause.)

Ah, but they say: "What makes gold valuable is that the law has made it a legal tender." Again, gentlemen,

you are arguing backward. Because it was valuable, the law made it a legal tender. Making it a legal tender did not give it value; but, being valuable, the law made it a legal tender—recognizing its value. And yet, these gentlemen say that it got all its value from the law making it a legal tender. It is exactly the other way. The legal tender law rests on the value of the metal. Why is gold valuable? I don't know. Why do most people love oysters? I don't know. (Applause and laughter.) Why do so many people get idiotic about elections? (Applause.) I don't know. But these are facts in human nature. For some reason—or for many reasons—people give a value to gold. And that value is recognized by the law-making power, and that is all there is to the legal tender act.

HOW CAN MONEY BE TOO GOOD?

“But,” says Mr. Bryan, “our money is too good.” How can money be too good? There may not be enough of it in my neighborhood—there is not. (Laughter.) But how can it be too good? He says: “We want more money.” I say: “Yes. We want good money, and the more good money we have the better.” There has been added to the money of the world \$225,000,000 in gold the last year—dug from the miserly crevices of the rocks. Next year there will be, in all probability, a hundred millions more than that. We are getting more good money.

But he says: “We want cheap money.” Well, why? Because the money is now so good that people hoard it. Consequently, he wants to get money that nobody will want to keep—(Applause)—money that everybody will

be crazy to spend. If we get that kind of money, who will take it?

In this city, many years ago, there was an old colored man at the Grand Pacific. I met him one morning. He



UNCLE.

looked sad, and I said to him: "Uncle, what is the matter?" He answered: "Well, my wife ran away last night. Pretty good-looking woman, a good deal younger than I am, but she has run off. Colonel, I want to give you my idea about marriage. If a man wants to marry a woman and have a good time and be satisfied and secure in his mind, he

wants to marry some woman that no other man on God's earth would have." (Laughter and applause.)

That is the kind of money these gentlemen want in the United States. Cheap money! Do you know that the words "cheap money" are a contradiction in terms? Cheap money is always discounted when people find out that it is cheap. We want good money, and I do not care how much we get. But we want good money. Men are willing to toil for good money—willing to work in the mines; willing to work in the heat and glare of the furnace; willing to go to the top of the mast on the wild sea; willing to work in tenements. Women are willing

to sew with their eyes filled with tears for the sake of good money. (Applause.)

LABOR'S RIGHT TO GOOD MONEY.

And if anything is to be paid in good money, labor is that thing. (Applause.) If any man is entitled to pure gold it is the man who labors. Let the big fellows take cheap money. (Laughter and applause.) Let the men living next the soil be paid in gold.

But I want the money of this country as good as that of any other country. (Applause.) When our money is below par we feel below par. (Applause.) I want our money, no matter how it is payable, to have the gold behind it. I want it so good that when a savage looks on it his eyes will gleam as though he looks at shining gold. That is the money I want in the United States.

I want to teach the people of the world that a democracy is honest. (Applause.) I want to teach the people of the world that America is not only capable of self-government, but that it has the self-denial, the courage, the honor, to pay its debts to the last farthing. (Applause.) That is what I want to do. And yet we have a man running for the Presidency who appeals to all people in debt, and says: "Vote for me! Vote for me, and you can pay your debt in 50-cent dollars." That is what he says to them. Not a very honest proposition, but that is what he says. "Vote for me, and you can pay off your debt with half the money it requires now."

And thereupon the creditor says to Mr. Bryan: "You are not going to cheat me, are you?" And Mr. Bryan says: "No. Free coinage will make silver worth \$1.29

an ounce in gold, free silver will make the silver dollars equal to the gold dollars." And thereupon the debtor holds his coat-tails and asks: "In God's name, how is that going to help me?" (Laughter) In all his speeches he has been guilty of this contradiction—cheap silver for debtors and silver as good as gold for creditors. (Applause.) And that man has not seen the inconsistency of these two statements yet. (Laughter.) He will make the same statement, probably, tomorrow.

When he appeals to the farmers he takes the ground that they are all rascals. What he has said about the farmers is an insult to them, and the farmers of Vermont



W. J. BRYAN.

and Maine have replied. (Applause.) If the farmers of those States, with their soil, can be honest (Laughter), I think that a farmer in Illinois has no excuse for being a rascal. (Applause.) I regard the farmers as honest men, and when the sun shines and the rains fall and the frosts wait, they will pay their debts.

I want to tell you tonight that all the stories that have been told about farmers being Populists are not true. (Applause.) You will find the Populists in the villages, in the towns, in the great cities. All the failures—no matter for what reason—are on the Populist side. They want to get rich by law. (Laughter) They are tired of work.

Then there is another thing I want to ask these gentlemen, because I am of an inquisitive turn of mind;

(Laughter.) If you can make silver 16 to 1 by law when in fact it is 31 to 1—that is, it takes sixteen ounces of silver, according to them, to be worth one ounce of gold. Now, it takes thirty-one ounces to be worth an ounce of gold. But they propose by law to make sixteen ounces of silver the value of an ounce of gold.

If they can do that by law, what is the use of wasting all that silver? (Laughter) If they can by law make it 16 to 1, why not put another clause on and make it 1 to 1? (Laughter and applause.) And if they by fiat can add 48 cents' worth of law to 52 cents' worth of silver and make a dollar, why not make all fiat (Applause), and use the silver for forks and spoons? (A voice in the audience: "How much is in the dollar now?") In a silver dollar? (The voice: "Yes.") Four hundred and twelve grains standard. (The voice: "That is 16 to 1.") That is 16 to 1.

Now, let me tell you: One of our dollars today—16 to 1—is made equal with gold. And how is it made equal with gold? Because the government of the United States has promised to redeem it in gold. (Applause.) If there was only one grain of silver in a silver dollar, and our government promised to keep it on an equality with gold, it would be just as good as if there were 412. (Applause.) The silver of the United States today, as coin, is worth between 51 and 52 cents on the dollar, and the moment the promise of the government is withdrawn all the dollars of the United States coined of silver will only be worth 51 cents each. The rest is promise—not fiat, but promise—and as long as we believe the government is able, as long as we believe the government has the

honesty to redeem its promise, that silver will be good. (Applause.)

Now, there is another trouble. A promise to pay money is not money. A promise to pay money is like a nomination for an office, and the fulfillment of that promise is like the election to that office; and on the 4th day of November even Bryan will know the difference between promise and fulfillment.

HISTORICAL.

Let me tell you a little something about the history of our money, which people don't know, or else they forget. In 1792 we made in this country a double standard—gold and silver. The ratio was fixed by Thomas Jefferson and Alexander Hamilton, and they both said they fixed that ratio on the commercial price of the metals. That ratio was 15 to 1. And we started with a double standard—gold and silver.

But the double standard has always been hard to maintain. France has changed the ratio more than a hundred times. As Dogberry says, "Where two men ride a horse one man rides behind." (Laughter and applause.) So in 1806 they found there was too much silver in the silver dollar, and Jefferson stopped its coinage. No more was coined until 1837, and then it was that our people in Congress declared that they adopted the gold standard.

In 1853 they lessened the silver in the silver coins, and again they declared that their object was to create a gold standard. In all the time from 1852 to 1873 there were coined in the United States only 8,000,000 silver dollars, and they had all gone out of circulation. There was too much silver, and people got them and melted them up,

Eight millions! That is what the friends—the fathers—did for silver. They found that if they put too much silver in a dollar it would be exported or would go to the melting pot. So they put in a little less silver, that it would not be taken for other purposes. That is all.

Now, then, according to Mr. Bryan, our fathers were the friends of silver. And yet our dear old fathers, in all their lives, only minted 8,000,000 of these sacred dollars. (Laughter.)

ALLEGED ENEMIES OF SILVER.

See what the enemies of silver have done! Since 1873 the enemies of silver have coined 430,000,000 of these dollars. (Applause.) And yet silver has kept going down. We are coining now over \$2,000,000 a month, and silver keeps going down. We are now floating these 430,000,000 silver dollars on the promise of the government to keep them on a parity with gold. (Applause.)

I wish every one here would read the speech of Senator Sherman delivered at Columbus a little while ago, in which he gives the history of American coinage. Every man who will read it will find that silver was not demonetized in 1873. You will find that it was demonetized in 1853. And if you will read back you will find that the apostles of silver now were in favor of the gold standard in 1873. (Applause.)

Senator Jones of Nevada, in 1873, voted for the law of 1873. He said, from his place in the Senate, that God had made gold the standard. He said gold was the mother of civilization. Whether he has heard from God since then I do not know. (Laughter and applause.) But

now he is on the other side. Senator Stewart of Nevada was there at the time. He voted for the act of 1873, and said gold was the only standard. He, too, has changed his mind.

So they have said of me, that I used to talk another way; and they have published little portions of speeches without publishing all that was said. I want to tell you tonight that I have never changed on the money question. (Laughter.) I was opposed to the demonetization of silver because, I said, it put an additional burden on the debtors.

But here is this trouble. (A voice: "How about McKinley?") You wait until you get some sense about money. All the debts that were due in 1873, or made in 1873, of individuals, have all been paid or they have been outlawed. You can not help the debtors that were injured then. You can not help the debtors of 1873 by swindling the creditors of 1896. That has been done—right along it has been done. It has been acquiesced in by the commercial world, and this Nation can not correct a mistake of mankind.

PERSONAL ATTITUDE.

Let me tell you how I have stood on this question. Twenty years ago, at Cooper Union, in the city of New York, in 1876, I made a speech upon this subject among others; and I want to tell you just what I said at that time. You will then see how much I have changed.

Supposing that silver was demonetized in 1873, I denounced it as a fraud on debtors. I wanted silver remonetized, but I wanted good dollars. Here is what I said:

"I am in favor of honest money. I am in favor of gold and silver. I am in favor of paper with gold and silver behind it. I believe in silver, because it is one of the great American products; and I am in favor of anything that will add to the value of an American product. But I want a silver dollar worth a gold dollar, even if you have to make it four feet in diameter." (Laughter and applause.)

You would say my head was level then. (A voice: "It is level now."—Laughter.) Yes, sir; no government can afford to be a clipper of coins. A great republic can not afford to stamp a lie on silver or gold or paper. Honest money for an honest people, issued by an honest Nation!

I have been told that during the war we had plenty of money. I never saw it. I lived years without enriching my eyes with the sight of a dollar; for the greenback, unless you have ~~the gold~~ behind it, is no more a dollar than a bill of fare is a dinner. You can not make a paper dollar without taking a dollar's worth of paper. We **must** have paper that represents money. I want it issued by the government, and I want behind every one of these paper dollars either a dollar in gold or a silver dollar worth 100 cents, so ~~that~~ every greenback under the flag can lift up its ~~hands~~ and swear: "I know that my redeemer ~~liveth~~." (Applause.) That was where I was twenty ~~years~~ ago, and that is where I am tonight. For ~~nations~~ and individuals, at all times, everywhere and ~~for-~~**ever**, honesty is the best policy. (Applause.)

HONOR VERSUS FRAUD.

Better be an honest bankrupt than a rich thief. Poverty can hold in its hand the jewel, Honor—a jewel that

outshines all other gems. A thousand times better be poor and noble than rich and fraudulent. (Applause.) Ah, but they say—these statesmen, these gentlemen who give their attention to running for office (Laughter)—they say gold has been cornered. Well, let us see.

Whenever wheat is cornered in Chicago the price goes up. That is what they corner it for. If gold had been cornered the rate of interest would have steadily risen. And yet, the rate of interest has steadily gone down from 1873 to 1896 (Applause.) That is a demonstration that there is no corner on gold. (A voice: "Where has the gold gone?")

The gold is in this country—\$600,000,000 of it. (Applause.) And the gold that is in this country makes every greenback and national bank bill and silver dollar good. (Applause.) You take that gold away, and they are bad. You understand that.

Suppose, my friends, we had silver money. How would you keep them from cornering that? How would you keep the Vanderbilts, the Rockefellers and the Astors away from the silver? If it is good, they will get it. (Laughter.) If it is not good you don't want it. They have just as good a chance to corner silver as they ever had to corner gold. The silver will be more easily cornered because it is only worth a fraction as much. But, say these gentlemen—you know they love the poor——

(A voice: "Free coinage will bring prosperity.")

Free coinage will bring prosperity? I don't know. I am not a prophet nor the son of a prophet, and perhaps not a very profitable son (Laughter), but I can see into the future as far as any Populist. Now, let us see what

kind of prosperity free coinage will bring, because I want you all to know that I want prosperity. (Applause.) That is my failing.

EFFECT OF FREE SILVER.

Free coinage of silver—that is, for the silver of the world—would, first of all, bring us to a silver basis. Our money would be silver. We have \$600,000,000 in the country in gold. This would instantly go out of circulation. There is only about \$1,300,000,000 of specie currency in the United States. There would be about \$700,000,000 left—a part of that greenbacks. If we come to a silver basis, then the greenbacks are all to be redeemed in silver, and they would only be worth 50 cents on the dollar. All your national bank bills, secured by government bonds, would go to 50 cents on the dollar, because free silver means the payment of all bonds in silver.

What else? All your glorious silver dollars would be cut square in two—be worth 50 cents apiece. How would that leave us? It would leave us with only \$350,000,000 of currency, specie and paper, and that would be \$5 a head for all the people of the United States. And this would be done in the name of prosperity! What else would happen? Every man living on an income would have to live on just half; every soldier's pension would be cut dead in two—every one. What else? Every man who had a debt would try to collect it in gold before the law caught him—every one. Business would stop—no money. Panic would come, and then bankruptcy almost universal. That is what these gentlemen call the prosperity of free coinage. There are millions of people in the United States who are creditors and debtors. They

want to be paid, and they want to pay the men whom they owe.

Nobody can be helped by free coinage except the few people who could pay their debts if they were willing. Some say that it would help the mine-owners. It would not. Coining this bullion into dollars would not increase its value, because you could coin the bullion of the whole world and the supply would be greater than the demand. Have free coinage tomorrow, and there is not a silver mine owner who would make a dollar—not one—by changing it into American coin. It would only be worth what the bullion is worth in the open market.

Now, what I want to say to you tonight is this: "In this country we want good money—good, honest money—and there never was any real prosperity for a nation or an individual without honesty, without integrity; and it is our duty to preserve the reputation of the Great Republic." (Applause.) Let us have sense enough to vote for our own interests. We did not have it in 1892. (Laughter.) I hope we have learned something in the last four years. So, remember that money is something that can not be made; that it has to be found. Recollect that money can not be created by law. Recollect that you can not make something out of nothing. Just keep that in your minds.

LABOR FOR HOME HANDS.

Then we have another question. And the next question is one of tariff—one of protection. The question is: Shall we keep the American market for ourselves, or give it away to other folk? Now, let me tell you some-

thing. A nation that sells raw material will grow ignorant and poor. A nation that manufactures will grow intelligent and rich. (A voice: "That's right.") It takes muscle to dig ore. It takes a mind to manufacture a locomotive.

And in this country we want to give work to brains as well as to hands. We want to raise great men and great women. This is the best crop that we can raise. (Laughter and applause.) We want to develop the brains of America. We want to give scope to the ingenuity and the imagination of our people. And our people stand in the same relation to invention that the ancient Greeks did to Scripture. We are the greatest nation of inventors upon the earth. I want the things that we use made here in our own land. (Applause.) I want to see the furnaces hot with flame.

And I tell you tonight that the smoke rising from the stack—that smoke forms the only clouds on which has ever been seen the bow of American promise. (Applause.) Keep the wheels turning, keep the shuttles flying; give work to labor's countless hands. Take advantage of the forces of nature; that is what we want.

We have tried what they call the Democratic tariff—a bill passed by perfidy and dishonor, according to Cleveland. But he was not mean enough to sign it nor brave enough to veto it. (Laughter.) We have tried that. In 1892 I said, if Cleveland was elected, he would cost the people of the United States five thousand millions of dollars. I underestimated the loss. He has put this government in debt \$500,000,000 more. He has cost the people of this country seven or eight thousands of mill-

ions of dollars, and that is only by a threat of free trade. There is an old song: "We Put the Wrong Man Off at Buffalo." We have got another song: "We Took the Wrong Man On at Buffalo." (Laughter.)

ATTACKS FREE TRADE.

I want everything that we use manufactured in America. "Ah," say these free-traders, "but we want the



GROVER CLEVELAND.

markets of the world." Well, gentlemen, what is to hinder? We have got no export duty. Iron is as cheap here as anywhere on earth. You can get timber here as well as any other place. You can send your reapers and mowers and thrashing machines and churns to any market of the world. Why don't you do it? I can tell you why. Because in the Republic, under our flag, wages are too high. That is the reason they can not do it. And what keeps wages high? Protection. (Applause.) And why do they want free trade? For the sake of low wages. Labor makes things valuable—that is, intelligent labor. A ton of iron ore is worth only a few cents in the ground. Lime is worth only a few cents in the cliff. Coal is worth only a few cents in the ground. You can make a ton of steel rails worth \$25, of which \$23.50 is labor—the raw material, as material, only \$1.50. A locomotive worth \$10,000—the raw material not worth \$50. A steamship worth \$500,000—the raw material not worth \$5,000. All the rest is labor. And if labor is higher here than in the rest of the world, we

must save the American market for the American mechanic. (Great applause.) That is good sense. And even if we have to pay more for it here, it is better. I have said a hundred times: "Suppose you can buy a ton of steel rails in England for \$20 in gold, and you buy it. How does the account stand? You have a ton of steel rails and Great Britain has \$20 of our gold. Suppose you buy the ton of steel rails here, and give \$20 in gold. Then how does the account stand? The United States has got the gold and the rails, too—both here, under our flag." (Applause.) That is the reason I am for it.

And do you know what is the matter with the country today? We want more business. Talk about charity? Business is the finest charity ever conceived of. Business that feeds the hungry, that clothes the naked; business that wipes the tears from widows' eyes and orphans' cheeks; business that puts dimples of joy in the cheeks of sorrow; business that puts a roof above the heads of the homeless; business that fills the world with art and song, with commerce, the land with happy homes.

WANT BUSINESS, NOT CHARITY.

What we want is not charity; we do not want Populistic philanthropy. We don't want fiat philosophy, and we don't want any silver swindles. We want business. That is what we want. (Applause.) Wind and water are our servants. Let them work. Lightning and steam are our slaves. Let them toil. Start the fires and let labor with its countless hands have work, and where there is work there is no want. That is what I want. I

want protection and prosperity. (Applause.) I want good sense and business

Do not deceive us with debased coin. Give us good money—the life-blood of business—and let it flow freely through the veins and arteries of commerce. That is what we want—business. We won't have it unless we have confidence in the future, and no sensible man in the United States will have any confidence in the administration to be governed by the new Democracy. (Applause.)

We want credit. Credit is based on confidence, and when we have good times, you know, everybody nearly has credit. Every man's mouth is a mint that issues dollars. When a good fellow with a good job goes to the grocer and says, "Give me \$5 worth of sugar and \$5 worth of coffee, and charge it," he has added \$10 to the currency of the United States. (Applause.) He has inflated the money; and when another buys a horse and gives his note for \$100 he has inflated the money. Afterward, when times get hard and they won't credit, then they say money is scarce. There is just as much money as there was before. Credit is scarce. That is the trouble. Confidence is gone, and yet they are going to give prosperity at 16 to 1. (Applause.) What does that mean? That will mean sixteen men for one dollar—(Laughter)—sixteen men hunting one job. That is what it will mean. And of the sixteen men, fifteen failures—bankrupts.

GOVERNMENT BY LAW.

And now what is the next question? Is this a government of law? If we can not make money by law, can

we keep peace by law? That is the question. Is this a government of the people? Can the people make laws that the people are bound to obey? Shall we be bound by the decision of the highest tribunal, or shall we depend upon the mob? That is the question.

I hate the mob spirit. Civilized men obey the law. Civilized men believe in order. Civilized men believe that a man that makes property by industry and economy has the right to keep it. (Applause.) Civilized men believe that that man has the right to use it as he desires, and that they will judge of his character by the manner in which he uses it. If he endeavors to assist his fellow-men he will have the respect and admiration of his fellow-men. But we want a government of law. We do not want labor questions settled by violence and blood.

I want to civilize the capitalist so that he will be willing to give what labor is worth. I want to educate the working man so he will be willing to receive what labor is worth. I want to civilize them both to that degree that they can settle all their disputes in the high court of reason.

But when you tell me that they can stop the commerce of the Nation, then you preach the gospel of bludgeon, the gospel of torch and gun. I do not believe in that religion. I believe in a religion of kindness, reason and law. The law is the supreme will of the supreme people, and we must obey it, or we go back to savagery and black night. (Applause.)

I stand by the courts. I stand by the President, who endeavors to preserve the peace. (Applause and cries of "Good!") I am against mobs. I am against lynchings.

(Applause.) And I believe it is the duty of the Federal Government to protect all its citizens, at home and abroad. I want a government powerful enough to say to the Governor of any State where they are murdering American citizens without process of law: "Stop the shedding of blood of American citizens. If you can not stop it, we can." (Great applause.)

I believe in a government that will protect the lowest, the poorest and weakest as promptly as the mightiest and strongest. (Applause) That is my government. This old doctrine of State sovereignty perished in the flames of the civil war, and I tell you tonight that that infamous lie was surrendered to Grant with Lee's sword at Appomattox. (Applause.)

WORK OF THE BONDHOLDERS.

Then there is another thing The candidate for the Presidency is trying to poison the poor against the rich simply because they are rich. He hates bondholders. Who are the bondholders? Bondholders of the government, bondholders of the railroad companies.

And who are the bondholders of the railroad companies? They are the men that advanced every dollar to build every mile of railroad in the United States. They are the men who paid every man that cast a shovelful of dirt. They are the men who paid every man that cut down a tie in the forest, and paid every man that dug the ore, paid every man that melted it in the works and cast it into form. They are the bondholders—a set of wretches. (Laughter.)

Who are the bondholders of the government? During the war we sold our bonds. People who believed that the North would triumph bought those bonds, and every man that bought a bond added to the credit of the Great Republic. (Applause.)


Very few men own them now who bought them then. They have been bought many times since, and all who own them now paid about \$1.20. (Laughter and applause.) And what did they pay it in? They paid it in gold—every dollar

And let me tell you now, every cent that has been borrowed in the United States since 1873 has been borrowed in gold, and it is no great hardship to

pay it back in the money that you borrowed. If they say that money has increased so in value, then the fellow who borrowed it should have kept it and made himself rich.

Mr. Bryan hates the rich Would he like to be rich? Mr. Bryan hates bondholders. Would he like to have a million in bonds? Mr. Bryan hates the successful. Has he an ambition to be a failure? (Laughter.) If he has, let him wait until the 4th of November, and he will be satisfied.

Why should we hate the rich? Some rich men are good, and some poor men are mean. Some poor men are good, and some rich men are mean. You can not like a



PROSPERITY.

man just because he is poor, and you can not hate him just because he is rich.

WHY ENVY THE RICH ?

And why should we envy the rich ? Let me tell you something. The Vanderbilts and the Astors and the Rockefellers never drank any colder water than I have. (Laughter.) They never smoked any better cigars. They never ate lighter biscuits nor better potatoes, nor drank better Illinois wine than I have—(Laughter)—than you have. We know the ecstasies of love as well as they. We know the love of wife and child and friend, and in some respects we have the advantage. They fear and we hope. They are at the top, and they are afraid of falling. We are close to the ground, and we hope to climb. (Laughter.) Now, is a man to be envied simply because he has got more than he can use ? What good is that ? What is the use of carrying one hundred canes ? What is the use of having more than you can possibly spend ?

Let me tell you another thing. Lots of these people are owned by the property which they think they possess. (Laughter.) The property owns them, and it will get them up at 4 o'clock in the morning and will make them work like slaves until night. They are afraid every minute that somebody is going to steal it. They have no confidence in the friendship of man or woman. They think they are all wanting their money. They think their children are anxious to go to their funeral. (Laughter.) That all their hens would love to look on their mausoleum. (Laughter.) That is the trouble with them.

And another trouble is that most of them are insane. They don't know when they have got enough. What would you think of a man who had 500,000 neckties? What would you think of that man getting up at 3 o'clock in the morning, going through snow and slush until 10 o'clock at night, to get him another necktie? (Laughter.)

TRUSTS AND SYNDICATES.

With all my heart, I hate the trusts and syndicates that conspire to rob honest people, and I want the laws against them enforced. (Applause.) But I have nothing against the man who makes money by honest methods. I am glad I live in a country where its people can get rich. (Applause.) It is a spur in the side of ambition. The poor always have hope—if not for themselves, at least for their children. Why should we array class against class? It is all wrong.

For instance: Here is a young man, and he is industrious. He is in love with a girl around the corner. She is in his brain all day and in his heart all night (Laughter), and while he is working he is thinking. He gets a little ahead, and they get married. He is an honest man; he gets credit, and the first thing you know he has a good business of his own and gets rich. He educates his children, and his old age is filled with content and love. ("Good!")

His companions bask in the sunshine of idleness—have wasted their time and wasted their wages in dissipation. When the winter of life comes, when the snow falls on barren fields of the wasted days, then, shivering with cold, pinched with hunger, they curse the man who has

succeeded. Thereupon they all say they are going to vote for Bryan.

The citizens of America should be friends. We have no permanent classes. The children of the rich today may work for the men who worked for them. Sons of



IDLER.

millionaires may be mendicants; sons of mendicants may be millionaires. (Applause and cries of "That 's right.") The Great Republic opens every avenue to distinction and wealth to her children. That is why I like the country. That is why I don't want it dishonored.

AGAINST CLASS FEELING.

I want no class feeling. Blessed is that country where the rich are extravagant and the poor are economical. (Laughter.) Miserable is that country where the rich are economical and the poor are extravagant. A rich spendthrift is a blessing. A rich miser is a curse. Extravagance is a splendid form of charity. Let the rich spend; let them build; let them give work to their fellow-men; and I will find no fault with their wealth, provided they obtained it honestly.

Then, there is another thing. They are trying to fan the flames of hate between the South and West, on one

side, and the East on the other. Why should we of Illinois hate the East? Give us some reason. Why should we hate the East? They never loaned us a dollar that we didn't borrow. (Laughter.) They never loaned us a dollar for which we did not make application—never one. And their money has helped to civilize and build up the West. This city of Chicago—the wonder of the world, the second city on the continent—was built up by Eastern energy and Eastern money and Eastern men. (Applause.)

Why should we hate the East? There is where we got a good many of our mistakes, and there is where we got a good deal of our information. I like the East, and I love the West. I love the Republic. There are some people in it that I do not admire (Laughter), but I believe in giving them a chance. If they have not got the sense to take it their children may have.

Now, then, we have got to make our choice. I want the Republic one and indivisible. (Great applause.) Then you have got to choose between McKinley and Bryan. It won't take you long to make up your minds. I admit that Bryan is honest. If he was not his intellectual pride would not allow him to say what he does. He is honest. He is a Populist, a Socialist and a new Democrat. (Great laughter.) His head is filled with vagaries and his brain is a kind of political insane asylum without a keeper. (Laughter.)

McKinley is a trained man. McKinley has a level head. He is sensible, candid. He is an American in every drop of his blood. (Applause.) He was a soldier when Bryan was in his mother's arms. He was a soldier; he is a

statesman; he is the apostle of protection (Applause); he knows the road that leads to prosperity; he knows the way to business, and he understands honorable methods.

M'KINLEY AS A STATESMAN.

A few years ago he made a speech at Cleveland on protection, and I read it—one of the few speeches I have read in my life. It was the best speech I ever read or ever heard on the subject of protection. (Applause.) He marshaled his facts with as much skill as Grant or Cæsar ever marshaled his forces in the field. (Applause.)

His speech had foundation, proportion, and ever since I read it I have had profound respect for the intelligence of William McKinley. (Applause.) He has made many speeches during this campaign. He has made no mistake. He has not made one that did not add to his popularity. (Applause.) He has not made one that has not added to the respect the American people have for him. (Applause.) Shall we take Mr. Bryan? (Cries of "No.")

Imagine Mr. Bryan's Cabinet! (Laughter.) For Secretary of State, Ignatius Donnelly (Laughter); Secretary of War, Tillman; Secretary of the Treasury, "Coin" Harvey (Continued laughter); Secretary of the Interior, Henry George; Attorney General, Governor Altgeld (Great laughter, mingled with hisses); Secretary of Agriculture, George Fred Williams; Postmaster General, Peffer and his whiskers; Secretary of the Navy, Coxey. (Continued laughter and applause.) Then he could keep off the grass. Then would come the millennium—the cryptogram and the Bacon cypher, State laws, free silver, single tax, fiat money, destruction of banks and

credit, bondholders and creditors mobbed, courts closed, debts repudiated, and the rest of the folk made rich by law. (Applause.)

I think we had better take McKinley. If he should die a capable, honest man would take his place (Applause)—Garrett A. Hobart. If Bryan should be elected and he died—— (A voice: "No danger.") Imagine sitting in the seat of Abraham Lincoln Thomas Watson! (Laughter.) That is enough to give a patriot the nightmare. Let us stand by McKinley.

CHOOSING BETWEEN PARTIES.

Another thing: You have got to choose between parties. And you choose between parties between men. Parties have characters. You have got to choose between the Republican party and the new Democratic party. Oh, I forgot the third party! Yes—Palmer and Buckner. (Laughter.) Too good to vote for Bryan, but not sensible enough to vote for McKinley.



A LINCOLN.

Brother Palmer is a kind of decoy duck (Laughter), while his running mate is a stool pigeon. And they say: "We want to beat Bryan; vote for us." And some fellow in the crowd says: "Do you expect to be elected?" "Oh, no; we are just running to catch the votes of Democrats who have not sense enough to vote according to their own judgment—according to their conscience. We want to catch a few petrifications (Laughter) that want to stick to the Democratic party so as to have it on their tomb-

stones." (Laughter.) "Why, do you expect to be elected?" "No." "Then, of course, you want McKinley elected?" And poor old Palmer will have to say "Yes," and then the man in the crowd will ask: "Why don't you vote for him, then?" (Laughter.)

I think the sound money Democrats in this country have sense enough to vote their sentiments. I think they have patriotism enough to stand by the country—to stand by honest money. And they will not follow Mr. Palmer, either. Let them vote the Republican ticket.

In 1861 hundreds of thousands of Democrats held country above party, and came to the defense of Lincoln's administration. In 1896 we expect hundreds and hundreds of thousands of Democrats to come to the support of McKinley and honest money.

NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY.

The new Democratic party is worse than the old, and that is saying a good deal, for me. The new Democratic party—with its allies, the Populists and Socialists—represents the follies, the mistakes and the absurdities of a thousand years. They are in favor of everything that can not be done. With them, "Whatever is wrong." They think creditors are swindlers, and debtors who refuse to pay their debts are honest men. Good money is bad, and poor money is good. A promise is better than a performance. They desire to abolish facts, punish success and reward failure.

They are worse than the old, and yet I want to be honest. I am like the old Dutchman who made a speech in Arkansas. He said: "Ladies and Gentlemen: I

must tell you the truth. There are good and bad in all parties except the Democratic party, and in the Democratic party there is bad and worse."

And I want to be honest. There are hundreds of thousands of good, patriotic Democrats that hold country above party, and they will vote for McKinley. There are many thousands of them in the State of Illinois that will vote for John R. Tanner. (Applause.) We want a man for Governor of this State who is in favor of sound money. We want a man who is in favor of protection. We want a man on whom we can rely. We want no Socialist, no dreamer, no schemer, no man that rises by deceiving others. No, sir.

You have got to choose between parties. The Republican party has been tried in peace and in war, and the history of the Republican party is the glory of the Great Republic. (Applause.) The Republican party has the enthusiasm of youth and it has the wisdom of age; and, besides that, it has the genius of administration. Stick to the Republican party. It has saved the life of this Nation. It kept our country on the map of the world. It kept our flag in the air. It made our country free, and this one fact fills all the heavens with light.

REPUBLICAN PARTY FOR LABOR.

The Republican party is the friend of labor. Labor is the Atlas on whose shoulder rests the great superstructure of civilization and the great dome of science and of art. (Applause.) Labor is the great oak; labor is the great pillar. And the deft and cunning hands of labor have filled the world with things of use and beauty. The

Republican party is the friend of labor, and all my sympathies are with the men who toil. The Republican party is the conscience of the nineteenth century. (Applause.) I am proud I belong to it. Vote the Republican ticket, and you will be happy here; and if there is another life, you will be happy there. (Applause.)

I had an old friend down in Woodford county, Charley Mulidore. He won a coffin on Lincoln's election. He took it home. Every birthday he called in his friends, and they had a little game of "66" on the coffin lid. When the game was over they took out the things to eat and drink, and had a festival. The minister in the little town, hearing of it, was scandalized; and he went to Charley Mulidore and said: "Mr. Mulidore, how can you make light of such awful things?" "What things?" "Why, Mr. Mulidore, what did you do with that coffin? In a little while you die, and you come to the day of judgment." "Well, what of it? Mr. Preacher, when I come to that day of judgment they will say: 'What is your name?' I will tell them, and they will say: 'Mr. Mulidore, are you a Christian?' 'No, sir; I was a Republican (Laughter), and the coffin I got out of this morning I won on Abraham Lincoln's election.' And then they will say: 'Walk in, Mr. Mulidore; walk in. Here is your halo, and there is your harp.'"

If you want to be happy hereafter, vote the Republican ticket and keep good company. That is a great thing. [Laughter.]

HOW TO VOTE.

Vote with the party of Lincoln—Lincoln, the greatest of our mighty dead—Lincoln, the merciful. [Applause.]

Vote with the party of Grant, the greatest soldier of his century [Applause], who planted on the field of war the torn and tattered flag of victory. [Applause.] Vote with the party of Grant. Vote for the party of Sherman and Sheridan, of Thomas and Garfield, and Blaine and Oliver P. Morton. [Applause.] But there is no time to repeat even the names of the thinkers, the philosophers, the statesmen, the orators, the soldiers, that have made the Republican party glorious forever.

Fellow-citizens, make up your minds tonight. Now is the accepted time. [Laughter.] Vote for good money. Vote for integrity. Vote against silver swindles and repudiation. Vote for protection and prosperity. Vote against free trade and depreciation. Vote for business and good wages. Vote against idleness and hunger. Vote for the enforcement of the law. Vote to uphold court and precedents in the preservation of peace. Vote to keep contracts sacred. Vote to preserve life, property and liberty. Vote against the mob. Vote against the dagger, the torch and the bomb. Vote for law and order. Vote for the glory of the Great Republic. [Applause.]




JAMES A. GARFIELD.


We love our country. It is dear to us for its reputation through the world, for its credit in all the marts of trade, for the glory of the past, for the liberty of the

present, and for the hope of the future. Let us swear that we will preserve our country with its honor unstained, its credit unimpaired; that we will preserve it for ourselves, our children, and their children yet to be. [Great applause and cheering.]

THE END.



[UNITED STATES CAPITOL.]



Many children who are now being
educated by signs, have sufficient
hearing to be taught with the
Audiophone articulate speech
instead. Truly Yours.
Paris France
Dec 1st 1880

R. Rhodes

TEACHING THE DEAF TO SPEAK.

THE TEETH THE BEST MEDIUM AND THE AUDIPHONE THE
BEST INSTRUMENT FOR CONVEYING SOUNDS TO
THE DEAF, AND IN TEACHING THE PARTLY
DEAF AND DUMB TO SPEAK.

ADDRESS DELIVERED BY R. S. RHODES, OF
CHICAGO, BEFORE THE FOURTEENTH CONVENTION
OF AMERICAN TEACHERS OF THE DEAF, AT
FLINT, MICHIGAN.

MR. PRESIDENT AND LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:

I would like to relate some of the causes which led to my presence with you to-day.

About sixteen years ago I devised this instrument, the audiphone, which greatly assisted me in hearing, and discovered that many who had not learned to speak were not so deaf as myself. I reasoned that an instrument in the hands of one who had not learned to speak would act the same as when in the hands of one who had learned to speak, and that the mere fact of one not being able to speak would in no wise affect the action of the instrument. To ascertain if or not my simple reasoning was correct, I borrowed a deaf-mute, a boy about twelve years old, and took him to my farm. We arrived there in the evening, and during the evening I experimented to

THE AUDIPHONE.

see if he could distinguish some of the vowel sounds. My experiments in this direction were quite satisfactory. Early in the morning I provided him with an audiphone and took him by the hand for a walk about the farm. We soon came across a flock of turkeys. We approached closely, the boy with his audiphone adjusted to his teeth, and when the gobbler spoke in his peculiar voice, the boy was convulsed with laughter, and jumping for joy continued to follow the fowl with his audiphone properly adjusted, and at every remark of the gobbler the boy was delighted. I was myself delighted, and began to think my reasoning was correct.

We next visited the barn. I led him into a stall beside a horse munching his oats, and to my delight he could hear the grinding of the horse's teeth when the audiphone was adjusted, and neither of us could without. In the stable yard was a cow lowing for its calf, which he plainly showed he could hear, and when I led him to the cow-barn where the calf was confined, he could hear it reply to the cow, and by signs showed that he understood their language, and that he knew the one was calling for the other. We then visited the pig-sty where the porkers poked their noses near to us. He could hear them with the audiphone adjusted, and enjoyed their talk, and understood that they wanted more to eat. I gave him some corn to throw over to them, and he signed that that was what they wanted, and that now they were satisfied. He soon, however, broke away from me and pursued the gobbler and manifested more satisfaction in listening to its voice than to mine, and the vowel sounds as compared to it were of slight importance to him, and for the three days he was at my farm that poor turkey gobbler had but little rest.

HEARING THROUGH THE TEETH.

With these and other experiments I was satisfied that he could hear, and that there were many like him; so I took my grip and audiphones and visited most of the institutions for the deaf in this country. In all institutions I found many who could hear well, and presented the instrument with which this hearing could be improved and brought within the scope of the human voice. But at one institution I was astonished; I found a bright girl with perfect hearing being educated to the sign language. She could repeat words after me parrot-like, but had no knowledge of their value in sentences. I inquired why she was in the institution for the deaf, and by examining the records we learned she was the child of deaf-mute parents, and had been brought up by them in the country, and although her hearing was perfect, she had not heard spoken language enough to acquire it, and I was informed by the superintendent of the institution that she preferred signs to speech. I was astonished that a child with no knowledge of the value of speech should be permitted to elect to be educated by signs instead of speech, and to be so educated in a state institution. This circumstance convinced me more than ever that there was a great work to be done in redeeming the partly deaf children from the slavery of silence, and I was more firmly resolved than ever that I would devote the remainder of my life to this cause.

I have had learned scientists tell me that I could not hear through my teeth. It would take more scientists than ever were born to convince me that I did not hear my sainted mother's and beloved father's dying voice with this instrument, when I could not have heard it without.

THE AUDIPHONE.

It would take more scientists than ever were born to convince me that I did not hear the voice of the Rev. James B. McClure, one who has been dear to me for the last twenty years, and accompanied me on most of my visits to institutions spoken of above, and who has encouraged me in my labors for the deaf all these years, say, as I held his hand on his dying bed only Monday last, and took my final leave from him (and let me say, I know of no cause but this that would have induced me to leave him then), "Go to Flint; do all the good you can. God bless your labors for the deaf! We shall never meet again on earth. Meet me above. Good-by!"

And, Mr. President, when I am laid at rest, it will be with gratitude to you and with greater resignation for the active part you have taken in the interest of these partly deaf children in having a section for aural work admitted to this national convention, for in this act you have contributed to placing this work on a firm foundation, which is sure to result in the greatest good to this class.

You have heard our friend, the inventor of the telephone, say that in his experiments for a device to improve the hearing of the deaf, (as he was not qualified by deafness,) he did not succeed, but invented the telephone instead, which has lined his pocket with gold. From what I know of the gentleman, I believe he would willingly part with all the gold he has received for the use of this wonderful invention, had he succeeded in his efforts in devising an instrument which would have emancipated even twenty per cent. of the deaf in the institutions from the slavery of silence. I have often wished that he might have invented the audiphone and

HEARING THROUGH THE TEETH.

received as much benefit by its use as I, for then he would have used the gold he derives from the telephone in carrying the boon to the deaf; but when I consider that in wishing this I must wish him deaf, and as it would not be right for me to wish him this great affliction, therefore since I am deaf, and I invented the audiphone, I would rather wish that I might have invented the telephone also; in which case I assure the deaf that I would have used my gold as freely in their behalf as would he. [The speaker then explained the use of the audiometer in measuring the degree of hearing one may possess. Then, at his request, a gentleman from the audience, a superintendent of one of our large institutions, took a position about five feet from the speaker, and was asked to speak loud enough for Mr. Rhodes to hear when he did not have the audiphone in use, and by shouting at the top of his voice, Mr. Rhodes was able to hear only two or three "o" sounds, but could not distinguish a word. With the audiphone adjusted to his teeth, still looking away from the speaker, he was able to understand ordinary tones, and repeated sentences after him; and, when looking at him and using his eye and audiphone, the speaker lowering his voice nearly as much as possible and yet articulating, Mr. Rhodes distinctly heard every word and repeated sentences after him, thus showing the value of the audiphone and eye combined, although Mr. Rhodes had never received instructions in lip reading. The gentleman stated that he had tested Mr. Rhodes' hearing with the audiometer when he was at his institution in 1894, and found he possessed seven per cent. in his left ear and nothing in his right.]

FOR THE DEAF.

THE AUDIPHONE

An Instrument that Enables Deaf Persons to Hear Ordinary Conversation Readily through the Medium of the Teeth, and Many of those Born Deaf and Dumb to Hear and Learn to Speak.

INVENTED BY RICHARD S. RHODES, CHICAGO.

Medal Awarded at the World's Columbia Exposition, Chicago.

The Audiphone is a new instrument made of a peculiar composition, possessing the property of gathering the faintest sounds (somewhat similar to a telephone diaphragm), and conveying them to the auditory nerve, through the medium of the teeth. *The external ear has nothing whatever to do in hearing with this wonderful instrument*

Thousands are in use by those who would not do without them for any consideration. It has enabled doctors and lawyers to resume practice, teachers to resume teaching, mothers to hear the voices of their children, thousands to hear their ministers, attend concerts and theatres, and engage in general conversation. Music is heard perfectly with it when without it, not a note could be distinguished. It is convenient to carry and to use. Ordinary conversation can be heard with ease. In most cases deafness is not detected

Full instructions will be sent with each instrument. The Audiphone is patented throughout the civilized world.

PRICE:

Conversational, small size	- - - - -	\$3.00
Conversational, medium size,	- - - - -	3.00
Concert size,	- - - - -	5.00
Trial instrument, good and serviceable,	- - - - -	1.50

The Audiphone will be sent to any address, on receipt of price. by

Rhodes & McClure Publishing Co.,

296 Wabash Avenue, Chicago, Ill.

